

From: [Carol Forman](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Re: Comment on SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Wednesday, September 25, 2013 4:57:18 PM

Over decades, NASA contaminated the Santa Susana Field Lab with dioxins, PCBs, perchlorate, heavy metals, TCE and other volatile organic compounds. Hundreds of thousands of people live nearby. A federal study showed that people living closer to the site have higher rates of thyroid, bladder, blood and lymph cancers than people living further away.

In 2010, NASA signed a legally binding agreement with California to clean up all contamination that could be detected. But Boeing, NASA's contractor at the site and owner of most of the site, has been pushing to block full cleanup of most of the site. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement recently released by NASA that barely mentions the contamination raises questions about whether NASA is trying to break out of the cleanup agreement it signed.

It is critical that NASA live up to the commitments it made in the 2010 cleanup agreement. I strongly urge NASA to meet its responsibilities regarding the toxic contamination it created. Do what you promised to do--fully clean up the site.

Carol Forman

From: [Fellows, Merrilee \(HQ-LD000\)](#)
To: [bonnie Klea](#)
Cc: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: RE: NASA Announces Availability of Draft EIS for Santa Susana Field Laboratory (SSFL)
Date: Friday, August 02, 2013 3:48:15 PM

Hi, Bonnie,

The procedure (in the Notice of Availability) asks you to make this request to:

Allen Elliott, SSFL Project Director, by phone at (256) 544-0662 or by email at msfc-ssfl-eis@mail.nasa.gov.

So by this email I am doing it for you.

I no longer have your home address. Could you please provide it to msfc-ssfl-eis@mail.nasa.gov?

Thank you.

Merrilee

From: bonnie Klea [mailto:bonnie1@dslextreme.com]
Sent: Friday, August 02, 2013 1:34 PM
To: Fellows, Merrilee (HQ-LD000)
Subject: Re: NASA Announces Availability of Draft EIS for Santa Susana Field Laboratory (SSFL)

Merrilee, Could I reserve a hard copy of the DEIS, if you have one. I plan to attend the Corp. Pointe meetings.

On Fri, Aug 2, 2013 at 10:26 AM, Fellows, Merrilee (HQ-LD000) <mfellows@nasa.gov> wrote:

NASA has announced, in the Federal Register, the Notice of Availability of a Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS). The DEIS evaluates potential environmental impacts of proposed demolition and environmental cleanup activities of property administered by NASA at the Santa Susana Field Laboratory (SSFL) in California.

The National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) requires analysis of potential impacts of certain actions undertaken by a federal agency. NASA is preparing to implement soil and groundwater cleanup required under agreements executed with the California Department of Toxic Substances. NASA also is preparing the land for disposition, or "excess", which is through the Department of General Services. NASA's preparation includes consideration of the potential demolition of all structures on SSFL.

The DEIS is intended to inform NASA decision-makers, regulating agencies, and the public of the potential environmental consequences of the proposed demolition of buildings and structures and the proposed environmental cleanup actions for groundwater and soil at the NASA-administered portion of the SSFL. The DEIS considers a range of remedial technologies that might be implemented to achieve the proposed groundwater and soil remediation goals. NASA will use the DEIS to consider the potential environmental, economic, and social impacts of the proposed

remediation action.

This announcement initiates a public comment period that closes on September 16, 2013. Two public meetings will be held to receive comments on the DEIS, on August 27, 2013, from 2:00-4:00 p.m., and on August 28, 2013, from 6:00-8:00 p.m., both meetings at Corporate Pointe in the Auditorium at 8413 Fallbrook Avenue, West Hills, Calif. 91304.

To review the Notice of Availability in the Federal Register, visit:
<https://federalregister.gov/a/2013-18700>

To review the Draft EIS, visit:
<http://www.nasa.gov/agency/nepa/news/SSFL.html>.

To learn more about NASA's cleanup at SSFL, visit:
<http://ssfl.msfc.nasa.gov>.

From: [Fellows, Merrilee \(HQ-LD000\)](#)
To: [Adam Slee; MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: RE: Draft Environmental Impact Comment
Date: Friday, September 13, 2013 1:05:25 PM

Adam,

Thank you for your comments. To become official comments for consideration in the DEIS process, if electronically submitted (as here), they need to be submitted to the email noted above: msfc-ssfl-eis@mail.nasa.gov.

I have done that for you with this email. If you or your colleagues have additional comments on or before Oct. 1, please submit them to that email address.

Merrilee Fellows

From: Adam Slee [mailto:adam.slee030@gmail.com]
Sent: Friday, September 13, 2013 11:00 AM
To: Fellows, Merrilee (HQ-LD000)
Cc: adam.slee030@gmail.com
Subject: Draft Environmental Impact Comment

The attached is a comment for your consideration. I am aware of the framework requirements regarding removal of all hazardous wastes but consider containment should at least be considered as a valid alternative.

Containment will be less environmentally harmful and a lot cheaper to execute.

Kind regards
Adam Slee

From: [Cynthia Wright](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Re: Comment on SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Monday, October 28, 2013 11:41:12 AM

Over decades, NASA contaminated the Santa Susana Field Lab with dioxins, PCBs, perchlorate, heavy metals, TCE and other volatile organic compounds. Hundreds of thousands of people live nearby. A federal study showed that people living closer to the site have higher rates of thyroid, bladder, blood and lymph cancers than people living further away.

In 2010, NASA signed a legally binding agreement with California to clean up all contamination that could be detected. But Boeing, NASA's contractor at the site and owner of most of the site, has been pushing to block full cleanup of most of the site. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement recently released by NASA that barely mentions the contamination raises questions about whether NASA is trying to break out of the cleanup agreement it signed.

It is critical that NASA live up to the commitments it made in the 2010 cleanup agreement. I strongly urge NASA to meet its responsibilities regarding the toxic contamination it created. Do what you promised to do--fully clean up the site.

Cynthia Wright

From: [CHRISTINE STEWART](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Re: Comment on SSFL Draft EIS- Clean up the mess!
Date: Wednesday, September 25, 2013 6:54:04 PM

Over decades, NASA contaminated the Santa Susana Field Lab with dioxins, PCBs, perchlorate, heavy metals, TCE and other volatile organic compounds. Hundreds of thousands of people live nearby. A federal study showed that people living closer to the site have higher rates of thyroid, bladder, blood and lymph cancers than people living further away.

In 2010, NASA signed a legally binding agreement with California to clean up all contamination that could be detected. But Boeing, NASA's contractor at the site and owner of most of the site, has been pushing to block full cleanup of most of the site. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement recently released by NASA that barely mentions the contamination raises questions about whether NASA is trying to break out of the cleanup agreement it signed.

It is critical that NASA live up to the commitments it made in the 2010 cleanup agreement. I strongly urge NASA to meet its responsibilities regarding the toxic contamination it created. Do what you promised to do--fully clean up the site.

CHRISTINE STEWART

From: [Darren Skotnes](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Re: Comment on SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Wednesday, September 25, 2013 4:51:21 PM

Over decades, NASA contaminated the Santa Susana Field Lab with dioxins, PCBs, perchlorate, heavy metals, TCE and other volatile organic compounds. Hundreds of thousands of people live nearby. A federal study showed that people living closer to the site have higher rates of thyroid, bladder, blood and lymph cancers than people living further away.

In 2010, NASA signed a legally binding agreement with California to clean up all contamination that could be detected. But Boeing, NASA's contractor at the site and owner of most of the site, has been pushing to block full cleanup of most of the site. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement recently released by NASA that barely mentions the contamination raises questions about whether NASA is trying to break out of the cleanup agreement it signed.

It is critical that NASA live up to the commitments it made in the 2010 cleanup agreement. I strongly urge NASA to meet its responsibilities regarding the toxic contamination it created. Do what you promised to do--fully clean up the site.

Darren Skotnes

From: [Jim Petkiewicz](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Re: Comment on SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Wednesday, September 25, 2013 4:51:35 PM

Over decades, NASA contaminated the Santa Susana Field Lab with dioxins, PCBs, perchlorate, heavy metals, TCE and other volatile organic compounds. Hundreds of thousands of people live nearby. A federal study showed that people living closer to the site have higher rates of thyroid, bladder, blood and lymph cancers than people living further away.

In 2010, NASA signed a legally binding agreement with California to clean up all contamination that could be detected. But Boeing, NASA's contractor at the site and owner of most of the site, has been pushing to block full cleanup of most of the site. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement recently released by NASA that barely mentions the contamination raises questions about whether NASA is trying to break out of the cleanup agreement it signed.

It is critical that NASA live up to the commitments it made in the 2010 cleanup agreement. I strongly urge NASA to meet its responsibilities regarding the toxic contamination it created. Do what you promised to do--fully clean up the site.

Jim Petkiewicz

From: [John Ozed](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Re: Comment on SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Wednesday, September 25, 2013 4:51:38 PM

Over decades, NASA contaminated the Santa Susana Field Lab with dioxins, PCBs, perchlorate, heavy metals, TCE and other volatile organic compounds. Hundreds of thousands of people live nearby. A federal study showed that people living closer to the site have higher rates of thyroid, bladder, blood and lymph cancers than people living further away.

In 2010, NASA signed a legally binding agreement with California to clean up all contamination that could be detected. But Boeing, NASA's contractor at the site and owner of most of the site, has been pushing to block full cleanup of most of the site. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement recently released by NASA that barely mentions the contamination raises questions about whether NASA is trying to break out of the cleanup agreement it signed.

It is critical that NASA live up to the commitments it made in the 2010 cleanup agreement. I strongly urge NASA to meet its responsibilities regarding the toxic contamination it created. Do what you promised to do--fully clean up the site.

John Ozed

From: [Lauren Murdock](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Re: Comment on SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Wednesday, September 25, 2013 4:51:52 PM

Over decades, NASA contaminated the Santa Susana Field Lab with dioxins, PCBs, perchlorate, heavy metals, TCE and other volatile organic compounds. Hundreds of thousands of people live nearby. A federal study showed that people living closer to the site have higher rates of thyroid, bladder, blood and lymph cancers than people living further away.

In 2010, NASA signed a legally binding agreement with California to clean up all contamination that could be detected. But Boeing, NASA's contractor at the site and owner of most of the site, has been pushing to block full cleanup of most of the site. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement recently released by NASA that barely mentions the contamination raises questions about whether NASA is trying to break out of the cleanup agreement it signed.

It is critical that NASA live up to the commitments it made in the 2010 cleanup agreement. I strongly urge NASA to meet its responsibilities regarding the toxic contamination it created. Do what you promised to do--fully clean up the site.

Lauren Murdock

From: [RICARDO BARBA OCHOA](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Re: Comment on SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Wednesday, September 25, 2013 4:51:52 PM

Over decades, NASA contaminated the Santa Susana Field Lab with dioxins, PCBs, perchlorate, heavy metals, TCE and other volatile organic compounds. Hundreds of thousands of people live nearby. A federal study showed that people living closer to the site have higher rates of thyroid, bladder, blood and lymph cancers than people living further away.

In 2010, NASA signed a legally binding agreement with California to clean up all contamination that could be detected. But Boeing, NASA's contractor at the site and owner of most of the site, has been pushing to block full cleanup of most of the site. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement recently released by NASA that barely mentions the contamination raises questions about whether NASA is trying to break out of the cleanup agreement it signed.

It is critical that NASA live up to the commitments it made in the 2010 cleanup agreement. I strongly urge NASA to meet its responsibilities regarding the toxic contamination it created. Do what you promised to do--fully clean up the site.

RICARDO BARBA OCHOA

From: [Veronica Hayes](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Re: Comment on SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Wednesday, September 25, 2013 4:52:01 PM

Over decades, NASA contaminated the Santa Susana Field Lab with dioxins, PCBs, perchlorate, heavy metals, TCE and other volatile organic compounds. Hundreds of thousands of people live nearby. A federal study showed that people living closer to the site have higher rates of thyroid, bladder, blood and lymph cancers than people living further away.

In 2010, NASA signed a legally binding agreement with California to clean up all contamination that could be detected. But Boeing, NASA's contractor at the site and owner of most of the site, has been pushing to block full cleanup of most of the site. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement recently released by NASA that barely mentions the contamination raises questions about whether NASA is trying to break out of the cleanup agreement it signed.

It is critical that NASA live up to the commitments it made in the 2010 cleanup agreement. I strongly urge NASA to meet its responsibilities regarding the toxic contamination it created. Do what you promised to do--fully clean up the site.

Veronica Hayes

From: [R Gordon](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Re: Comment on SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Wednesday, September 25, 2013 4:52:02 PM

Over decades, NASA contaminated the Santa Susana Field Lab with dioxins, PCBs, perchlorate, heavy metals, TCE and other volatile organic compounds. Hundreds of thousands of people live nearby. A federal study showed that people living closer to the site have higher rates of thyroid, bladder, blood and lymph cancers than people living further away.

In 2010, NASA signed a legally binding agreement with California to clean up all contamination that could be detected. But Boeing, NASA's contractor at the site and owner of most of the site, has been pushing to block full cleanup of most of the site. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement recently released by NASA that barely mentions the contamination raises questions about whether NASA is trying to break out of the cleanup agreement it signed.

It is critical that NASA live up to the commitments it made in the 2010 cleanup agreement. I strongly urge NASA to meet its responsibilities regarding the toxic contamination it created. Do what you promised to do--fully clean up the site.

R Gordon

From: [JACK PAPAIZAN](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Re: Comment on SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Wednesday, September 25, 2013 4:52:03 PM

Over decades, NASA contaminated the Santa Susana Field Lab with dioxins, PCBs, perchlorate, heavy metals, TCE and other volatile organic compounds. Hundreds of thousands of people live nearby. A federal study showed that people living closer to the site have higher rates of thyroid, bladder, blood and lymph cancers than people living further away.

In 2010, NASA signed a legally binding agreement with California to clean up all contamination that could be detected. But Boeing, NASA's contractor at the site and owner of most of the site, has been pushing to block full cleanup of most of the site. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement recently released by NASA that barely mentions the contamination raises questions about whether NASA is trying to break out of the cleanup agreement it signed.

It is critical that NASA live up to the commitments it made in the 2010 cleanup agreement. I strongly urge NASA to meet its responsibilities regarding the toxic contamination it created. Do what you promised to do--fully clean up the site.

JACK PAPAIZAN

From: [k hipkins](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Re: Comment on SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Wednesday, September 25, 2013 4:52:09 PM

Over decades, NASA contaminated the Santa Susana Field Lab with dioxins, PCBs, perchlorate, heavy metals, TCE and other volatile organic compounds. Hundreds of thousands of people live nearby. A federal study showed that people living closer to the site have higher rates of thyroid, bladder, blood and lymph cancers than people living further away.

In 2010, NASA signed a legally binding agreement with California to clean up all contamination that could be detected. But Boeing, NASA's contractor at the site and owner of most of the site, has been pushing to block full cleanup of most of the site. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement recently released by NASA that barely mentions the contamination raises questions about whether NASA is trying to break out of the cleanup agreement it signed.

It is critical that NASA live up to the commitments it made in the 2010 cleanup agreement. I strongly urge NASA to meet its responsibilities regarding the toxic contamination it created. Do what you promised to do--fully clean up the site.

k hipkins

From: [Ross Heckmann](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Re: Comment on SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Wednesday, September 25, 2013 4:52:15 PM

Over decades, NASA contaminated the Santa Susana Field Lab with dioxins, PCBs, perchlorate, heavy metals, TCE and other volatile organic compounds. Hundreds of thousands of people live nearby. A federal study showed that people living closer to the site have higher rates of thyroid, bladder, blood and lymph cancers than people living further away.

In 2010, NASA signed a legally binding agreement with California to clean up all contamination that could be detected. But Boeing, NASA's contractor at the site and owner of most of the site, has been pushing to block full cleanup of most of the site. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement recently released by NASA that barely mentions the contamination raises questions about whether NASA is trying to break out of the cleanup agreement it signed.

It is critical that NASA live up to the commitments it made in the 2010 cleanup agreement. I strongly urge NASA to meet its responsibilities regarding the toxic contamination it created. Do what you promised to do--fully clean up the site.

Ross Heckmann

From: [ANGELA BARKER](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Re: Comment on SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Wednesday, September 25, 2013 4:52:18 PM

Over decades, NASA contaminated the Santa Susana Field Lab with dioxins, PCBs, perchlorate, heavy metals, TCE and other volatile organic compounds. Hundreds of thousands of people live nearby. A federal study showed that people living closer to the site have higher rates of thyroid, bladder, blood and lymph cancers than people living further away.

In 2010, NASA signed a legally binding agreement with California to clean up all contamination that could be detected. But Boeing, NASA's contractor at the site and owner of most of the site, has been pushing to block full cleanup of most of the site. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement recently released by NASA that barely mentions the contamination raises questions about whether NASA is trying to break out of the cleanup agreement it signed.

It is critical that NASA live up to the commitments it made in the 2010 cleanup agreement. I strongly urge NASA to meet its responsibilities regarding the toxic contamination it created. Do what you promised to do--fully clean up the site.

ANGELA BARKER

From: [Danton Kono](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Re: Comment on SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Wednesday, September 25, 2013 4:52:19 PM

Over decades, NASA contaminated the Santa Susana Field Lab with dioxins, PCBs, perchlorate, heavy metals, TCE and other volatile organic compounds. Hundreds of thousands of people live nearby. A federal study showed that people living closer to the site have higher rates of thyroid, bladder, blood and lymph cancers than people living further away.

In 2010, NASA signed a legally binding agreement with California to clean up all contamination that could be detected. But Boeing, NASA's contractor at the site and owner of most of the site, has been pushing to block full cleanup of most of the site. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement recently released by NASA that barely mentions the contamination raises questions about whether NASA is trying to break out of the cleanup agreement it signed.

It is critical that NASA live up to the commitments it made in the 2010 cleanup agreement. I strongly urge NASA to meet its responsibilities regarding the toxic contamination it created. Do what you promised to do--fully clean up the site.

Danton Kono

From: [Dallas Dekeyrel](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Re: Comment on SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Wednesday, September 25, 2013 4:52:21 PM

Over decades, NASA contaminated the Santa Susana Field Lab with dioxins, PCBs, perchlorate, heavy metals, TCE and other volatile organic compounds. Hundreds of thousands of people live nearby. A federal study showed that people living closer to the site have higher rates of thyroid, bladder, blood and lymph cancers than people living further away.

In 2010, NASA signed a legally binding agreement with California to clean up all contamination that could be detected. But Boeing, NASA's contractor at the site and owner of most of the site, has been pushing to block full cleanup of most of the site. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement recently released by NASA that barely mentions the contamination raises questions about whether NASA is trying to break out of the cleanup agreement it signed.

It is critical that NASA live up to the commitments it made in the 2010 cleanup agreement. I strongly urge NASA to meet its responsibilities regarding the toxic contamination it created. Do what you promised to do--fully clean up the site.

Dallas Dekeyrel

From: [Margaret T.M. Petkiewicz](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Re: Comment on SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Wednesday, September 25, 2013 4:52:30 PM

Over decades, NASA contaminated the Santa Susana Field Lab with dioxins, PCBs, perchlorate, heavy metals, TCE and other volatile organic compounds. Hundreds of thousands of people live nearby. A federal study showed that people living closer to the site have higher rates of thyroid, bladder, blood and lymph cancers than people living further away.

In 2010, NASA signed a legally binding agreement with California to clean up all contamination that could be detected. But Boeing, NASA's contractor at the site and owner of most of the site, has been pushing to block full cleanup of most of the site. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement recently released by NASA that barely mentions the contamination raises questions about whether NASA is trying to break out of the cleanup agreement it signed.

It is critical that NASA live up to the commitments it made in the 2010 cleanup agreement. I strongly urge NASA to meet its responsibilities regarding the toxic contamination it created. Do what you promised to do--fully clean up the site.

Margaret T.M. Petkiewicz

From: [Isabelle Ferte Armour](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Re: Comment on SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Wednesday, September 25, 2013 4:52:35 PM

Over decades, NASA contaminated the Santa Susana Field Lab with dioxins, PCBs, perchlorate, heavy metals, TCE and other volatile organic compounds. Hundreds of thousands of people live nearby. A federal study showed that people living closer to the site have higher rates of thyroid, bladder, blood and lymph cancers than people living further away.

In 2010, NASA signed a legally binding agreement with California to clean up all contamination that could be detected. But Boeing, NASA's contractor at the site and owner of most of the site, has been pushing to block full cleanup of most of the site. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement recently released by NASA that barely mentions the contamination raises questions about whether NASA is trying to break out of the cleanup agreement it signed.

It is critical that NASA live up to the commitments it made in the 2010 cleanup agreement. I strongly urge NASA to meet its responsibilities regarding the toxic contamination it created. Do what you promised to do--fully clean up the site.

Isabelle Ferte Armour

From: [kerry drake](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Re: Comment on SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Wednesday, September 25, 2013 4:52:44 PM

Over decades, NASA contaminated the Santa Susana Field Lab with dioxins, PCBs, perchlorate, heavy metals, TCE and other volatile organic compounds. Hundreds of thousands of people live nearby. A federal study showed that people living closer to the site have higher rates of thyroid, bladder, blood and lymph cancers than people living further away.

In 2010, NASA signed a legally binding agreement with California to clean up all contamination that could be detected. But Boeing, NASA's contractor at the site and owner of most of the site, has been pushing to block full cleanup of most of the site. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement recently released by NASA that barely mentions the contamination raises questions about whether NASA is trying to break out of the cleanup agreement it signed.

It is critical that NASA live up to the commitments it made in the 2010 cleanup agreement. I strongly urge NASA to meet its responsibilities regarding the toxic contamination it created. Do what you promised to do--fully clean up the site.

kerry drake

From: [Michael Swanson](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Re: Comment on SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Wednesday, September 25, 2013 4:52:49 PM

Over decades, NASA contaminated the Santa Susana Field Lab with dioxins, PCBs, perchlorate, heavy metals, TCE and other volatile organic compounds. Hundreds of thousands of people live nearby. A federal study showed that people living closer to the site have higher rates of thyroid, bladder, blood and lymph cancers than people living further away.

In 2010, NASA signed a legally binding agreement with California to clean up all contamination that could be detected. But Boeing, NASA's contractor at the site and owner of most of the site, has been pushing to block full cleanup of most of the site. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement recently released by NASA that barely mentions the contamination raises questions about whether NASA is trying to break out of the cleanup agreement it signed.

It is critical that NASA live up to the commitments it made in the 2010 cleanup agreement. I strongly urge NASA to meet its responsibilities regarding the toxic contamination it created. Do what you promised to do--fully clean up the site.

Michael Swanson

From: [Daniel Geller](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Re: Comment on SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Wednesday, September 25, 2013 4:52:53 PM

Over decades, NASA contaminated the Santa Susana Field Lab with dioxins, PCBs, perchlorate, heavy metals, TCE and other volatile organic compounds. Hundreds of thousands of people live nearby. A federal study showed that people living closer to the site have higher rates of thyroid, bladder, blood and lymph cancers than people living further away.

In 2010, NASA signed a legally binding agreement with California to clean up all contamination that could be detected. But Boeing, NASA's contractor at the site and owner of most of the site, has been pushing to block full cleanup of most of the site. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement recently released by NASA that barely mentions the contamination raises questions about whether NASA is trying to break out of the cleanup agreement it signed.

It is critical that NASA live up to the commitments it made in the 2010 cleanup agreement. I strongly urge NASA to meet its responsibilities regarding the toxic contamination it created. Do what you promised to do--fully clean up the site.

Daniel Geller

From: [DARLENE NORWOOD](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Re: Comment on SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Wednesday, September 25, 2013 4:53:09 PM

Over decades, NASA contaminated the Santa Susana Field Lab with dioxins, PCBs, perchlorate, heavy metals, TCE and other volatile organic compounds. Hundreds of thousands of people live nearby. A federal study showed that people living closer to the site have higher rates of thyroid, bladder, blood and lymph cancers than people living further away.

In 2010, NASA signed a legally binding agreement with California to clean up all contamination that could be detected. But Boeing, NASA's contractor at the site and owner of most of the site, has been pushing to block full cleanup of most of the site. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement recently released by NASA that barely mentions the contamination raises questions about whether NASA is trying to break out of the cleanup agreement it signed.

It is critical that NASA live up to the commitments it made in the 2010 cleanup agreement. I strongly urge NASA to meet its responsibilities regarding the toxic contamination it created. Do what you promised to do--fully clean up the site.

DARLENE NORWOOD

From: [LAWRENCE ROSENBERG](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Re: Comment on SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Wednesday, September 25, 2013 4:53:23 PM

Over decades, NASA contaminated the Santa Susana Field Lab with dioxins, PCBs, perchlorate, heavy metals, TCE and other volatile organic compounds. Hundreds of thousands of people live nearby. A federal study showed that people living closer to the site have higher rates of thyroid, bladder, blood and lymph cancers than people living further away.

In 2010, NASA signed a legally binding agreement with California to clean up all contamination that could be detected. But Boeing, NASA's contractor at the site and owner of most of the site, has been pushing to block full cleanup of most of the site. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement recently released by NASA that barely mentions the contamination raises questions about whether NASA is trying to break out of the cleanup agreement it signed.

It is critical that NASA live up to the commitments it made in the 2010 cleanup agreement. I strongly urge NASA to meet its responsibilities regarding the toxic contamination it created. Do what you promised to do--fully clean up the site.

LAWRENCE ROSENBERG

From: [ADRIAN DENA](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Re: Comment on SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Wednesday, September 25, 2013 4:53:24 PM

Over decades, NASA contaminated the Santa Susana Field Lab with dioxins, PCBs, perchlorate, heavy metals, TCE and other volatile organic compounds. Hundreds of thousands of people live nearby. A federal study showed that people living closer to the site have higher rates of thyroid, bladder, blood and lymph cancers than people living further away.

In 2010, NASA signed a legally binding agreement with California to clean up all contamination that could be detected. But Boeing, NASA's contractor at the site and owner of most of the site, has been pushing to block full cleanup of most of the site. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement recently released by NASA that barely mentions the contamination raises questions about whether NASA is trying to break out of the cleanup agreement it signed.

It is critical that NASA live up to the commitments it made in the 2010 cleanup agreement. I strongly urge NASA to meet its responsibilities regarding the toxic contamination it created. Do what you promised to do--fully clean up the site.

ADRIAN DENA

From: [ARTURO DIAZ](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Re: Comment on SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Wednesday, September 25, 2013 4:53:29 PM

Over decades, NASA contaminated the Santa Susana Field Lab with dioxins, PCBs, perchlorate, heavy metals, TCE and other volatile organic compounds. Hundreds of thousands of people live nearby. A federal study showed that people living closer to the site have higher rates of thyroid, bladder, blood and lymph cancers than people living further away.

In 2010, NASA signed a legally binding agreement with California to clean up all contamination that could be detected. But Boeing, NASA's contractor at the site and owner of most of the site, has been pushing to block full cleanup of most of the site. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement recently released by NASA that barely mentions the contamination raises questions about whether NASA is trying to break out of the cleanup agreement it signed.

It is critical that NASA live up to the commitments it made in the 2010 cleanup agreement. I strongly urge NASA to meet its responsibilities regarding the toxic contamination it created. Do what you promised to do--fully clean up the site.

ARTURO DIAZ

From: [Will Moore](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Re: Comment on SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Wednesday, September 25, 2013 4:53:40 PM

Over decades, NASA contaminated the Santa Susana Field Lab with dioxins, PCBs, perchlorate, heavy metals, TCE and other volatile organic compounds. Hundreds of thousands of people live nearby. A federal study showed that people living closer to the site have higher rates of thyroid, bladder, blood and lymph cancers than people living further away.

In 2010, NASA signed a legally binding agreement with California to clean up all contamination that could be detected. But Boeing, NASA's contractor at the site and owner of most of the site, has been pushing to block full cleanup of most of the site. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement recently released by NASA that barely mentions the contamination raises questions about whether NASA is trying to break out of the cleanup agreement it signed.

It is critical that NASA live up to the commitments it made in the 2010 cleanup agreement. I strongly urge NASA to meet its responsibilities regarding the toxic contamination it created. Do what you promised to do--fully clean up the site.

Will Moore

From: [Steven Collins](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Re: Comment on SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Wednesday, September 25, 2013 4:54:09 PM

Over decades, NASA contaminated the Santa Susana Field Lab with dioxins, PCBs, perchlorate, heavy metals, TCE and other volatile organic compounds. Hundreds of thousands of people live nearby. A federal study showed that people living closer to the site have higher rates of thyroid, bladder, blood and lymph cancers than people living further away.

In 2010, NASA signed a legally binding agreement with California to clean up all contamination that could be detected. But Boeing, NASA's contractor at the site and owner of most of the site, has been pushing to block full cleanup of most of the site. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement recently released by NASA that barely mentions the contamination raises questions about whether NASA is trying to break out of the cleanup agreement it signed.

It is critical that NASA live up to the commitments it made in the 2010 cleanup agreement. I strongly urge NASA to meet its responsibilities regarding the toxic contamination it created. Do what you promised to do--fully clean up the site.

Steven Collins

From: [Dolores J. Arond](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Re: Comment on SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Wednesday, September 25, 2013 4:54:19 PM

Over decades, NASA contaminated the Santa Susana Field Lab with dioxins, PCBs, perchlorate, heavy metals, TCE and other volatile organic compounds. Hundreds of thousands of people live nearby. A federal study showed that people living closer to the site have higher rates of thyroid, bladder, blood and lymph cancers than people living further away.

In 2010, NASA signed a legally binding agreement with California to clean up all contamination that could be detected. But Boeing, NASA's contractor at the site and owner of most of the site, has been pushing to block full cleanup of most of the site. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement recently released by NASA that barely mentions the contamination raises questions about whether NASA is trying to break out of the cleanup agreement it signed.

It is critical that NASA live up to the commitments it made in the 2010 cleanup agreement. I strongly urge NASA to meet its responsibilities regarding the toxic contamination it created. Do what you promised to do--fully clean up the site.

Dolores J. Arond

From: [Deb Christensen](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Re: Comment on SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Wednesday, September 25, 2013 4:54:26 PM

Over decades, NASA contaminated the Santa Susana Field Lab with dioxins, PCBs, perchlorate, heavy metals, TCE and other volatile organic compounds. Hundreds of thousands of people live nearby. A federal study showed that people living closer to the site have higher rates of thyroid, bladder, blood and lymph cancers than people living further away.

In 2010, NASA signed a legally binding agreement with California to clean up all contamination that could be detected. But Boeing, NASA's contractor at the site and owner of most of the site, has been pushing to block full cleanup of most of the site. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement recently released by NASA that barely mentions the contamination raises questions about whether NASA is trying to break out of the cleanup agreement it signed.

It is critical that NASA live up to the commitments it made in the 2010 cleanup agreement. I strongly urge NASA to meet its responsibilities regarding the toxic contamination it created. Do what you promised to do--fully clean up the site.

Deb Christensen

From: [jennifer lopez](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Re: Comment on SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Wednesday, September 25, 2013 4:54:31 PM

Over decades, NASA contaminated the Santa Susana Field Lab with dioxins, PCBs, perchlorate, heavy metals, TCE and other volatile organic compounds. Hundreds of thousands of people live nearby. A federal study showed that people living closer to the site have higher rates of thyroid, bladder, blood and lymph cancers than people living further away.

In 2010, NASA signed a legally binding agreement with California to clean up all contamination that could be detected. But Boeing, NASA's contractor at the site and owner of most of the site, has been pushing to block full cleanup of most of the site. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement recently released by NASA that barely mentions the contamination raises questions about whether NASA is trying to break out of the cleanup agreement it signed.

It is critical that NASA live up to the commitments it made in the 2010 cleanup agreement. I strongly urge NASA to meet its responsibilities regarding the toxic contamination it created. Do what you promised to do--fully clean up the site.

jennifer lopez

From: [Robert Rosenberg](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Re: Comment on SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Wednesday, September 25, 2013 4:54:31 PM

Over decades, NASA contaminated the Santa Susana Field Lab with dioxins, PCBs, perchlorate, heavy metals, TCE and other volatile organic compounds. Hundreds of thousands of people live nearby. A federal study showed that people living closer to the site have higher rates of thyroid, bladder, blood and lymph cancers than people living further away.

In 2010, NASA signed a legally binding agreement with California to clean up all contamination that could be detected. But Boeing, NASA's contractor at the site and owner of most of the site, has been pushing to block full cleanup of most of the site. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement recently released by NASA that barely mentions the contamination raises questions about whether NASA is trying to break out of the cleanup agreement it signed.

It is critical that NASA live up to the commitments it made in the 2010 cleanup agreement. I strongly urge NASA to meet its responsibilities regarding the toxic contamination it created. Do what you promised to do--fully clean up the site.

Robert Rosenberg

From: [seth carr](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Re: Comment on SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Wednesday, September 25, 2013 4:54:34 PM

Over decades, NASA contaminated the Santa Susana Field Lab with dioxins, PCBs, perchlorate, heavy metals, TCE and other volatile organic compounds. Hundreds of thousands of people live nearby. A federal study showed that people living closer to the site have higher rates of thyroid, bladder, blood and lymph cancers than people living further away.

In 2010, NASA signed a legally binding agreement with California to clean up all contamination that could be detected. But Boeing, NASA's contractor at the site and owner of most of the site, has been pushing to block full cleanup of most of the site. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement recently released by NASA that barely mentions the contamination raises questions about whether NASA is trying to break out of the cleanup agreement it signed.

It is critical that NASA live up to the commitments it made in the 2010 cleanup agreement. I strongly urge NASA to meet its responsibilities regarding the toxic contamination it created. Do what you promised to do--fully clean up the site.

seth carr

From: [Caryn Graves](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Re: Comment on SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Wednesday, September 25, 2013 4:54:40 PM

Over decades, NASA contaminated the Santa Susana Field Lab with dioxins, PCBs, perchlorate, heavy metals, TCE and other volatile organic compounds. Hundreds of thousands of people live nearby. A federal study showed that people living closer to the site have higher rates of thyroid, bladder, blood and lymph cancers than people living further away.

In 2010, NASA signed a legally binding agreement with California to clean up all contamination that could be detected. But Boeing, NASA's contractor at the site and owner of most of the site, has been pushing to block full cleanup of most of the site. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement recently released by NASA that barely mentions the contamination raises questions about whether NASA is trying to break out of the cleanup agreement it signed.

It is critical that NASA live up to the commitments it made in the 2010 cleanup agreement. I strongly urge NASA to meet its responsibilities regarding the toxic contamination it created. Do what you promised to do--fully clean up the site.

Caryn Graves

From: [KRISTOFFER POLAHA](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Re: Comment on SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Wednesday, September 25, 2013 4:54:42 PM

Over decades, NASA contaminated the Santa Susana Field Lab with dioxins, PCBs, perchlorate, heavy metals, TCE and other volatile organic compounds. Hundreds of thousands of people live nearby. A federal study showed that people living closer to the site have higher rates of thyroid, bladder, blood and lymph cancers than people living further away.

In 2010, NASA signed a legally binding agreement with California to clean up all contamination that could be detected. But Boeing, NASA's contractor at the site and owner of most of the site, has been pushing to block full cleanup of most of the site. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement recently released by NASA that barely mentions the contamination raises questions about whether NASA is trying to break out of the cleanup agreement it signed.

It is critical that NASA live up to the commitments it made in the 2010 cleanup agreement. I strongly urge NASA to meet its responsibilities regarding the toxic contamination it created. Do what you promised to do--fully clean up the site.

KRISTOFFER POLAHA

From: [Juli Loupe](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Re: Comment on SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Wednesday, September 25, 2013 4:54:57 PM

Over decades, NASA contaminated the Santa Susana Field Lab with dioxins, PCBs, perchlorate, heavy metals, TCE and other volatile organic compounds. Hundreds of thousands of people live nearby. A federal study showed that people living closer to the site have higher rates of thyroid, bladder, blood and lymph cancers than people living further away.

In 2010, NASA signed a legally binding agreement with California to clean up all contamination that could be detected. But Boeing, NASA's contractor at the site and owner of most of the site, has been pushing to block full cleanup of most of the site. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement recently released by NASA that barely mentions the contamination raises questions about whether NASA is trying to break out of the cleanup agreement it signed.

It is critical that NASA live up to the commitments it made in the 2010 cleanup agreement. I strongly urge NASA to meet its responsibilities regarding the toxic contamination it created. Do what you promised to do--fully clean up the site.

Juli Loupe

From: [MARYANNE JACKSON](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Re: Comment on SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Wednesday, September 25, 2013 4:55:03 PM

Over decades, NASA contaminated the Santa Susana Field Lab with dioxins, PCBs, perchlorate, heavy metals, TCE and other volatile organic compounds. Hundreds of thousands of people live nearby. A federal study showed that people living closer to the site have higher rates of thyroid, bladder, blood and lymph cancers than people living further away.

In 2010, NASA signed a legally binding agreement with California to clean up all contamination that could be detected. But Boeing, NASA's contractor at the site and owner of most of the site, has been pushing to block full cleanup of most of the site. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement recently released by NASA that barely mentions the contamination raises questions about whether NASA is trying to break out of the cleanup agreement it signed.

It is critical that NASA live up to the commitments it made in the 2010 cleanup agreement. I strongly urge NASA to meet its responsibilities regarding the toxic contamination it created. Do what you promised to do--fully clean up the site.

MARYANNE JACKSON

From: [Brian Benson](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Re: Comment on SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Wednesday, September 25, 2013 4:55:10 PM

Over decades, NASA contaminated the Santa Susana Field Lab with dioxins, PCBs, perchlorate, heavy metals, TCE and other volatile organic compounds. Hundreds of thousands of people live nearby. A federal study showed that people living closer to the site have higher rates of thyroid, bladder, blood and lymph cancers than people living further away.

In 2010, NASA signed a legally binding agreement with California to clean up all contamination that could be detected. But Boeing, NASA's contractor at the site and owner of most of the site, has been pushing to block full cleanup of most of the site. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement recently released by NASA that barely mentions the contamination raises questions about whether NASA is trying to break out of the cleanup agreement it signed.

It is critical that NASA live up to the commitments it made in the 2010 cleanup agreement. I strongly urge NASA to meet its responsibilities regarding the toxic contamination it created. Do what you promised to do--fully clean up the site.

Brian Benson

From: [John Teevan](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Re: Comment on SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Wednesday, September 25, 2013 4:55:15 PM

Over decades, NASA contaminated the Santa Susana Field Lab with dioxins, PCBs, perchlorate, heavy metals, TCE and other volatile organic compounds. Hundreds of thousands of people live nearby. A federal study showed that people living closer to the site have higher rates of thyroid, bladder, blood and lymph cancers than people living further away.

In 2010, NASA signed a legally binding agreement with California to clean up all contamination that could be detected. But Boeing, NASA's contractor at the site and owner of most of the site, has been pushing to block full cleanup of most of the site. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement recently released by NASA that barely mentions the contamination raises questions about whether NASA is trying to break out of the cleanup agreement it signed.

It is critical that NASA live up to the commitments it made in the 2010 cleanup agreement. I strongly urge NASA to meet its responsibilities regarding the toxic contamination it created. Do what you promised to do--fully clean up the site.

John Teevan

From: [Patty Grogan](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Re: Comment on SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Wednesday, September 25, 2013 4:55:23 PM

Over decades, NASA contaminated the Santa Susana Field Lab with dioxins, PCBs, perchlorate, heavy metals, TCE and other volatile organic compounds. Hundreds of thousands of people live nearby. A federal study showed that people living closer to the site have higher rates of thyroid, bladder, blood and lymph cancers than people living further away.

In 2010, NASA signed a legally binding agreement with California to clean up all contamination that could be detected. But Boeing, NASA's contractor at the site and owner of most of the site, has been pushing to block full cleanup of most of the site. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement recently released by NASA that barely mentions the contamination raises questions about whether NASA is trying to break out of the cleanup agreement it signed.

It is critical that NASA live up to the commitments it made in the 2010 cleanup agreement. I strongly urge NASA to meet its responsibilities regarding the toxic contamination it created. Do what you promised to do--fully clean up the site.

Patty Grogan

From: [Michael Elkins](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Re: Comment on SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Wednesday, September 25, 2013 4:55:33 PM

Over decades, NASA contaminated the Santa Susana Field Lab with dioxins, PCBs, perchlorate, heavy metals, TCE and other volatile organic compounds. Hundreds of thousands of people live nearby. A federal study showed that people living closer to the site have higher rates of thyroid, bladder, blood and lymph cancers than people living further away.

In 2010, NASA signed a legally binding agreement with California to clean up all contamination that could be detected. But Boeing, NASA's contractor at the site and owner of most of the site, has been pushing to block full cleanup of most of the site. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement recently released by NASA that barely mentions the contamination raises questions about whether NASA is trying to break out of the cleanup agreement it signed.

It is critical that NASA live up to the commitments it made in the 2010 cleanup agreement. I strongly urge NASA to meet its responsibilities regarding the toxic contamination it created. Do what you promised to do--fully clean up the site.

Michael Elkins

From: [Corey Lane](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Re: Comment on SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Wednesday, September 25, 2013 4:55:35 PM

Over decades, NASA contaminated the Santa Susana Field Lab with dioxins, PCBs, perchlorate, heavy metals, TCE and other volatile organic compounds. Hundreds of thousands of people live nearby. A federal study showed that people living closer to the site have higher rates of thyroid, bladder, blood and lymph cancers than people living further away.

In 2010, NASA signed a legally binding agreement with California to clean up all contamination that could be detected. But Boeing, NASA's contractor at the site and owner of most of the site, has been pushing to block full cleanup of most of the site. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement recently released by NASA that barely mentions the contamination raises questions about whether NASA is trying to break out of the cleanup agreement it signed.

It is critical that NASA live up to the commitments it made in the 2010 cleanup agreement. I strongly urge NASA to meet its responsibilities regarding the toxic contamination it created. Do what you promised to do--fully clean up the site.

Corey Lane

From: [LUIS CAMARENA](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Re: Comment on SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Wednesday, September 25, 2013 4:55:37 PM

Over decades, NASA contaminated the Santa Susana Field Lab with dioxins, PCBs, perchlorate, heavy metals, TCE and other volatile organic compounds. Hundreds of thousands of people live nearby. A federal study showed that people living closer to the site have higher rates of thyroid, bladder, blood and lymph cancers than people living further away.

In 2010, NASA signed a legally binding agreement with California to clean up all contamination that could be detected. But Boeing, NASA's contractor at the site and owner of most of the site, has been pushing to block full cleanup of most of the site. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement recently released by NASA that barely mentions the contamination raises questions about whether NASA is trying to break out of the cleanup agreement it signed.

It is critical that NASA live up to the commitments it made in the 2010 cleanup agreement. I strongly urge NASA to meet its responsibilities regarding the toxic contamination it created. Do what you promised to do--fully clean up the site.

LUIS CAMARENA

From: [Todd Snyder](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Re: Comment on SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Wednesday, September 25, 2013 4:55:39 PM

Over decades, NASA contaminated the Santa Susana Field Lab with dioxins, PCBs, perchlorate, heavy metals, TCE and other volatile organic compounds. Hundreds of thousands of people live nearby. A federal study showed that people living closer to the site have higher rates of thyroid, bladder, blood and lymph cancers than people living further away.

In 2010, NASA signed a legally binding agreement with California to clean up all contamination that could be detected. But Boeing, NASA's contractor at the site and owner of most of the site, has been pushing to block full cleanup of most of the site. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement recently released by NASA that barely mentions the contamination raises questions about whether NASA is trying to break out of the cleanup agreement it signed.

It is critical that NASA live up to the commitments it made in the 2010 cleanup agreement. I strongly urge NASA to meet its responsibilities regarding the toxic contamination it created. Do what you promised to do--fully clean up the site.

Todd Snyder

From: [MOSES CARL](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Re: Comment on SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Wednesday, September 25, 2013 4:55:39 PM

Over decades, NASA contaminated the Santa Susana Field Lab with dioxins, PCBs, perchlorate, heavy metals, TCE and other volatile organic compounds. Hundreds of thousands of people live nearby. A federal study showed that people living closer to the site have higher rates of thyroid, bladder, blood and lymph cancers than people living further away.

In 2010, NASA signed a legally binding agreement with California to clean up all contamination that could be detected. But Boeing, NASA's contractor at the site and owner of most of the site, has been pushing to block full cleanup of most of the site. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement recently released by NASA that barely mentions the contamination raises questions about whether NASA is trying to break out of the cleanup agreement it signed.

It is critical that NASA live up to the commitments it made in the 2010 cleanup agreement. I strongly urge NASA to meet its responsibilities regarding the toxic contamination it created. Do what you promised to do--fully clean up the site.

MOSES CARL

From: [MICHAEL TOCZEK](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Re: Comment on SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Wednesday, September 25, 2013 4:55:54 PM

Over decades, NASA contaminated the Santa Susana Field Lab with dioxins, PCBs, perchlorate, heavy metals, TCE and other volatile organic compounds. Hundreds of thousands of people live nearby. A federal study showed that people living closer to the site have higher rates of thyroid, bladder, blood and lymph cancers than people living further away.

In 2010, NASA signed a legally binding agreement with California to clean up all contamination that could be detected. But Boeing, NASA's contractor at the site and owner of most of the site, has been pushing to block full cleanup of most of the site. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement recently released by NASA that barely mentions the contamination raises questions about whether NASA is trying to break out of the cleanup agreement it signed.

It is critical that NASA live up to the commitments it made in the 2010 cleanup agreement. I strongly urge NASA to meet its responsibilities regarding the toxic contamination it created. Do what you promised to do--fully clean up the site.

MICHAEL TOCZEK

From: [Stepheny McGraw](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Re: Comment on SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Wednesday, September 25, 2013 4:55:58 PM

Over decades, NASA contaminated the Santa Susana Field Lab with dioxins, PCBs, perchlorate, heavy metals, TCE and other volatile organic compounds. Hundreds of thousands of people live nearby. A federal study showed that people living closer to the site have higher rates of thyroid, bladder, blood and lymph cancers than people living further away.

In 2010, NASA signed a legally binding agreement with California to clean up all contamination that could be detected. But Boeing, NASA's contractor at the site and owner of most of the site, has been pushing to block full cleanup of most of the site. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement recently released by NASA that barely mentions the contamination raises questions about whether NASA is trying to break out of the cleanup agreement it signed.

It is critical that NASA live up to the commitments it made in the 2010 cleanup agreement. I strongly urge NASA to meet its responsibilities regarding the toxic contamination it created. Do what you promised to do--fully clean up the site.

Stepheny McGraw

From: [Naomi Sobo](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Re: Comment on SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Wednesday, September 25, 2013 4:56:22 PM

Over decades, NASA contaminated the Santa Susana Field Lab with dioxins, PCBs, perchlorate, heavy metals, TCE and other volatile organic compounds. Hundreds of thousands of people live nearby. A federal study showed that people living closer to the site have higher rates of thyroid, bladder, blood and lymph cancers than people living further away.

In 2010, NASA signed a legally binding agreement with California to clean up all contamination that could be detected. But Boeing, NASA's contractor at the site and owner of most of the site, has been pushing to block full cleanup of most of the site. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement recently released by NASA that barely mentions the contamination raises questions about whether NASA is trying to break out of the cleanup agreement it signed.

It is critical that NASA live up to the commitments it made in the 2010 cleanup agreement. I strongly urge NASA to meet its responsibilities regarding the toxic contamination it created. Do what you promised to do--fully clean up the site.

Naomi Sobo

From: [Lawrence Baldwin](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Re: Comment on SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Wednesday, September 25, 2013 4:56:23 PM

Over decades, NASA contaminated the Santa Susana Field Lab with dioxins, PCBs, perchlorate, heavy metals, TCE and other volatile organic compounds. Hundreds of thousands of people live nearby. A federal study showed that people living closer to the site have higher rates of thyroid, bladder, blood and lymph cancers than people living further away.

In 2010, NASA signed a legally binding agreement with California to clean up all contamination that could be detected. But Boeing, NASA's contractor at the site and owner of most of the site, has been pushing to block full cleanup of most of the site. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement recently released by NASA that barely mentions the contamination raises questions about whether NASA is trying to break out of the cleanup agreement it signed.

It is critical that NASA live up to the commitments it made in the 2010 cleanup agreement. I strongly urge NASA to meet its responsibilities regarding the toxic contamination it created. Do what you promised to do--fully clean up the site.

Lawrence Baldwin

From: [WILLIAM HOOPER](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Re: Comment on SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Wednesday, September 25, 2013 4:56:24 PM

Over decades, NASA contaminated the Santa Susana Field Lab with dioxins, PCBs, perchlorate, heavy metals, TCE and other volatile organic compounds. Hundreds of thousands of people live nearby. A federal study showed that people living closer to the site have higher rates of thyroid, bladder, blood and lymph cancers than people living further away.

In 2010, NASA signed a legally binding agreement with California to clean up all contamination that could be detected. But Boeing, NASA's contractor at the site and owner of most of the site, has been pushing to block full cleanup of most of the site. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement recently released by NASA that barely mentions the contamination raises questions about whether NASA is trying to break out of the cleanup agreement it signed.

It is critical that NASA live up to the commitments it made in the 2010 cleanup agreement. I strongly urge NASA to meet its responsibilities regarding the toxic contamination it created. Do what you promised to do--fully clean up the site.

WILLIAM HOOPER

From: [George Behrman](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Re: Comment on SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Wednesday, September 25, 2013 4:56:24 PM

Over decades, NASA contaminated the Santa Susana Field Lab with dioxins, PCBs, perchlorate, heavy metals, TCE and other volatile organic compounds. Hundreds of thousands of people live nearby. A federal study showed that people living closer to the site have higher rates of thyroid, bladder, blood and lymph cancers than people living further away.

In 2010, NASA signed a legally binding agreement with California to clean up all contamination that could be detected. But Boeing, NASA's contractor at the site and owner of most of the site, has been pushing to block full cleanup of most of the site. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement recently released by NASA that barely mentions the contamination raises questions about whether NASA is trying to break out of the cleanup agreement it signed.

It is critical that NASA live up to the commitments it made in the 2010 cleanup agreement. I strongly urge NASA to meet its responsibilities regarding the toxic contamination it created. Do what you promised to do--fully clean up the site.

George Behrman

From: [Gerald Stratman](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Re: Comment on SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Wednesday, September 25, 2013 4:56:38 PM

Over decades, NASA contaminated the Santa Susana Field Lab with dioxins, PCBs, perchlorate, heavy metals, TCE and other volatile organic compounds. Hundreds of thousands of people live nearby. A federal study showed that people living closer to the site have higher rates of thyroid, bladder, blood and lymph cancers than people living further away.

In 2010, NASA signed a legally binding agreement with California to clean up all contamination that could be detected. But Boeing, NASA's contractor at the site and owner of most of the site, has been pushing to block full cleanup of most of the site. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement recently released by NASA that barely mentions the contamination raises questions about whether NASA is trying to break out of the cleanup agreement it signed.

It is critical that NASA live up to the commitments it made in the 2010 cleanup agreement. I strongly urge NASA to meet its responsibilities regarding the toxic contamination it created. Do what you promised to do--fully clean up the site.

Gerald Stratman

From: [Brandyn Gibson](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Re: Comment on SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Wednesday, September 25, 2013 4:56:40 PM

I could care less about what the rest of this says, but treat the environment of earth as you would an alien planet. Preserve!

Over decades, NASA contaminated the Santa Susana Field Lab with dioxins, PCBs, perchlorate, heavy metals, TCE and other volatile organic compounds. Hundreds of thousands of people live nearby. A federal study showed that people living closer to the site have higher rates of thyroid, bladder, blood and lymph cancers than people living further away.

In 2010, NASA signed a legally binding agreement with California to clean up all contamination that could be detected. But Boeing, NASA's contractor at the site and owner of most of the site, has been pushing to block full cleanup of most of the site. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement recently released by NASA that barely mentions the contamination raises questions about whether NASA is trying to break out of the cleanup agreement it signed.

It is critical that NASA live up to the commitments it made in the 2010 cleanup agreement. I strongly urge NASA to meet its responsibilities regarding the toxic contamination it created. Do what you promised to do--fully clean up the site.

Brandyn Gibson

From: [nancy blastos](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Re: Comment on SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Wednesday, September 25, 2013 4:56:49 PM

Over decades, NASA contaminated the Santa Susana Field Lab with dioxins, PCBs, perchlorate, heavy metals, TCE and other volatile organic compounds. Hundreds of thousands of people live nearby. A federal study showed that people living closer to the site have higher rates of thyroid, bladder, blood and lymph cancers than people living further away.

In 2010, NASA signed a legally binding agreement with California to clean up all contamination that could be detected. But Boeing, NASA's contractor at the site and owner of most of the site, has been pushing to block full cleanup of most of the site. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement recently released by NASA that barely mentions the contamination raises questions about whether NASA is trying to break out of the cleanup agreement it signed.

It is critical that NASA live up to the commitments it made in the 2010 cleanup agreement. I strongly urge NASA to meet its responsibilities regarding the toxic contamination it created. Do what you promised to do--fully clean up the site.

nancy blastos

From: [nancy blastos](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Re: Comment on SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Wednesday, September 25, 2013 4:56:49 PM

Over decades, NASA contaminated the Santa Susana Field Lab with dioxins, PCBs, perchlorate, heavy metals, TCE and other volatile organic compounds. Hundreds of thousands of people live nearby. A federal study showed that people living closer to the site have higher rates of thyroid, bladder, blood and lymph cancers than people living further away.

In 2010, NASA signed a legally binding agreement with California to clean up all contamination that could be detected. But Boeing, NASA's contractor at the site and owner of most of the site, has been pushing to block full cleanup of most of the site. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement recently released by NASA that barely mentions the contamination raises questions about whether NASA is trying to break out of the cleanup agreement it signed.

It is critical that NASA live up to the commitments it made in the 2010 cleanup agreement. I strongly urge NASA to meet its responsibilities regarding the toxic contamination it created. Do what you promised to do--fully clean up the site.

nancy blastos

From: [larry baird](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Re: Comment on SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Wednesday, September 25, 2013 4:56:49 PM

Over decades, NASA contaminated the Santa Susana Field Lab with dioxins, PCBs, perchlorate, heavy metals, TCE and other volatile organic compounds. Hundreds of thousands of people live nearby. A federal study showed that people living closer to the site have higher rates of thyroid, bladder, blood and lymph cancers than people living further away.

In 2010, NASA signed a legally binding agreement with California to clean up all contamination that could be detected. But Boeing, NASA's contractor at the site and owner of most of the site, has been pushing to block full cleanup of most of the site. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement recently released by NASA that barely mentions the contamination raises questions about whether NASA is trying to break out of the cleanup agreement it signed.

It is critical that NASA live up to the commitments it made in the 2010 cleanup agreement. I strongly urge NASA to meet its responsibilities regarding the toxic contamination it created. Do what you promised to do--fully clean up the site.

larry baird

From: [Mike Jones](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Re: Comment on SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Wednesday, September 25, 2013 4:56:57 PM

Over decades, NASA contaminated the Santa Susana Field Lab with dioxins, PCBs, perchlorate, heavy metals, TCE and other volatile organic compounds. Hundreds of thousands of people live nearby. A federal study showed that people living closer to the site have higher rates of thyroid, bladder, blood and lymph cancers than people living further away.

In 2010, NASA signed a legally binding agreement with California to clean up all contamination that could be detected. But Boeing, NASA's contractor at the site and owner of most of the site, has been pushing to block full cleanup of most of the site. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement recently released by NASA that barely mentions the contamination raises questions about whether NASA is trying to break out of the cleanup agreement it signed.

It is critical that NASA live up to the commitments it made in the 2010 cleanup agreement. I strongly urge NASA to meet its responsibilities regarding the toxic contamination it created. Do what you promised to do--fully clean up the site.

Mike Jones

From: [Adam Lane](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Re: Comment on SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Wednesday, September 25, 2013 4:56:59 PM

Over decades, NASA contaminated the Santa Susana Field Lab with dioxins, PCBs, perchlorate, heavy metals, TCE and other volatile organic compounds. Hundreds of thousands of people live nearby. A federal study showed that people living closer to the site have higher rates of thyroid, bladder, blood and lymph cancers than people living further away.

In 2010, NASA signed a legally binding agreement with California to clean up all contamination that could be detected. But Boeing, NASA's contractor at the site and owner of most of the site, has been pushing to block full cleanup of most of the site. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement recently released by NASA that barely mentions the contamination raises questions about whether NASA is trying to break out of the cleanup agreement it signed.

It is critical that NASA live up to the commitments it made in the 2010 cleanup agreement. I strongly urge NASA to meet its responsibilities regarding the toxic contamination it created. Do what you promised to do--fully clean up the site.

Adam Lane

From: [Clayton Barbeau, M.A., MFT](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Re: Comment on SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Wednesday, September 25, 2013 4:57:00 PM

Over decades, NASA contaminated the Santa Susana Field Lab with dioxins, PCBs, perchlorate, heavy metals, TCE and other volatile organic compounds. Hundreds of thousands of people live nearby. A federal study showed that people living closer to the site have higher rates of thyroid, bladder, blood and lymph cancers than people living further away.

In 2010, NASA signed a legally binding agreement with California to clean up all contamination that could be detected. But Boeing, NASA's contractor at the site and owner of most of the site, has been pushing to block full cleanup of most of the site. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement recently released by NASA that barely mentions the contamination raises questions about whether NASA is trying to break out of the cleanup agreement it signed.

It is critical that NASA live up to the commitments it made in the 2010 cleanup agreement. I strongly urge NASA to meet its responsibilities regarding the toxic contamination it created. Do what you promised to do--fully clean up the site.

Clayton Barbeau, M.A., MFT

From: [Pablo Medina](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Re: Comment on SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Wednesday, September 25, 2013 4:57:14 PM

Over decades, NASA contaminated the Santa Susana Field Lab with dioxins, PCBs, perchlorate, heavy metals, TCE and other volatile organic compounds. Hundreds of thousands of people live nearby. A federal study showed that people living closer to the site have higher rates of thyroid, bladder, blood and lymph cancers than people living further away.

In 2010, NASA signed a legally binding agreement with California to clean up all contamination that could be detected. But Boeing, NASA's contractor at the site and owner of most of the site, has been pushing to block full cleanup of most of the site. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement recently released by NASA that barely mentions the contamination raises questions about whether NASA is trying to break out of the cleanup agreement it signed.

It is critical that NASA live up to the commitments it made in the 2010 cleanup agreement. I strongly urge NASA to meet its responsibilities regarding the toxic contamination it created. Do what you promised to do--fully clean up the site.

Pablo Medina

From: [Roy Tuckman](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Santa Susana Cleanup Draft EIS
Date: Friday, September 27, 2013 5:17:12 AM

Dear Mr. Elliott,

I'm writing to applaud NASA for having entered into a binding agreement with the State of California in 2010 to clean up all detectible contamination at the polluted Santa Susana Field Laboratory (SSFL). In response to NASA's Draft Environmental Report on the SSFL cleanup, I now urge NASA to now fully and rigorously carry out the commitments made in that cleanup agreement.

NASA's portion of SSFL is contaminated with toxic chemicals including PCBs, perchlorate, dioxins, heavy metals, and various volatile and semi-volatile organic compounds, all of which can produce harmful health effects. These materials can cause solid cancers and leukemias as well as developmental, genetic, neurological and immune system disorders.

NASA's contamination at SSFL has the potential to impact communities near the site and beyond. Indeed, pollutants from the site have already migrated offsite. The best way to ensure that public health is protected is to clean up to background as NASA has agreed to do.

NASA should be commended for having entered into the 2010 cleanup agreement. Now it is time to, without further delay, implement this historic cleanup agreement, thoroughly and completely.

Sincerely,

Roy Tuckman

From: [Brendan Dwyer](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Santa Susana Cleanup Draft EIS
Date: Thursday, September 26, 2013 6:23:56 PM

Dear Mr. Elliott,

I'm writing to applaud NASA for having entered into a binding agreement with the State of California in 2010 to clean up all detectible contamination at the polluted Santa Susana Field Laboratory (SSFL). In response to NASA's Draft Environmental Report on the SSFL cleanup, I now urge NASA to now fully and rigorously carry out the commitments made in that cleanup agreement.

NASA's portion of SSFL is contaminated with toxic chemicals including PCBs, perchlorate, dioxins, heavy metals, and various volatile and semi-volatile organic compounds, all of which can produce harmful health effects. These materials can cause solid cancers and leukemias as well as developmental, genetic, neurological and immune system disorders.

NASA's contamination at SSFL has the potential to impact communities near the site and beyond. Indeed, pollutants from the site have already migrated offsite. The best way to ensure that public health is protected is to clean up to background as NASA has agreed to do.

NASA should be commended for having entered into the 2010 cleanup agreement. Now it is time to, without further delay, implement this historic cleanup agreement, thoroughly and completely.

Sincerely,

Brendan Dwyer

From: [Horace Gaims](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Santa Susana Cleanup Draft EIS
Date: Thursday, September 26, 2013 6:26:23 PM

Dear Mr. Elliott,

I'm writing to applaud NASA for having entered into a binding agreement with the State of California in 2010 to clean up all detectible contamination at the polluted Santa Susana Field Laboratory (SSFL). In response to NASA's Draft Environmental Report on the SSFL cleanup, I now urge NASA to now fully and rigorously carry out the commitments made in that cleanup agreement.

NASA's portion of SSFL is contaminated with toxic chemicals including PCBs, perchlorate, dioxins, heavy metals, and various volatile and semi-volatile organic compounds, all of which can produce harmful health effects. These materials can cause solid cancers and leukemias as well as developmental, genetic, neurological and immune system disorders.

NASA's contamination at SSFL has the potential to impact communities near the site and beyond. Indeed, pollutants from the site have already migrated offsite. The best way to ensure that public health is protected is to clean up to background as NASA has agreed to do.

NASA should be commended for having entered into the 2010 cleanup agreement. Now it is time to, without further delay, implement this historic cleanup agreement, thoroughly and completely.

Sincerely,

Horace Gaims

From: [William Bodden](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Santa Susana Cleanup Draft EIS
Date: Thursday, September 26, 2013 6:29:19 PM

Dear Mr. Elliott,

I'm writing to applaud NASA for having entered into a binding agreement with the State of California in 2010 to clean up all detectible contamination at the polluted Santa Susana Field Laboratory (SSFL). In response to NASA's Draft Environmental Report on the SSFL cleanup, I now urge NASA to now fully and rigorously carry out the commitments made in that cleanup agreement.

NASA's portion of SSFL is contaminated with toxic chemicals including PCBs, perchlorate, dioxins, heavy metals, and various volatile and semi-volatile organic compounds, all of which can produce harmful health effects. These materials can cause solid cancers and leukemias as well as developmental, genetic, neurological and immune system disorders.

NASA's contamination at SSFL has the potential to impact communities near the site and beyond. Indeed, pollutants from the site have already migrated offsite. The best way to ensure that public health is protected is to clean up to background as NASA has agreed to do.

NASA should be commended for having entered into the 2010 cleanup agreement. Now it is time to, without further delay, implement this historic cleanup agreement, thoroughly and completely.

Sincerely,

William Bodden

From: [Teri Hitt](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Santa Susana Cleanup Draft EIS
Date: Thursday, September 26, 2013 6:31:18 PM

Dear Mr. Elliott,

I'm writing to applaud NASA for having entered into a binding agreement with the State of California in 2010 to clean up all detectible contamination at the polluted Santa Susana Field Laboratory (SSFL). In response to NASA's Draft Environmental Report on the SSFL cleanup, I now urge NASA to now fully and rigorously carry out the commitments made in that cleanup agreement.

NASA's portion of SSFL is contaminated with toxic chemicals including PCBs, perchlorate, dioxins, heavy metals, and various volatile and semi-volatile organic compounds, all of which can produce harmful health effects. These materials can cause solid cancers and leukemias as well as developmental, genetic, neurological and immune system disorders.

NASA's contamination at SSFL has the potential to impact communities near the site and beyond. Indeed, pollutants from the site have already migrated offsite. The best way to ensure that public health is protected is to clean up to background as NASA has agreed to do.

NASA should be commended for having entered into the 2010 cleanup agreement. Now it is time to, without further delay, implement this historic cleanup agreement, thoroughly and completely.

Sincerely,

Teri Hitt

From: [janet maker](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Santa Susana Cleanup Draft EIS
Date: Thursday, September 26, 2013 6:31:22 PM

Dear Mr. Elliott,

I'm writing to applaud NASA for having entered into a binding agreement with the State of California in 2010 to clean up all detectible contamination at the polluted Santa Susana Field Laboratory (SSFL). In response to NASA's Draft Environmental Report on the SSFL cleanup, I now urge NASA to now fully and rigorously carry out the commitments made in that cleanup agreement.

NASA's portion of SSFL is contaminated with toxic chemicals including PCBs, perchlorate, dioxins, heavy metals, and various volatile and semi-volatile organic compounds, all of which can produce harmful health effects. These materials can cause solid cancers and leukemias as well as developmental, genetic, neurological and immune system disorders.

NASA's contamination at SSFL has the potential to impact communities near the site and beyond. Indeed, pollutants from the site have already migrated offsite. The best way to ensure that public health is protected is to clean up to background as NASA has agreed to do.

NASA should be commended for having entered into the 2010 cleanup agreement. Now it is time to, without further delay, implement this historic cleanup agreement, thoroughly and completely.

Sincerely,

janet maker

From: [Robert Heron](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Santa Susana Cleanup Draft EIS
Date: Thursday, September 26, 2013 6:31:33 PM

Dear Mr. Elliott,

I'm writing to applaud NASA for having entered into a binding agreement with the State of California in 2010 to clean up all detectible contamination at the polluted Santa Susana Field Laboratory (SSFL). In response to NASA's Draft Environmental Report on the SSFL cleanup, I now urge NASA to now fully and rigorously carry out the commitments made in that cleanup agreement.

NASA's portion of SSFL is contaminated with toxic chemicals including PCBs, perchlorate, dioxins, heavy metals, and various volatile and semi-volatile organic compounds, all of which can produce harmful health effects. These materials can cause solid cancers and leukemias as well as developmental, genetic, neurological and immune system disorders.

NASA's contamination at SSFL has the potential to impact communities near the site and beyond. Indeed, pollutants from the site have already migrated offsite. The best way to ensure that public health is protected is to clean up to background as NASA has agreed to do.

NASA should be commended for having entered into the 2010 cleanup agreement. Now it is time to, without further delay, implement this historic cleanup agreement, thoroughly and completely.

There is no time to waste. This project should have been completed long ago. Don't let people argue that the government is no damn good because it can't get anything done. Just DO IT! Because it is the RIGHT THING TO DO.

Sincerely,

Robert Heron

From: [Robert Rosenberg](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Santa Susana Cleanup Draft EIS
Date: Thursday, September 26, 2013 6:34:19 PM

Dear Mr. Elliott,

I'm writing to applaud NASA for having entered into a binding agreement with the State of California in 2010 to clean up all detectible contamination at the polluted Santa Susana Field Laboratory (SSFL). In response to NASA's Draft Environmental Report on the SSFL cleanup, I now urge NASA to now fully and rigorously carry out the commitments made in that cleanup agreement.

NASA's portion of SSFL is contaminated with toxic chemicals including PCBs, perchlorate, dioxins, heavy metals, and various volatile and semi-volatile organic compounds, all of which can produce harmful health effects. These materials can cause solid cancers and leukemias as well as developmental, genetic, neurological and immune system disorders.

NASA's contamination at SSFL has the potential to impact communities near the site and beyond. Indeed, pollutants from the site have already migrated offsite. The best way to ensure that public health is protected is to clean up to background as NASA has agreed to do.

NASA should be commended for having entered into the 2010 cleanup agreement. Now it is time to, without further delay, implement this historic cleanup agreement, thoroughly and completely.

Sincerely,

Robert Rosenberg

From: [Charles & Paula Goldsmid](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Santa Susana Cleanup Draft EIS
Date: Thursday, September 26, 2013 6:36:00 PM

Dear Mr. Elliott,

NASA deserves credit for having entered into a binding agreement with the State of California in 2010 to clean up all detectible contamination at the polluted Santa Susana Field Laboratory (SSFL). In response to NASA's Draft Environmental Report on the SSFL cleanup, I now urge NASA to now fully and rigorously carry out the commitments made in that cleanup agreement.

NASA's portion of SSFL is contaminated with toxic chemicals including PCBs, perchlorate, dioxins, heavy metals, and various volatile and semi-volatile organic compounds, all of which can produce harmful health effects. These materials can cause solid cancers and leukemias as well as developmental, genetic, neurological and immune system disorders.

NASA's contamination at SSFL has the potential to impact communities near the site and beyond. Indeed, pollutants from the site have already migrated offsite. The best way to ensure that public health is protected is to clean up to background as NASA has agreed to do.

NASA should be commended for having entered into the 2010 cleanup agreement. Now it is time to, without further delay, implement this historic cleanup agreement, thoroughly and completely.

Sincerely,

Charles & Paula Goldsmid

From: [Laurie Barlow](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Santa Susana Cleanup Draft EIS
Date: Thursday, September 26, 2013 6:36:00 PM

Dear Mr. Elliott,

I'm writing to applaud NASA for having entered into a binding agreement with the State of California in 2010 to clean up all detectible contamination at the polluted Santa Susana Field Laboratory (SSFL). In response to NASA's Draft Environmental Report on the SSFL cleanup, I now urge NASA to now fully and rigorously carry out the commitments made in that cleanup agreement.

NASA's portion of SSFL is contaminated with toxic chemicals including PCBs, perchlorate, dioxins, heavy metals, and various volatile and semi-volatile organic compounds, all of which can produce harmful health effects. These materials can cause solid cancers and leukemias as well as developmental, genetic, neurological and immune system disorders.

NASA's contamination at SSFL has the potential to impact communities near the site and beyond. Indeed, pollutants from the site have already migrated offsite. The best way to ensure that public health is protected is to clean up to background as NASA has agreed to do.

NASA should be commended for having entered into the 2010 cleanup agreement. Now it is time to, without further delay, implement this historic cleanup agreement, thoroughly and completely.

Sincerely,

Laurie Barlow

From: [Richard and Carolyn Rosenstein](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Santa Susana Cleanup Draft EIS
Date: Thursday, September 26, 2013 6:39:15 PM

Dear Mr. Elliott,

I'm writing to applaud NASA for having entered into a binding agreement with the State of California in 2010 to clean up all detectible contamination at the polluted Santa Susana Field Laboratory (SSFL). In response to NASA's Draft Environmental Report on the SSFL cleanup, I now urge NASA to now fully and rigorously carry out the commitments made in that cleanup agreement.

NASA's portion of SSFL is contaminated with toxic chemicals including PCBs, perchlorate, dioxins, heavy metals, and various volatile and semi-volatile organic compounds, all of which can produce harmful health effects. These materials can cause solid cancers and leukemias as well as developmental, genetic, neurological and immune system disorders.

NASA's contamination at SSFL has the potential to impact communities near the site and beyond. Indeed, pollutants from the site have already migrated offsite. The best way to ensure that public health is protected is to clean up to background as NASA has agreed to do.

NASA should be commended for having entered into the 2010 cleanup agreement. Now it is time to, without further delay, implement this historic cleanup agreement, thoroughly and completely.

Sincerely,

Richard and Carolyn Rosenstein

From: [Estelle Levy](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Santa Susana Cleanup Draft EIS
Date: Thursday, September 26, 2013 7:01:14 PM

Dear Mr. Elliott,

I'm writing to applaud NASA for having entered into a binding agreement with the State of California in 2010 to clean up all detectible contamination at the polluted Santa Susana Field Laboratory (SSFL). In response to NASA's Draft Environmental Report on the SSFL cleanup, I now urge NASA to now fully and rigorously carry out the commitments made in that cleanup agreement.

NASA's portion of SSFL is contaminated with toxic chemicals including PCBs, perchlorate, dioxins, heavy metals, and various volatile and semi-volatile organic compounds, all of which can produce harmful health effects. These materials can cause solid cancers and leukemias as well as developmental, genetic, neurological and immune system disorders.

NASA's contamination at SSFL has the potential to impact communities near the site and beyond. Indeed, pollutants from the site have already migrated offsite. The best way to ensure that public health is protected is to clean up to background as NASA has agreed to do.

NASA should be commended for having entered into the 2010 cleanup agreement. Now it is time to, without further delay, implement this historic cleanup agreement, thoroughly and completely.

Sincerely,

Estelle Levy

From: [Zoltan Tokes](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Santa Susana Cleanup Draft EIS
Date: Thursday, September 26, 2013 7:13:20 PM

Dear Mr. Elliott,

I'm writing to applaud NASA for having entered into a binding agreement with the State of California in 2010 to clean up all detectible contamination at the polluted Santa Susana Field Laboratory (SSFL). In response to NASA's Draft Environmental Report on the SSFL cleanup, I now urge NASA to now fully and rigorously carry out the commitments made in that cleanup agreement.

NASA's portion of SSFL is contaminated with toxic chemicals including PCBs, perchlorate, dioxins, heavy metals, and various volatile and semi-volatile organic compounds, all of which can produce harmful health effects. These materials can cause solid cancers and leukemias as well as developmental, genetic, neurological and immune system disorders.

NASA's contamination at SSFL has the potential to impact communities near the site and beyond. Indeed, pollutants from the site have already migrated offsite. The best way to ensure that public health is protected is to clean up to background as NASA has agreed to do.

NASA should be commended for having entered into the 2010 cleanup agreement. Now it is time to, without further delay, implement this historic cleanup agreement, thoroughly and completely.

Sincerely,

Zoltan Tokes

From: [Antonio Pierola](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Santa Susana Cleanup Draft EIS
Date: Thursday, September 26, 2013 7:20:23 PM

Dear Mr. Elliott,

I'm writing to applaud NASA for having entered into a binding agreement with the State of California in 2010 to clean up all detectible contamination at the polluted Santa Susana Field Laboratory (SSFL). In response to NASA's Draft Environmental Report on the SSFL cleanup, I now urge NASA to now fully and rigorously carry out the commitments made in that cleanup agreement.

NASA's portion of SSFL is contaminated with toxic chemicals including PCBs, perchlorate, dioxins, heavy metals, and various volatile and semi-volatile organic compounds, all of which can produce harmful health effects. These materials can cause solid cancers and leukemias as well as developmental, genetic, neurological and immune system disorders.

NASA's contamination at SSFL has the potential to impact communities near the site and beyond. Indeed, pollutants from the site have already migrated offsite. The best way to ensure that public health is protected is to clean up to background as NASA has agreed to do.

NASA should be commended for having entered into the 2010 cleanup agreement. Now it is time to, without further delay, implement this historic cleanup agreement, thoroughly and completely.

Sincerely,

Antonio Pierola

From: [Marjorie Moss](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Santa Susana Cleanup Draft EIS
Date: Thursday, September 26, 2013 7:21:51 PM

Dear Mr. Elliott,

I'm writing to applaud NASA for having entered into a binding agreement with the State of California in 2010 to clean up all detectible contamination at the polluted Santa Susana Field Laboratory (SSFL). In response to NASA's Draft Environmental Report on the SSFL cleanup, I now urge NASA to now fully and rigorously carry out the commitments made in that cleanup agreement.

NASA's portion of SSFL is contaminated with toxic chemicals including PCBs, perchlorate, dioxins, heavy metals, and various volatile and semi-volatile organic compounds, all of which can produce harmful health effects. These materials can cause solid cancers and leukemias as well as developmental, genetic, neurological and immune system disorders.

NASA's contamination at SSFL has the potential to impact communities near the site and beyond. Indeed, pollutants from the site have already migrated offsite. The best way to ensure that public health is protected is to clean up to background as NASA has agreed to do.

NASA should be commended for having entered into the 2010 cleanup agreement. Now it is time to, without further delay, implement this historic cleanup agreement, thoroughly and completely.

Sincerely,

Marjorie Moss

From: [Jan Dietrick](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Santa Susana Cleanup Draft EIS
Date: Thursday, September 26, 2013 7:23:04 PM

Dear Mr. Elliott,

I'm writing to applaud NASA for having entered into a binding agreement with the State of California in 2010 to clean up all detectible contamination at the polluted Santa Susana Field Laboratory (SSFL). In response to NASA's Draft Environmental Report on the SSFL cleanup, I now urge NASA to now fully and rigorously carry out the commitments made in that cleanup agreement.

NASA's portion of SSFL is contaminated with toxic chemicals including PCBs, perchlorate, dioxins, heavy metals, and various volatile and semi-volatile organic compounds, all of which can produce harmful health effects. These materials can cause solid cancers and leukemias as well as developmental, genetic, neurological and immune system disorders.

NASA's contamination at SSFL has the potential to impact communities near the site and beyond. Indeed, pollutants from the site have already migrated offsite. The best way to ensure that public health is protected is to clean up to background as NASA has agreed to do.

NASA should be commended for having entered into the 2010 cleanup agreement. Now it is time to, without further delay, implement this historic cleanup agreement, thoroughly and completely.

Sincerely,

Jan Dietrick

From: [Penny Strowger](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Santa Susana Cleanup Draft EIS
Date: Thursday, September 26, 2013 7:26:48 PM

Dear Mr. Elliott,

I'm writing to applaud NASA for having entered into a binding agreement with the State of California in 2010 to clean up all detectible contamination at the polluted Santa Susana Field Laboratory (SSFL). In response to NASA's Draft Environmental Report on the SSFL cleanup, I now urge NASA to now fully and rigorously carry out the commitments made in that cleanup agreement.

NASA's portion of SSFL is contaminated with toxic chemicals including PCBs, perchlorate, dioxins, heavy metals, and various volatile and semi-volatile organic compounds, all of which can produce harmful health effects. These materials can cause solid cancers and leukemias as well as developmental, genetic, neurological and immune system disorders.

NASA's contamination at SSFL has the potential to impact communities near the site and beyond. Indeed, pollutants from the site have already migrated offsite. The best way to ensure that public health is protected is to clean up to background as NASA has agreed to do.

NASA should be commended for having entered into the 2010 cleanup agreement. Now it is time to, without further delay, implement this historic cleanup agreement, thoroughly and completely.

Sincerely,

Penny Strowger

From: [David Schwartz](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Santa Susana Cleanup Draft EIS
Date: Thursday, September 26, 2013 7:27:57 PM

Dear Mr. Elliott,

I'm writing to applaud NASA for having entered into a binding agreement with the State of California in 2010 to clean up all detectible contamination at the polluted Santa Susana Field Laboratory (SSFL). In response to NASA's Draft Environmental Report on the SSFL cleanup, I now urge NASA to now fully and rigorously carry out the commitments made in that cleanup agreement.

NASA's portion of SSFL is contaminated with toxic chemicals including PCBs, perchlorate, dioxins, heavy metals, and various volatile and semi-volatile organic compounds, all of which can produce harmful health effects. These materials can cause solid cancers and leukemias as well as developmental, genetic, neurological and immune system disorders.

NASA's contamination at SSFL has the potential to impact communities near the site and beyond. Indeed, pollutants from the site have already migrated offsite. The best way to ensure that public health is protected is to clean up to background as NASA has agreed to do.

NASA should be commended for having entered into the 2010 cleanup agreement. Now it is time to, without further delay, implement this historic cleanup agreement, thoroughly and completely.

Sincerely,

David Schwartz

From: [David Atkins](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Santa Susana Cleanup Draft EIS
Date: Thursday, September 26, 2013 7:28:06 PM

Dear Mr. Elliott,

I'm writing to applaud NASA for having entered into a binding agreement with the State of California in 2010 to clean up all detectible contamination at the polluted Santa Susana Field Laboratory (SSFL). In response to NASA's Draft Environmental Report on the SSFL cleanup, I now urge NASA to now fully and rigorously carry out the commitments made in that cleanup agreement.

NASA's portion of SSFL is contaminated with toxic chemicals including PCBs, perchlorate, dioxins, heavy metals, and various volatile and semi-volatile organic compounds, all of which can produce harmful health effects. These materials can cause solid cancers and leukemias as well as developmental, genetic, neurological and immune system disorders.

NASA's contamination at SSFL has the potential to impact communities near the site and beyond. Indeed, pollutants from the site have already migrated offsite. The best way to ensure that public health is protected is to clean up to background as NASA has agreed to do.

NASA should be commended for having entered into the 2010 cleanup agreement. Now it is time to, without further delay, implement this historic cleanup agreement, thoroughly and completely.

Sincerely,

David Atkins

From: [Theresa Stueve](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Santa Susana Cleanup Draft EIS
Date: Thursday, September 26, 2013 7:28:34 PM

Dear Mr. Elliott,

I'm writing to applaud NASA for having entered into a binding agreement with the State of California in 2010 to clean up all detectible contamination at the polluted Santa Susana Field Laboratory (SSFL). In response to NASA's Draft Environmental Report on the SSFL cleanup, I now urge NASA to now fully and rigorously carry out the commitments made in that cleanup agreement.

NASA's portion of SSFL is contaminated with toxic chemicals including PCBs, perchlorate, dioxins, heavy metals, and various volatile and semi-volatile organic compounds, all of which can produce harmful health effects. These materials can cause solid cancers and leukemias as well as developmental, genetic, neurological and immune system disorders.

NASA's contamination at SSFL has the potential to impact communities near the site and beyond. Indeed, pollutants from the site have already migrated offsite. The best way to ensure that public health is protected is to clean up to background as NASA has agreed to do.

NASA should be commended for having entered into the 2010 cleanup agreement. Now it is time to, without further delay, implement this historic cleanup agreement, thoroughly and completely.

Sincerely,

Theresa Stueve

From: [Rich Yurman](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Santa Susana Cleanup Draft EIS
Date: Thursday, September 26, 2013 7:35:14 PM

Dear Mr. Elliott,

I'm writing to applaud NASA for having entered into a binding agreement with the State of California in 2010 to clean up all detectible contamination at the polluted Santa Susana Field Laboratory (SSFL). In response to NASA's Draft Environmental Report on the SSFL cleanup, I now urge NASA to now fully and rigorously carry out the commitments made in that cleanup agreement.

NASA's portion of SSFL is contaminated with toxic chemicals including PCBs, perchlorate, dioxins, heavy metals, and various volatile and semi-volatile organic compounds, all of which can produce harmful health effects. These materials can cause solid cancers and leukemias as well as developmental, genetic, neurological and immune system disorders.

NASA's contamination at SSFL has the potential to impact communities near the site and beyond. Indeed, pollutants from the site have already migrated offsite. The best way to ensure that public health is protected is to clean up to background as NASA has agreed to do.

NASA should be commended for having entered into the 2010 cleanup agreement. Now it is time to, without further delay, implement this historic cleanup agreement, thoroughly and completely.

Sincerely,

Rich Yurman

From: [Dean Wagner](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Santa Susana Cleanup Draft EIS
Date: Thursday, September 26, 2013 7:37:20 PM

Dear Mr. Elliott,

I'm writing to applaud NASA for having entered into a binding agreement with the State of California in 2010 to clean up all detectible contamination at the polluted Santa Susana Field Laboratory (SSFL). In response to NASA's Draft Environmental Report on the SSFL cleanup, I now urge NASA to now fully and rigorously carry out the commitments made in that cleanup agreement.

NASA's portion of SSFL is contaminated with toxic chemicals including PCBs, perchlorate, dioxins, heavy metals, and various volatile and semi-volatile organic compounds, all of which can produce harmful health effects. These materials can cause solid cancers and leukemias as well as developmental, genetic, neurological and immune system disorders.

NASA's contamination at SSFL has the potential to impact communities near the site and beyond. Indeed, pollutants from the site have already migrated offsite. The best way to ensure that public health is protected is to clean up to background as NASA has agreed to do.

NASA should be commended for having entered into the 2010 cleanup agreement. Now it is time to, without further delay, implement this historic cleanup agreement, thoroughly and completely.

Sincerely,

Dean Wagner

From: [Barbara Dinow](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Santa Susana Cleanup Draft EIS
Date: Thursday, September 26, 2013 7:38:32 PM

Dear Mr. Elliott,

I'm writing to applaud NASA for having entered into a binding agreement with the State of California in 2010 to clean up all detectible contamination at the polluted Santa Susana Field Laboratory (SSFL). In response to NASA's Draft Environmental Report on the SSFL cleanup, I now urge NASA to now fully and rigorously carry out the commitments made in that cleanup agreement.

NASA's portion of SSFL is contaminated with toxic chemicals including PCBs, perchlorate, dioxins, heavy metals, and various volatile and semi-volatile organic compounds, all of which can produce harmful health effects. These materials can cause solid cancers and leukemias as well as developmental, genetic, neurological and immune system disorders.

NASA's contamination at SSFL has the potential to impact communities near the site and beyond. Indeed, pollutants from the site have already migrated offsite. The best way to ensure that public health is protected is to clean up to background as NASA has agreed to do.

NASA should be commended for having entered into the 2010 cleanup agreement. Now it is time to, without further delay, implement this historic cleanup agreement, thoroughly and completely.

Sincerely,

Barbara Dinow

From: [Barbara Dinow](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Santa Susana Cleanup Draft EIS
Date: Thursday, September 26, 2013 7:39:43 PM

Dear Mr. Elliott,

I'm writing to applaud NASA for having entered into a binding agreement with the State of California in 2010 to clean up all detectible contamination at the polluted Santa Susana Field Laboratory (SSFL). In response to NASA's Draft Environmental Report on the SSFL cleanup, I now urge NASA to now fully and rigorously carry out the commitments made in that cleanup agreement.

NASA's portion of SSFL is contaminated with toxic chemicals including PCBs, perchlorate, dioxins, heavy metals, and various volatile and semi-volatile organic compounds, all of which can produce harmful health effects. These materials can cause solid cancers and leukemias as well as developmental, genetic, neurological and immune system disorders.

NASA's contamination at SSFL has the potential to impact communities near the site and beyond. Indeed, pollutants from the site have already migrated offsite. The best way to ensure that public health is protected is to clean up to background as NASA has agreed to do.

NASA should be commended for having entered into the 2010 cleanup agreement. Now it is time to, without further delay, implement this historic cleanup agreement, thoroughly and completely.

Sincerely,

Barbara Dinow

From: [Albert Bechtel](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Santa Susana Cleanup Draft EIS
Date: Thursday, September 26, 2013 7:40:04 PM

Dear Mr. Elliott,

I'm writing to applaud NASA for having entered into a binding agreement with the State of California in 2010 to clean up all detectible contamination at the polluted Santa Susana Field Laboratory (SSFL). In response to NASA's Draft Environmental Report on the SSFL cleanup, I now urge NASA to now fully and rigorously carry out the commitments made in that cleanup agreement.

NASA's portion of SSFL is contaminated with toxic chemicals including PCBs, perchlorate, dioxins, heavy metals, and various volatile and semi-volatile organic compounds, all of which can produce harmful health effects. These materials can cause solid cancers and leukemias as well as developmental, genetic, neurological and immune system disorders.

NASA's contamination at SSFL has the potential to impact communities near the site and beyond. Indeed, pollutants from the site have already migrated offsite. The best way to ensure that public health is protected is to clean up to background as NASA has agreed to do.

NASA should be commended for having entered into the 2010 cleanup agreement. Now it is time to, without further delay, implement this historic cleanup agreement, thoroughly and completely.

Sincerely,

Albert Bechtel

From: [Arline Mathews](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Santa Susana Cleanup Draft EIS
Date: Thursday, September 26, 2013 7:50:02 PM

Dear Mr. Elliott,

I'm writing to applaud NASA for having entered into a binding agreement with the State of California in 2010 to clean up all detectible contamination at the polluted Santa Susana Field Laboratory (SSFL). In response to NASA's Draft Environmental Report on the SSFL cleanup, I now urge NASA to now fully and rigorously carry out the commitments made in that cleanup agreement.

NASA's portion of SSFL is contaminated with toxic chemicals including PCBs, perchlorate, dioxins, heavy metals, and various volatile and semi-volatile organic compounds, all of which can produce harmful health effects. These materials can cause solid cancers and leukemias as well as developmental, genetic, neurological and immune system disorders. My son Bobby who went to Chatsworth High School and was a champion runner there, died of brain cancer and his child developed Leukemia. I cannot prove that it was the fault of Rocketdyne (you prefer not to call it by its name, but Bob was such a healthy specimen as he ran daily over the Santa Susana Mountains. No study has ever been made as to the number of increased cancers there are in the San Fernando Valley.

NASA's contamination at SSFL has the potential to impact communities near the site and beyond. Indeed, pollutants from the site have already migrated offsite. The best way to ensure that public health is protected is to clean up to background as NASA has agreed to do. The Los Angeles River going through L.A. was made radioactive. The winds could have done damage to all of us. We are famous for our Santa Ana Winds.

Have we not paid dearly for the malfeasance that went on at that lab. Do we need to continue to pay a price? Put yourselves and your families in the position we were in. Secret work was going on there without the consent of the Community. We have been gravely harmed, and I will never be able to get over the loss of my son.

The Government has been sorely dilatory. Finally after decades they decided that it would be best to clean up the super fund area to the very best of their ability. But the lies go on. The breach of contract with the people here is nothing to NASA EXCEPT THAT THEY WANT TO SAVE THE MONEY AND DIVERT THE FUNDS TO OTHER CAUSES. NO NO NO. IT IS AS IMMORAL DECISION AS ONE CAN EVER HEAR, AND PEOPLE WILL CONTINUE DYING.

Now it is time to, without further delay, to implement this historic cleanup agreement, thoroughly and completely as promised.]

Sincerely,

Arline Mathews

From: [Dianne Patrizzi](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Santa Susana Cleanup Draft EIS
Date: Thursday, September 26, 2013 8:07:28 PM

Dear Mr. Elliott,

I'm writing to applaud NASA for having entered into a binding agreement with the State of California in 2010 to clean up all detectible contamination at the polluted Santa Susana Field Laboratory (SSFL). In response to NASA's Draft Environmental Report on the SSFL cleanup, I now urge NASA to now fully and rigorously carry out the commitments made in that cleanup agreement.

NASA's portion of SSFL is contaminated with toxic chemicals including PCBs, perchlorate, dioxins, heavy metals, and various volatile and semi-volatile organic compounds, all of which can produce harmful health effects. These materials can cause solid cancers and leukemias as well as developmental, genetic, neurological and immune system disorders.

NASA's contamination at SSFL has the potential to impact communities near the site and beyond. Indeed, pollutants from the site have already migrated offsite. The best way to ensure that public health is protected is to clean up to background as NASA has agreed to do.

NASA should be commended for having entered into the 2010 cleanup agreement. Now it is time to, without further delay, implement this historic cleanup agreement, thoroughly and completely.

Sincerely,

Dianne Patrizzi

From: [Tara Kamath](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Santa Susana Cleanup Draft EIS
Date: Thursday, September 26, 2013 8:19:15 PM

Dear Mr. Elliott,

I'm writing to applaud NASA for having entered into a binding agreement with the State of California in 2010 to clean up all detectible contamination at the polluted Santa Susana Field Laboratory (SSFL). In response to NASA's Draft Environmental Report on the SSFL cleanup, I now urge NASA to now fully and rigorously carry out the commitments made in that cleanup agreement.

NASA's portion of SSFL is contaminated with toxic chemicals including PCBs, perchlorate, dioxins, heavy metals, and various volatile and semi-volatile organic compounds, all of which can produce harmful health effects. These materials can cause solid cancers and leukemias as well as developmental, genetic, neurological and immune system disorders.

NASA's contamination at SSFL has the potential to impact communities near the site and beyond. Indeed, pollutants from the site have already migrated offsite. The best way to ensure that public health is protected is to clean up to background as NASA has agreed to do.

NASA should be commended for having entered into the 2010 cleanup agreement. Now it is time to, without further delay, implement this historic cleanup agreement, thoroughly and completely.

Sincerely,

Tara Kamath

From: [jon.grutman](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Santa Susana Cleanup Draft EIS
Date: Thursday, September 26, 2013 8:22:58 PM

Dear Mr. Elliott,

I'm writing to applaud NASA for having entered into a binding agreement with the State of California in 2010 to clean up all detectible contamination at the polluted Santa Susana Field Laboratory (SSFL). In response to NASA's Draft Environmental Report on the SSFL cleanup, I now urge NASA to now fully and rigorously carry out the commitments made in that cleanup agreement.

NASA's portion of SSFL is contaminated with toxic chemicals including PCBs, perchlorate, dioxins, heavy metals, and various volatile and semi-volatile organic compounds, all of which can produce harmful health effects. These materials can cause solid cancers and leukemias as well as developmental, genetic, neurological and immune system disorders.

NASA's contamination at SSFL has the potential to impact communities near the site and beyond. Indeed, pollutants from the site have already migrated offsite. The best way to ensure that public health is protected is to clean up to background as NASA has agreed to do.

NASA should be commended for having entered into the 2010 cleanup agreement. Now it is time to, without further delay, implement this historic cleanup agreement, thoroughly and completely.

Sincerely,

jon grutman

From: [penni.steinberg](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Santa Susana Cleanup Draft EIS
Date: Thursday, September 26, 2013 8:23:36 PM

Dear Mr. Elliott,

I'm writing to applaud NASA for having entered into a binding agreement with the State of California in 2010 to clean up all detectible contamination at the polluted Santa Susana Field Laboratory (SSFL). In response to NASA's Draft Environmental Report on the SSFL cleanup, I now urge NASA to now fully and rigorously carry out the commitments made in that cleanup agreement.

NASA's portion of SSFL is contaminated with toxic chemicals including PCBs, perchlorate, dioxins, heavy metals, and various volatile and semi-volatile organic compounds, all of which can produce harmful health effects. These materials can cause solid cancers and leukemias as well as developmental, genetic, neurological and immune system disorders.

NASA's contamination at SSFL has the potential to impact communities near the site and beyond. Indeed, pollutants from the site have already migrated offsite. The best way to ensure that public health is protected is to clean up to background as NASA has agreed to do.

NASA should be commended for having entered into the 2010 cleanup agreement. Now it is time to, without further delay, implement this historic cleanup agreement, thoroughly and completely.

Sincerely,

penni.steinberg

From: [RL Miller](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Santa Susana Cleanup Draft EIS
Date: Thursday, September 26, 2013 8:28:19 PM

Dear Mr. Elliott,

I'm writing to applaud NASA for having entered into a binding agreement with the State of California in 2010 to clean up all detectible contamination at the polluted Santa Susana Field Laboratory (SSFL). In response to NASA's Draft Environmental Report on the SSFL cleanup, I now urge NASA to now fully and rigorously carry out the commitments made in that cleanup agreement.

NASA's portion of SSFL is contaminated with toxic chemicals including PCBs, perchlorate, dioxins, heavy metals, and various volatile and semi-volatile organic compounds, all of which can produce harmful health effects. These materials can cause solid cancers and leukemias as well as developmental, genetic, neurological and immune system disorders.

NASA's contamination at SSFL has the potential to impact communities near the site and beyond. Indeed, pollutants from the site have already migrated offsite. The best way to ensure that public health is protected is to clean up to background as NASA has agreed to do.

NASA should be commended for having entered into the 2010 cleanup agreement. Now it is time to, without further delay, implement this historic cleanup agreement, thoroughly and completely.

Sincerely,

RL Miller

From: [jeffrey shuben](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Santa Susana Cleanup Draft EIS
Date: Thursday, September 26, 2013 9:10:33 PM

Dear Mr. Elliott,

I'm writing to applaud NASA for having entered into a binding agreement with the State of California in 2010 to clean up all detectible contamination at the polluted Santa Susana Field Laboratory (SSFL). In response to NASA's Draft Environmental Report on the SSFL cleanup, I now urge NASA to now fully and rigorously carry out the commitments made in that cleanup agreement.

NASA's portion of SSFL is contaminated with toxic chemicals including PCBs, perchlorate, dioxins, heavy metals, and various volatile and semi-volatile organic compounds, all of which can produce harmful health effects. These materials can cause solid cancers and leukemias as well as developmental, genetic, neurological and immune system disorders.

NASA's contamination at SSFL has the potential to impact communities near the site and beyond. Indeed, pollutants from the site have already migrated offsite. The best way to ensure that public health is protected is to clean up to background as NASA has agreed to do.

NASA should be commended for having entered into the 2010 cleanup agreement. Now it is time to, without further delay, implement this historic cleanup agreement, thoroughly and completely.

Sincerely,

jeffrey shuben

From: [Harvey Eder](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Santa Susana Cleanup Draft EIS
Date: Thursday, September 26, 2013 9:13:33 PM

Dear Mr. Elliott,

I'm writing to applaud NASA for having entered into a binding agreement with the State of California in 2010 to clean up all detectible contamination at the polluted Santa Susana Field Laboratory (SSFL). In response to NASA's Draft Environmental Report on the SSFL cleanup, I now urge NASA to now fully and rigorously carry out the commitments made in that cleanup agreement.

NASA's portion of SSFL is contaminated with toxic chemicals including PCBs, perchlorate, dioxins, heavy metals, and various volatile and semi-volatile organic compounds, all of which can produce harmful health effects. These materials can cause solid cancers and leukemias as well as developmental, genetic, neurological and immune system disorders.

NASA's contamination at SSFL has the potential to impact communities near the site and beyond. Indeed, pollutants from the site have already migrated offsite. The best way to ensure that public health is protected is to clean up to background as NASA has agreed to do.

NASA should be commended for having entered into the 2010 cleanup agreement. Now it is time to, without further delay, implement this historic cleanup agreement, thoroughly and completely.

Sincerely,

Harvey Eder

From: [Kathy Seal](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Santa Susana Cleanup Draft EIS
Date: Thursday, September 26, 2013 9:14:58 PM

Dear Mr. Elliott,

I'm writing to applaud NASA for having entered into a binding agreement with the State of California in 2010 to clean up all detectible contamination at the polluted Santa Susana Field Laboratory (SSFL). In response to NASA's Draft Environmental Report on the SSFL cleanup, I now urge NASA to now fully and rigorously carry out the commitments made in that cleanup agreement.

NASA's portion of SSFL is contaminated with toxic chemicals including PCBs, perchlorate, dioxins, heavy metals, and various volatile and semi-volatile organic compounds, all of which can produce harmful health effects. These materials can cause solid cancers and leukemias as well as developmental, genetic, neurological and immune system disorders.

NASA's contamination at SSFL has the potential to impact communities near the site and beyond. Indeed, pollutants from the site have already migrated offsite. The best way to ensure that public health is protected is to clean up to background as NASA has agreed to do.

NASA should be commended for having entered into the 2010 cleanup agreement. Now it is time to, without further delay, implement this historic cleanup agreement, thoroughly and completely.

Sincerely,

Kathy Seal

From: [Sandra Joos](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Santa Susana Cleanup Draft EIS
Date: Thursday, September 26, 2013 9:19:28 PM

Dear Mr. Elliott,

I'm writing to applaud NASA for having entered into a binding agreement with the State of California in 2010 to clean up all detectible contamination at the polluted Santa Susana Field Laboratory (SSFL). In response to NASA's Draft Environmental Report on the SSFL cleanup, I now urge NASA to now fully and rigorously carry out the commitments made in that cleanup agreement.

NASA's portion of SSFL is contaminated with toxic chemicals including PCBs, perchlorate, dioxins, heavy metals, and various volatile and semi-volatile organic compounds, all of which can produce harmful health effects. These materials can cause solid cancers and leukemias as well as developmental, genetic, neurological and immune system disorders.

NASA's contamination at SSFL has the potential to impact communities near the site and beyond. Indeed, pollutants from the site have already migrated offsite. The best way to ensure that public health is protected is to clean up to background as NASA has agreed to do.

NASA should be commended for having entered into the 2010 cleanup agreement. Now it is time to, without further delay, implement this historic cleanup agreement, thoroughly and completely.

Sincerely,

Sandra Joos

From: [Felix Aguilar](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Santa Susana Cleanup Draft EIS
Date: Thursday, September 26, 2013 9:31:24 PM

Dear Mr. Elliott,

I'm writing to applaud NASA for having entered into a binding agreement with the State of California in 2010 to clean up all detectible contamination at the polluted Santa Susana Field Laboratory (SSFL). In response to NASA's Draft Environmental Report on the SSFL cleanup, I now urge NASA to now fully and rigorously carry out the commitments made in that cleanup agreement.

NASA's portion of SSFL is contaminated with toxic chemicals including PCBs, perchlorate, dioxins, heavy metals, and various volatile and semi-volatile organic compounds, all of which can produce harmful health effects. These materials can cause solid cancers and leukemias as well as developmental, genetic, neurological and immune system disorders.

NASA's contamination at SSFL has the potential to impact communities near the site and beyond. Indeed, pollutants from the site have already migrated offsite. The best way to ensure that public health is protected is to clean up to background as NASA has agreed to do.

NASA should be commended for having entered into the 2010 cleanup agreement. Now it is time to, without further delay, implement this historic cleanup agreement, thoroughly and completely.

Sincerely,

Felix Aguilar

From: [dinda evans](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Santa Susana Cleanup Draft EIS
Date: Thursday, September 26, 2013 9:32:33 PM

Dear Mr. Elliott,

I'm writing to applaud NASA for having entered into a binding agreement with the State of California in 2010 to clean up all detectible contamination at the polluted Santa Susana Field Laboratory (SSFL). In response to NASA's Draft Environmental Report on the SSFL cleanup, I now urge NASA to now fully and rigorously carry out the commitments made in that cleanup agreement.

NASA's portion of SSFL is contaminated with toxic chemicals including PCBs, perchlorate, dioxins, heavy metals, and various volatile and semi-volatile organic compounds, all of which can produce harmful health effects. These materials can cause solid cancers and leukemias as well as developmental, genetic, neurological and immune system disorders.

NASA's contamination at SSFL has the potential to impact communities near the site and beyond. Indeed, pollutants from the site have already migrated offsite. The best way to ensure that public health is protected is to clean up to background as NASA has agreed to do.

NASA should be commended for having entered into the 2010 cleanup agreement. Now it is time to, without further delay, implement this historic cleanup agreement, thoroughly and completely.

Sincerely,

dinda evans

From: [Norman Levine](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Santa Susana Cleanup Draft EIS
Date: Thursday, September 26, 2013 9:40:29 PM

Dear Mr. Elliott,

I'm writing to applaud NASA for having entered into a binding agreement with the State of California in 2010 to clean up all detectible contamination at the polluted Santa Susana Field Laboratory (SSFL). In response to NASA's Draft Environmental Report on the SSFL cleanup, I now urge NASA to now fully and rigorously carry out the commitments made in that cleanup agreement.

NASA's portion of SSFL is contaminated with toxic chemicals including PCBs, perchlorate, dioxins, heavy metals, and various volatile and semi-volatile organic compounds, all of which can produce harmful health effects. These materials can cause solid cancers and leukemias as well as developmental, genetic, neurological and immune system disorders.

NASA's contamination at SSFL has the potential to impact communities near the site and beyond. Indeed, pollutants from the site have already migrated offsite. The best way to ensure that public health is protected is to clean up to background as NASA has agreed to do.

NASA should be commended for having entered into the 2010 cleanup agreement. Now it is time to, without further delay, implement this historic cleanup agreement, thoroughly and completely.

Sincerely,

Norman Levine

From: [Jon Ackerman, M.D.](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Santa Susana Cleanup Draft EIS
Date: Thursday, September 26, 2013 9:49:42 PM

Dear Mr. Elliott,

I'm writing to applaud NASA for having entered into a binding agreement with the State of California in 2010 to clean up all detectible contamination at the polluted Santa Susana Field Laboratory (SSFL). In response to NASA's Draft Environmental Report on the SSFL cleanup, I now urge NASA to now fully and rigorously carry out the commitments made in that cleanup agreement.

NASA's portion of SSFL is contaminated with toxic chemicals including PCBs, perchlorate, dioxins, heavy metals, and various volatile and semi-volatile organic compounds, all of which can produce harmful health effects. These materials can cause solid cancers and leukemias as well as developmental, genetic, neurological and immune system disorders.

NASA's contamination at SSFL has the potential to impact communities near the site and beyond. Indeed, pollutants from the site have already migrated offsite. The best way to ensure that public health is protected is to clean up to background as NASA has agreed to do.

NASA should be commended for having entered into the 2010 cleanup agreement. Now it is time to, without further delay, implement this historic cleanup agreement, thoroughly and completely.

Sincerely,

Jon Ackerman, M.D.

From: [Jessica Deltac](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Santa Susana Cleanup Draft EIS
Date: Thursday, September 26, 2013 9:57:00 PM

Dear Mr. Elliott,

I'm writing to applaud NASA for having entered into a binding agreement with the State of California in 2010 to clean up all detectible contamination at the polluted Santa Susana Field Laboratory (SSFL). I would be appalled if you did not follow through with this. Sure you could save money, but at the expense of HUMAN lives. This is your mess, clean it up before another generation dies.

My children have played in waters in Bell Canyon, contaminated from your organizations' rocket testing. Clean it up. This is the ONLY planet we can live on today.

Thank you,

Jessica Deltac

From: [Rochelle Lapidés Lapidés](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Santa Susana Cleanup Draft EIS
Date: Thursday, September 26, 2013 10:05:44 PM

Dear Mr. Elliott,

I'm writing to applaud NASA for having entered into a binding agreement with the State of California in 2010 to clean up all detectible contamination at the polluted Santa Susana Field Laboratory (SSFL). In response to NASA's Draft Environmental Report on the SSFL cleanup, I now urge NASA to now fully and rigorously carry out the commitments made in that cleanup agreement.

NASA's portion of SSFL is contaminated with toxic chemicals including PCBs, perchlorate, dioxins, heavy metals, and various volatile and semi-volatile organic compounds, all of which can produce harmful health effects. These materials can cause solid cancers and leukemias as well as developmental, genetic, neurological and immune system disorders.

NASA's contamination at SSFL has the potential to impact communities near the site and beyond. Indeed, pollutants from the site have already migrated offsite. The best way to ensure that public health is protected is to clean up to background as NASA has agreed to do.

NASA should be commended for having entered into the 2010 cleanup agreement. Now it is time to, without further delay, implement this historic cleanup agreement, thoroughly and completely.

Sincerely,

Rochelle Lapidés Lapidés

From: [George Ellison](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Santa Susana Cleanup Draft EIS
Date: Thursday, September 26, 2013 10:18:37 PM

Dear Mr. Elliott,

I'm writing to applaud NASA for having entered into a binding agreement with the State of California in 2010 to clean up all detectible contamination at the polluted Santa Susana Field Laboratory (SSFL). In response to NASA's Draft Environmental Report on the SSFL cleanup, I now urge NASA to now fully and rigorously carry out the commitments made in that cleanup agreement.

NASA's portion of SSFL is contaminated with toxic chemicals including PCBs, perchlorate, dioxins, heavy metals, and various volatile and semi-volatile organic compounds, all of which can produce harmful health effects. These materials can cause solid cancers and leukemias as well as developmental, genetic, neurological and immune system disorders.

NASA's contamination at SSFL has the potential to impact communities near the site and beyond. Indeed, pollutants from the site have already migrated offsite. The best way to ensure that public health is protected is to clean up to background as NASA has agreed to do.

NASA should be commended for having entered into the 2010 cleanup agreement. Now it is time to, without further delay, implement this historic cleanup agreement, thoroughly and completely.

Sincerely,

George Ellison

From: [Georgia and John Locker](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Santa Susana Cleanup Draft EIS
Date: Thursday, September 26, 2013 10:21:23 PM

Dear Mr. Elliott,

I'm writing to applaud NASA for having entered into a binding agreement with the State of California in 2010 to clean up all detectible contamination at the polluted Santa Susana Field Laboratory (SSFL). In response to NASA's Draft Environmental Report on the SSFL cleanup, I now urge NASA to now fully and rigorously carry out the commitments made in that cleanup agreement.

NASA's portion of SSFL is contaminated with toxic chemicals including PCBs, perchlorate, dioxins, heavy metals, and various volatile and semi-volatile organic compounds, all of which can produce harmful health effects. These materials can cause solid cancers and leukemias as well as developmental, genetic, neurological and immune system disorders.

NASA's contamination at SSFL has the potential to impact communities near the site and beyond. Indeed, pollutants from the site have already migrated offsite. The best way to ensure that public health is protected is to clean up to background as NASA has agreed to do.

NASA should be commended for having entered into the 2010 cleanup agreement. Now it is time to, without further delay, implement this historic cleanup agreement, thoroughly and completely. WORDS, WITHOUT ACTION, ARE EMPTY.

Sincerely,

Georgia and John Locker

From: [Dency Nelson](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Santa Susana Cleanup Draft EIS
Date: Thursday, September 26, 2013 10:23:49 PM

Dear Mr. Elliott,

I'm writing to applaud NASA for having entered into a binding agreement with the State of California in 2010 to clean up all detectible contamination at the polluted Santa Susana Field Laboratory (SSFL). In response to NASA's Draft Environmental Report on the SSFL cleanup, I now urge NASA to now fully and rigorously carry out the commitments made in that cleanup agreement.

NASA's portion of SSFL is contaminated with toxic chemicals including PCBs, perchlorate, dioxins, heavy metals, and various volatile and semi-volatile organic compounds, all of which can produce harmful health effects. These materials can cause solid cancers and leukemias as well as developmental, genetic, neurological and immune system disorders.

NASA's contamination at SSFL has the potential to impact communities near the site and beyond. Indeed, pollutants from the site have already migrated offsite. The best way to ensure that public health is protected is to clean up to background as NASA has agreed to do.

NASA should be commended for having entered into the 2010 cleanup agreement. Now it is time to, without further delay, implement this historic cleanup agreement, thoroughly and completely.

Sincerely,

Dency Nelson

From: [Michael Stickel](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Santa Susana Cleanup Draft EIS
Date: Thursday, September 26, 2013 10:28:42 PM

Dear Mr. Elliott,

I'm writing to applaud NASA for having entered into a binding agreement with the State of California in 2010 to clean up all detectible contamination at the polluted Santa Susana Field Laboratory (SSFL). In response to NASA's Draft Environmental Report on the SSFL cleanup, I now urge NASA to now fully and rigorously carry out the commitments made in that cleanup agreement.

NASA's portion of SSFL is contaminated with toxic chemicals including PCBs, perchlorate, dioxins, heavy metals, and various volatile and semi-volatile organic compounds, all of which can produce harmful health effects. These materials can cause solid cancers and leukemias as well as developmental, genetic, neurological and immune system disorders.

NASA's contamination at SSFL has the potential to impact communities near the site and beyond. Indeed, pollutants from the site have already migrated offsite. The best way to ensure that public health is protected is to clean up to background as NASA has agreed to do.

NASA should be commended for having entered into the 2010 cleanup agreement. Now it is time to, without further delay, implement this historic cleanup agreement, thoroughly and completely.

Sincerely,

Michael Stickel

From: [Joan Forman](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Santa Susana Cleanup Draft EIS
Date: Thursday, September 26, 2013 10:36:08 PM

Dear Mr. Elliott,

I'm writing to applaud NASA for having entered into a binding agreement with the State of California in 2010 to clean up all detectible contamination at the polluted Santa Susana Field Laboratory (SSFL). In response to NASA's Draft Environmental Report on the SSFL cleanup, I now urge NASA to now fully and rigorously carry out the commitments made in that cleanup agreement.

NASA's portion of SSFL is contaminated with toxic chemicals including PCBs, perchlorate, dioxins, heavy metals, and various volatile and semi-volatile organic compounds, all of which can produce harmful health effects. These materials can cause solid cancers and leukemias as well as developmental, genetic, neurological and immune system disorders.

NASA's contamination at SSFL has the potential to impact communities near the site and beyond. Indeed, pollutants from the site have already migrated offsite. The best way to ensure that public health is protected is to clean up to background as NASA has agreed to do.

NASA should be commended for having entered into the 2010 cleanup agreement. Now it is time to, without further delay, implement this historic cleanup agreement, thoroughly and completely.

Sincerely,

Joan Forman

From: [Marie Mason](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Santa Susana Cleanup Draft EIS
Date: Thursday, September 26, 2013 10:41:15 PM

Dear Mr. Elliott,

I'm writing to applaud NASA for having entered into a binding agreement with the State of California in 2010 to clean up all detectible contamination at the polluted Santa Susana Field Laboratory (SSFL). In response to NASA's Draft Environmental Report on the SSFL cleanup, I now urge NASA to now fully and rigorously carry out the commitments made in that cleanup agreement.

NASA's portion of SSFL is contaminated with toxic chemicals including PCBs, perchlorate, dioxins, heavy metals, and various volatile and semi-volatile organic compounds, all of which can produce harmful health effects. These materials can cause solid cancers and leukemias as well as developmental, genetic, neurological and immune system disorders.

NASA's contamination at SSFL has the potential to impact communities near the site and beyond. Indeed, pollutants from the site have already migrated offsite. The best way to ensure that public health is protected is to clean up to background as NASA has agreed to do.

NASA should be commended for having entered into the 2010 cleanup agreement. Now it is time to, without further delay, implement this historic cleanup agreement, thoroughly and completely.

Sincerely,

Marie Mason

From: [Pamela Emerson](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Santa Susana Cleanup Draft EIS
Date: Thursday, September 26, 2013 10:52:45 PM

Dear Mr. Elliott,

I'm writing to applaud NASA for having entered into a binding agreement with the State of California in 2010 to clean up all detectible contamination at the polluted Santa Susana Field Laboratory (SSFL). In response to NASA's Draft Environmental Report on the SSFL cleanup, I now urge NASA to now fully and rigorously carry out the commitments made in that cleanup agreement.

NASA's portion of SSFL is contaminated with toxic chemicals including PCBs, perchlorate, dioxins, heavy metals, and various volatile and semi-volatile organic compounds, all of which can produce harmful health effects. These materials can cause solid cancers and leukemias as well as developmental, genetic, neurological and immune system disorders.

NASA's contamination at SSFL has the potential to impact communities near the site and beyond. Indeed, pollutants from the site have already migrated offsite. The best way to ensure that public health is protected is to clean up to background as NASA has agreed to do.

NASA should be commended for having entered into the 2010 cleanup agreement. Now it is time to, without further delay, implement this historic cleanup agreement, thoroughly and completely.

Sincerely,

Pamela Emerson

From: [phyllis pivo](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Santa Susana Cleanup Draft EIS
Date: Thursday, September 26, 2013 10:54:14 PM

Dear Mr. Elliott,

I'm writing to applaud NASA for having entered into a binding agreement with the State of California in 2010 to clean up all detectible contamination at the polluted Santa Susana Field Laboratory (SSFL). In response to NASA's Draft Environmental Report on the SSFL cleanup, I now urge NASA to now fully and rigorously carry out the commitments made in that cleanup agreement.

NASA's portion of SSFL is contaminated with toxic chemicals including PCBs, perchlorate, dioxins, heavy metals, and various volatile and semi-volatile organic compounds, all of which can produce harmful health effects. These materials can cause solid cancers and leukemias as well as developmental, genetic, neurological and immune system disorders.

NASA's contamination at SSFL has the potential to impact communities near the site and beyond. Indeed, pollutants from the site have already migrated offsite. The best way to ensure that public health is protected is to clean up to background as NASA has agreed to do.

NASA should be commended for having entered into the 2010 cleanup agreement. Now it is time to, without further delay, implement this historic cleanup agreement, thoroughly and completely.

Sincerely,

phyllis pivo

From: [amanda foulger](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Santa Susana Cleanup Draft EIS
Date: Thursday, September 26, 2013 10:54:45 PM

Dear Mr. Elliott,

I'm writing to applaud NASA for having entered into a binding agreement with the State of California in 2010 to clean up all detectible contamination at the polluted Santa Susana Field Laboratory (SSFL). In response to NASA's Draft Environmental Report on the SSFL cleanup, I now urge NASA to now fully and rigorously carry out the commitments made in that cleanup agreement.

NASA's portion of SSFL is contaminated with toxic chemicals including PCBs, perchlorate, dioxins, heavy metals, and various volatile and semi-volatile organic compounds, all of which can produce harmful health effects. These materials can cause solid cancers and leukemias as well as developmental, genetic, neurological and immune system disorders.

NASA's contamination at SSFL has the potential to impact communities near the site and beyond. Indeed, pollutants from the site have already migrated offsite.

NASA should be commended for having entered into the 2010 cleanup agreement. Now it is time to, without further delay, implement this historic cleanup agreement, thoroughly and completely.

Sincerely,

amanda foulger

From: [Edward Fisher](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Santa Susana Cleanup Draft EIS
Date: Thursday, September 26, 2013 10:55:41 PM

Dear Mr. Elliott,

I'm writing to applaud NASA for having entered into a binding agreement with the State of California in 2010 to clean up all detectible contamination at the polluted Santa Susana Field Laboratory (SSFL). In response to NASA's Draft Environmental Report on the SSFL cleanup, I now urge NASA to now fully and rigorously carry out the commitments made in that cleanup agreement.

NASA's portion of SSFL is contaminated with toxic chemicals including PCBs, perchlorate, dioxins, heavy metals, and various volatile and semi-volatile organic compounds, all of which can produce harmful health effects. These materials can cause solid cancers and leukemias as well as developmental, genetic, neurological and immune system disorders.

NASA's contamination at SSFL has the potential to impact communities near the site and beyond. Indeed, pollutants from the site have already migrated offsite. The best way to ensure that public health is protected is to clean up to background as NASA has agreed to do.

NASA should be commended for having entered into the 2010 cleanup agreement. Now it is time to, without further delay, implement this historic cleanup agreement, thoroughly and completely.

Sincerely,

Edward Fisher

From: [Bruce Burdick](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Santa Susana Cleanup Draft EIS
Date: Thursday, September 26, 2013 11:08:59 PM

Dear Mr. Elliott,

I'm writing to applaud NASA for having entered into a binding agreement with the State of California in 2010 to clean up all detectible contamination at the polluted Santa Susana Field Laboratory (SSFL). In response to NASA's Draft Environmental Report on the SSFL cleanup, I now urge NASA to now fully and rigorously carry out the commitments made in that cleanup agreement.

NASA's portion of SSFL is contaminated with toxic chemicals including PCBs, perchlorate, dioxins, heavy metals, and various volatile and semi-volatile organic compounds, all of which can produce harmful health effects. These materials can cause solid cancers and leukemias as well as developmental, genetic, neurological and immune system disorders.

NASA's contamination at SSFL has the potential to impact communities near the site and beyond. Indeed, pollutants from the site have already migrated offsite. The best way to ensure that public health is protected is to clean up to background as NASA has agreed to do.

NASA should be commended for having entered into the 2010 cleanup agreement. Now it is time to, without further delay, implement this historic cleanup agreement, thoroughly and completely.

Sincerely,

Bruce Burdick

From: [Tom Mullens](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Santa Susana Cleanup Draft EIS
Date: Thursday, September 26, 2013 11:16:20 PM

Dear Mr. Elliott,

I'm writing to applaud NASA for having entered into a binding agreement with the State of California in 2010 to clean up all detectible contamination at the polluted Santa Susana Field Laboratory (SSFL). In response to NASA's Draft Environmental Report on the SSFL cleanup, I now urge NASA to now fully and rigorously carry out the commitments made in that cleanup agreement.

NASA's portion of SSFL is contaminated with toxic chemicals including PCBs, perchlorate, dioxins, heavy metals, and various volatile and semi-volatile organic compounds, all of which can produce harmful health effects. These materials can cause solid cancers and leukemias as well as developmental, genetic, neurological and immune system disorders.

NASA's contamination at SSFL has the potential to impact communities near the site and beyond. Indeed, pollutants from the site have already migrated offsite. The best way to ensure that public health is protected is to clean up to background as NASA has agreed to do.

NASA should be commended for having entered into the 2010 cleanup agreement. Now it is time to, without further delay, implement this historic cleanup agreement, thoroughly and completely.

Sincerely,

Tom Mullens

From: [Bruce Little](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Santa Susana Cleanup Draft EIS
Date: Thursday, September 26, 2013 11:30:11 PM

Dear Mr. Elliott,

I'm writing to applaud NASA for having entered into a binding agreement with the State of California in 2010 to clean up all detectible contamination at the polluted Santa Susana Field Laboratory (SSFL). In response to NASA's Draft Environmental Report on the SSFL cleanup, I now urge NASA to now fully and rigorously carry out the commitments made in that cleanup agreement.

NASA's portion of SSFL is contaminated with toxic chemicals including PCBs, perchlorate, dioxins, heavy metals, and various volatile and semi-volatile organic compounds, all of which can produce harmful health effects. These materials can cause solid cancers and leukemias as well as developmental, genetic, neurological and immune system disorders.

NASA's contamination at SSFL has the potential to impact communities near the site and beyond. Indeed, pollutants from the site have already migrated offsite. The best way to ensure that public health is protected is to clean up to background as NASA has agreed to do.

NASA should be commended for having entered into the 2010 cleanup agreement. Now it is time to, without further delay, implement this historic cleanup agreement, thoroughly and completely.

Sincerely,

Bruce Little

From: [Janet Eckhouse](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Santa Susana Cleanup Draft EIS
Date: Thursday, September 26, 2013 11:30:27 PM

Dear Mr. Elliott,

I'm writing to applaud NASA for having entered into a binding agreement with the State of California in 2010 to clean up all detectible contamination at the polluted Santa Susana Field Laboratory (SSFL). In response to NASA's Draft Environmental Report on the SSFL cleanup, I now urge NASA to now fully and rigorously carry out the commitments made in that cleanup agreement.

NASA's portion of SSFL is contaminated with toxic chemicals including PCBs, perchlorate, dioxins, heavy metals, and various volatile and semi-volatile organic compounds, all of which can produce harmful health effects. These materials can cause solid cancers and leukemias as well as developmental, genetic, neurological and immune system disorders.

NASA's contamination at SSFL has the potential to impact communities near the site and beyond. Indeed, pollutants from the site have already migrated offsite. The best way to ensure that public health is protected is to clean up to background as NASA has agreed to do.

NASA should be commended for having entered into the 2010 cleanup agreement. Now it is time to, without further delay, implement this historic cleanup agreement, thoroughly and completely.

Sincerely,

Janet Eckhouse

From: [Karen Little](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Santa Susana Cleanup Draft EIS
Date: Thursday, September 26, 2013 11:30:55 PM

Dear Mr. Elliott,

I'm writing to applaud NASA for having entered into a binding agreement with the State of California in 2010 to clean up all detectible contamination at the polluted Santa Susana Field Laboratory (SSFL). In response to NASA's Draft Environmental Report on the SSFL cleanup, I now urge NASA to now fully and rigorously carry out the commitments made in that cleanup agreement.

NASA's portion of SSFL is contaminated with toxic chemicals including PCBs, perchlorate, dioxins, heavy metals, and various volatile and semi-volatile organic compounds, all of which can produce harmful health effects. These materials can cause solid cancers and leukemias as well as developmental, genetic, neurological and immune system disorders.

NASA's contamination at SSFL has the potential to impact communities near the site and beyond. Indeed, pollutants from the site have already migrated offsite. The best way to ensure that public health is protected is to clean up to background as NASA has agreed to do.

NASA should be commended for having entered into the 2010 cleanup agreement. Now it is time to, without further delay, implement this historic cleanup agreement, thoroughly and completely.

Sincerely,

Karen Little

From: [Karen Pedersen](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Santa Susana Cleanup Draft EIS
Date: Thursday, September 26, 2013 11:46:45 PM

Dear Mr. Elliott,

I'm writing to applaud NASA for having entered into a binding agreement with the State of California in 2010 to clean up all detectible contamination at the polluted Santa Susana Field Laboratory (SSFL). In response to NASA's Draft Environmental Report on the SSFL cleanup, I now urge NASA to now fully and rigorously carry out the commitments made in that cleanup agreement.

NASA's portion of SSFL is contaminated with toxic chemicals including PCBs, perchlorate, dioxins, heavy metals, and various volatile and semi-volatile organic compounds, all of which can produce harmful health effects. These materials can cause solid cancers and leukemias as well as developmental, genetic, neurological and immune system disorders.

NASA's contamination at SSFL has the potential to impact communities near the site and beyond. Indeed, pollutants from the site have already migrated offsite. The best way to ensure that public health is protected is to clean up to background as NASA has agreed to do.

NASA should be commended for having entered into the 2010 cleanup agreement. Now it is time to, without further delay, implement this historic cleanup agreement, thoroughly and completely.

Sincerely,

Karen Pedersen

From: [ruth holston](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Santa Susana Cleanup Draft EIS
Date: Friday, September 27, 2013 12:13:55 AM

Dear Mr. Elliott,

I'm writing to applaud NASA for having entered into a binding agreement with the State of California in 2010 to clean up all detectible contamination at the polluted Santa Susana Field Laboratory (SSFL). In response to NASA's Draft Environmental Report on the SSFL cleanup, I now urge NASA to now fully and rigorously carry out the commitments made in that cleanup agreement.

NASA's portion of SSFL is contaminated with toxic chemicals including PCBs, perchlorate, dioxins, heavy metals, and various volatile and semi-volatile organic compounds, all of which can produce harmful health effects. These materials can cause solid cancers and leukemias as well as developmental, genetic, neurological and immune system disorders.

NASA's contamination at SSFL has the potential to impact communities near the site and beyond. Indeed, pollutants from the site have already migrated offsite. The best way to ensure that public health is protected is to clean up to background as NASA has agreed to do.

Now it is time to, without further delay, implement this historic cleanup agreement, thoroughly and completely.

Sincerely,

ruth holston

From: [Pamela Zuppo](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Santa Susana Cleanup Draft EIS
Date: Friday, September 27, 2013 12:15:02 AM

Dear Mr. Elliott,

I'm writing to applaud NASA for having entered into a binding agreement with the State of California in 2010 to clean up all detectible contamination at the polluted Santa Susana Field Laboratory (SSFL). In response to NASA's Draft Environmental Report on the SSFL cleanup, I now urge NASA to now fully and rigorously carry out the commitments made in that cleanup agreement.

NASA's portion of SSFL is contaminated with toxic chemicals including PCBs, perchlorate, dioxins, heavy metals, and various volatile and semi-volatile organic compounds, all of which can produce harmful health effects. These materials can cause solid cancers and leukemias as well as developmental, genetic, neurological and immune system disorders.

NASA's contamination at SSFL has the potential to impact communities near the site and beyond. Indeed, pollutants from the site have already migrated offsite. The best way to ensure that public health is protected is to clean up to background as NASA has agreed to do.

NASA should be commended for having entered into the 2010 cleanup agreement. Now it is time to, without further delay, implement this historic cleanup agreement, thoroughly and completely.

Sincerely,

Pamela Zuppo

From: [David Katz](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Santa Susana Cleanup Draft EIS
Date: Friday, September 27, 2013 12:36:42 AM

Dear Mr. Elliott,

I'm writing to applaud NASA for having entered into a binding agreement with the State of California in 2010 to clean up all detectible contamination at the polluted Santa Susana Field Laboratory (SSFL). In response to NASA's Draft Environmental Report on the SSFL cleanup, I now urge NASA to now fully and rigorously carry out the commitments made in that cleanup agreement.

NASA's portion of SSFL is contaminated with toxic chemicals including PCBs, perchlorate, dioxins, heavy metals, and various volatile and semi-volatile organic compounds, all of which can produce harmful health effects. These materials can cause solid cancers and leukemias as well as developmental, genetic, neurological and immune system disorders.

NASA's contamination at SSFL has the potential to impact communities near the site and beyond. Indeed, pollutants from the site have already migrated offsite. The best way to ensure that public health is protected is to clean up to background as NASA has agreed to do.

NASA should be commended for having entered into the 2010 cleanup agreement. Now it is time to, without further delay, implement this historic cleanup agreement, thoroughly and completely.

Sincerely,

David Katz

From: [Catherine Dishion](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Santa Susana Cleanup Draft EIS
Date: Friday, September 27, 2013 12:57:06 AM

Dear Mr. Elliott,

I'm writing to applaud NASA for having entered into a binding agreement with the State of California in 2010 to clean up all detectible contamination at the polluted Santa Susana Field Laboratory (SSFL). In response to NASA's Draft Environmental Report on the SSFL cleanup, I now urge NASA to now fully and rigorously carry out the commitments made in that cleanup agreement.

NASA's portion of SSFL is contaminated with toxic chemicals including PCBs, perchlorate, dioxins, heavy metals, and various volatile and semi-volatile organic compounds, all of which can produce harmful health effects. These materials can cause solid cancers and leukemias as well as developmental, genetic, neurological and immune system disorders.

NASA's contamination at SSFL has the potential to impact communities near the site and beyond. Indeed, pollutants from the site have already migrated offsite. The best way to ensure that public health is protected is to clean up to background as NASA has agreed to do.

NASA should be commended for having entered into the 2010 cleanup agreement. Now it is time to, without further delay, implement this historic cleanup agreement, thoroughly and completely.

Sincerely,

Catherine Dishion

From: [Morelle Levine](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Santa Susana Cleanup Draft EIS
Date: Friday, September 27, 2013 12:58:44 AM

Dear Mr. Elliott,

I'm writing to applaud NASA for having entered into a binding agreement with the State of California in 2010 to clean up all detectible contamination at the polluted Santa Susana Field Laboratory (SSFL). In response to NASA's Draft Environmental Report on the SSFL cleanup, I now urge NASA to now fully and rigorously carry out the commitments made in that cleanup agreement.

NASA's portion of SSFL is contaminated with toxic chemicals including PCBs, perchlorate, dioxins, heavy metals, and various volatile and semi-volatile organic compounds, all of which can produce harmful health effects. These materials can cause solid cancers and leukemias as well as developmental, genetic, neurological and immune system disorders.

NASA's contamination at SSFL has the potential to impact communities near the site and beyond. Indeed, pollutants from the site have already migrated offsite. The best way to ensure that public health is protected is to clean up to background as NASA has agreed to do.

NASA should be commended for having entered into the 2010 cleanup agreement. Now it is time to, without further delay, implement this historic cleanup agreement, thoroughly and completely.

Sincerely,

Morelle Levine

From: [Katherine Roberts](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Santa Susana Cleanup Draft EIS
Date: Friday, September 27, 2013 1:01:03 AM

Dear Mr. Elliott,

I'm writing to applaud NASA for having entered into a binding agreement with the State of California in 2010 to clean up all detectible contamination at the polluted Santa Susana Field Laboratory (SSFL). In response to NASA's Draft Environmental Report on the SSFL cleanup, I now urge NASA to now fully and rigorously carry out the commitments made in that cleanup agreement.

NASA's portion of SSFL is contaminated with toxic chemicals including PCBs, perchlorate, dioxins, heavy metals, and various volatile and semi-volatile organic compounds, all of which can produce harmful health effects. These materials can cause solid cancers and leukemias as well as developmental, genetic, neurological and immune system disorders.

NASA's contamination at SSFL has the potential to impact communities near the site and beyond. Indeed, pollutants from the site have already migrated offsite. The best way to ensure that public health is protected is to clean up to background as NASA has agreed to do.

NASA should be commended for having entered into the 2010 cleanup agreement. Now it is time to, without further delay, implement this historic cleanup agreement, thoroughly and completely.

Sincerely,

Katherine Roberts

From: [Eugene Majerowicz](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Santa Susana Cleanup Draft EIS
Date: Friday, September 27, 2013 1:16:18 AM

Dear Mr. Elliott,

I'm writing to applaud NASA for having entered into a binding agreement with the State of California in 2010 to clean up all detectible contamination at the polluted Santa Susana Field Laboratory (SSFL). In response to NASA's Draft Environmental Report on the SSFL cleanup, I now urge NASA to now fully and rigorously carry out the commitments made in that cleanup agreement.

NASA's portion of SSFL is contaminated with toxic chemicals including PCBs, perchlorate, dioxins, heavy metals, and various volatile and semi-volatile organic compounds, all of which can produce harmful health effects. These materials can cause solid cancers and leukemias as well as developmental, genetic, neurological and immune system disorders.

NASA's contamination at SSFL has the potential to impact communities near the site and beyond. Indeed, pollutants from the site have already migrated offsite. The best way to ensure that public health is protected is to clean up to background as NASA has agreed to do.

NASA should be commended for having entered into the 2010 cleanup agreement. Now it is time to, without further delay, implement this historic cleanup agreement, thoroughly and completely.

Sincerely,

Eugene Majerowicz

From: [Kristy Moorman](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Santa Susana Draft Environmental Impact Statement
Date: Monday, September 30, 2013 5:39:25 PM

Dear Mr. Elliott:

I write to urge NASA to fully live up to the commitments it made in its 2010 agreement with the State of California to clean up all the contamination that is found at its portion of the Santa Susana Field Laboratory, a nuclear and rocket testing site.

NASA activities led to widespread contamination of soil and groundwater with very toxic materials such as perchlorate, dioxins, and TCE. Some of the contaminants have been migrating offsite. As a local resident, I am very concerned about the potential health impacts of these materials and want the site cleaned up properly.

In 2010 NASA signed an agreement with California to clean up all the contamination to background levels, restoring the site to the condition it was in before it was polluted. NASA is responsible for the contamination, has promised to clean it all up, and should live up to those commitments and promptly and thoroughly clean up the site and carry out all the obligations it assumed when it entered into the cleanup agreement.

Sincerely,

Kristy Moorman

From: [Dave Moorman](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Santa Susana Draft Environmental Impact Statement
Date: Monday, September 30, 2013 6:23:30 PM

Dear Mr. Elliott:

I apologize for participating in besieging you with an electronic email campaign, but I want you to be clear that although this effort has been coordinated by a small dedicated group of young people who care deeply about seeing forward motion on the cleanup of the Santa Susana site, it is representative of a larger belief in our government taking responsibility for past actions. So please act to urge your administration to focus on taking care of this issue without further delay.

I write to urge NASA to fully live up to the commitments it made in its 2010 agreement with the State of California to clean up all the contamination that is found at its portion of the Santa Susana Field Laboratory, a nuclear and rocket testing site.

NASA activities led to widespread contamination of soil and groundwater with very toxic materials such as perchlorate, dioxins, and TCE. Some of the contaminants have been migrating offsite. As a local resident, I am very concerned about the potential health impacts of these materials and want the site cleaned up properly.

In 2010 NASA signed an agreement with California to clean up all the contamination to background levels, restoring the site to the condition it was in before it was polluted. NASA is responsible for the contamination, has promised to clean it all up, and should live up to those commitments and promptly and thoroughly clean up the site and carry out all the obligations it assumed when it entered into the cleanup agreement.

Sincerely,

Dave Moorman

From: [Michael Hu](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Santa Susana Draft Environmental Impact Statement
Date: Monday, September 30, 2013 7:02:17 PM

Dear Mr. Elliott:

I write to urge NASA to fully live up to the commitments it made in its 2010 agreement with the State of California to clean up all the contamination that is found at its portion of the Santa Susana Field Laboratory, a nuclear and rocket testing site.

NASA activities led to widespread contamination of soil and groundwater with very toxic materials such as perchlorate, dioxins, and TCE. Some of the contaminants have been migrating offsite. As a local resident, I am very concerned about the potential health impacts of these materials and want the site cleaned up properly.

In 2010 NASA signed an agreement with California to clean up all the contamination to background levels, restoring the site to the condition it was in before it was polluted. NASA is responsible for the contamination, has promised to clean it all up, and should live up to those commitments and promptly and thoroughly clean up the site and carry out all the obligations it assumed when it entered into the cleanup agreement.

Sincerely,

Michael Hu

From: [Diane Sikes](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Santa Susana Draft Environmental Impact Statement
Date: Monday, September 30, 2013 7:30:57 PM

Dear Mr. Elliott:

I write to urge NASA to fully live up to the commitments it made in its 2010 agreement with the State of California to clean up all the contamination that is found at its portion of the Santa Susana Field Laboratory, a nuclear and rocket testing site.

NASA activities led to widespread contamination of soil and groundwater with very toxic materials such as perchlorate, dioxins, and TCE. Some of the contaminants have been migrating offsite. As a local resident, I am very concerned about the potential health impacts of these materials and want the site cleaned up properly.

In 2010 NASA signed an agreement with California to clean up all the contamination to background levels, restoring the site to the condition it was in before it was polluted. NASA is responsible for the contamination, has promised to clean it all up, and should live up to those commitments and promptly and thoroughly clean up the site and carry out all the obligations it assumed when it entered into the cleanup agreement.

Sincerely,

Diane Sikes

From: [Olivia Raine](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Santa Susana Draft Environmental Impact Statement
Date: Monday, September 30, 2013 8:41:55 PM

Dear Mr. Elliott:

I write to urge NASA to fully live up to the commitments it made in its 2010 agreement with the State of California to clean up all the contamination that is found at its portion of the Santa Susana Field Laboratory, a nuclear and rocket testing site.

NASA activities led to widespread contamination of soil and groundwater with very toxic materials such as perchlorate, dioxins, and TCE. Some of the contaminants have been migrating offsite. As a local resident, I am very concerned about the potential health impacts of these materials and want the site cleaned up properly.

In 2010 NASA signed an agreement with California to clean up all the contamination to background levels, restoring the site to the condition it was in before it was polluted. NASA is responsible for the contamination, has promised to clean it all up, and should live up to those commitments and promptly and thoroughly clean up the site and carry out all the obligations it assumed when it entered into the cleanup agreement.

Sincerely,

Olivia Raine

From: [Bar Hadari](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Santa Susana Draft Environmental Impact Statement
Date: Monday, September 30, 2013 10:51:40 PM

Dear Mr. Elliott:

I write to urge NASA to fully live up to the commitments it made in its 2010 agreement with the State of California to clean up all the contamination that is found at its portion of the Santa Susana Field Laboratory, a nuclear and rocket testing site.

NASA activities led to widespread contamination of soil and groundwater with very toxic materials such as perchlorate, dioxins, and TCE. Some of the contaminants have been migrating offsite. As a local resident, I am very concerned about the potential health impacts of these materials and want the site cleaned up properly.

In 2010 NASA signed an agreement with California to clean up all the contamination to background levels, restoring the site to the condition it was in before it was polluted. NASA is responsible for the contamination, has promised to clean it all up, and should live up to those commitments and promptly and thoroughly clean up the site and carry out all the obligations it assumed when it entered into the cleanup agreement.

Sincerely,

Bar Hadari

From: [Ron Suckle](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Santa Susana Cleanup Environmental Impact Statement
Date: Tuesday, October 01, 2013 5:08:14 PM

Dear Mr. Elliott,

The most contaminated place in Ventura County is the Santa Susana Field Laboratory, where reactors and rockets were tested. One atomic reactor suffered a partial meltdown there in 1959; there were tens of thousands of rocket tests. Extensive radioactive and chemical contamination resulted.

For decades, the fight to get the site cleaned up raged. Finally, we got a breakthrough: in 2010, the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) and NASA entered into binding agreements with California to cleanups to background levels of contaminants. In short, if contamination is detected, it is to be cleaned up. This is what the community has sought for so long. Numerous elected officials as well as thousands of community members supported the agreement and helped bring this about.

NASA has now published a Draft Environmental Impact Statement about the cleanup for public comment. There appear, however, to be some within NASA who would like to break the agreement NASA signed. That must not happen.

I was therefore pleased to learn that NASA, in response to questions by Congresswoman Julia Brownley at a recent hearing of the House Science and Technology Committee, reiterated that "NASA is committed to fulfilling our obligations under the AOC."

I write to make clear that NASA must live up to the commitments it has made to the Congress and our community. NASA should proceed expeditiously to carry out, in full, the obligations it accepted when it signed the cleanup agreement with the state in 2010. NASA polluted its site; it promised to clean up all the contamination; it should uphold, completely and rigorously, its obligations under that cleanup agreement.

Sincerely,

Ron Suckle

From: [Colleen Graven](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Santa Susana Cleanup Environmental Impact Statement
Date: Sunday, September 29, 2013 4:29:27 PM

Dear Mr. Elliott,

The most contaminated place in Ventura County is the Santa Susana Field Laboratory, where reactors and rockets were tested. One atomic reactor suffered a partial meltdown there in 1959; there were tens of thousands of rocket tests. Extensive radioactive and chemical contamination resulted.

For decades, the fight to get the site cleaned up raged. Finally, we got a breakthrough: in 2010, the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) and NASA entered into binding agreements with California to cleanups to background levels of contaminants. In short, if contamination is detected, it is to be cleaned up. This is what the community has sought for so long. Numerous elected officials as well as thousands of community members supported the agreement and helped bring this about.

NASA has now published a Draft Environmental Impact Statement about the cleanup for public comment. There appear, however, to be some within NASA who would like to break the agreement NASA signed. That must not happen.

I was therefore pleased to learn that NASA, in response to questions by Congresswoman Julia Brownley at a recent hearing of the House Science and Technology Committee, reiterated that "NASA is committed to fulfilling our obligations under the AOC."

I write to make clear that NASA must live up to the commitments it has made to the Congress and our community. NASA should proceed expeditiously to carry out, in full, the obligations it accepted when it signed the cleanup agreement with the state in 2010. NASA polluted its site; it promised to clean up all the contamination; it should uphold, completely and rigorously, its obligations under that cleanup agreement.

Sincerely,

Colleen Graven

From: [Sheila Suarez](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Santa Susana Cleanup Environmental Impact Statement
Date: Sunday, September 29, 2013 10:27:56 PM

Dear Mr. Elliott,

The most contaminated place in Ventura County is the Santa Susana Field Laboratory, where reactors and rockets were tested. One atomic reactor suffered a partial meltdown there in 1959; there were tens of thousands of rocket tests. Extensive radioactive and chemical contamination resulted.

For decades, the fight to get the site cleaned up raged. Finally, we got a breakthrough: in 2010, the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) and NASA entered into binding agreements with California to cleanups to background levels of contaminants. In short, if contamination is detected, it is to be cleaned up. This is what the community has sought for so long. Numerous elected officials as well as thousands of community members supported the agreement and helped bring this about.

NASA has now published a Draft Environmental Impact Statement about the cleanup for public comment. There appear, however, to be some within NASA who would like to break the agreement NASA signed. That must not happen.

I was therefore pleased to learn that NASA, in response to questions by Congresswoman Julia Brownley at a recent hearing of the House Science and Technology Committee, reiterated that "NASA is committed to fulfilling our obligations under the AOC."

I write to make clear that NASA must live up to the commitments it has made to the Congress and our community. NASA should proceed expeditiously to carry out, in full, the obligations it accepted when it signed the cleanup agreement with the state in 2010. NASA polluted its site; it promised to clean up all the contamination; it should uphold, completely and rigorously, its obligations under that cleanup agreement.

Sincerely,

Sheila Suarez

From: [Ann Moyer](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Santa Susana Cleanup Environmental Impact Statement
Date: Monday, September 30, 2013 9:58:25 AM

Dear Mr. Elliott,

The most contaminated place in Ventura County is the Santa Susana Field Laboratory, where reactors and rockets were tested. One atomic reactor suffered a partial meltdown there in 1959; there were tens of thousands of rocket tests. Extensive radioactive and chemical contamination resulted.

For decades, the fight to get the site cleaned up raged. Finally, we got a breakthrough: in 2010, the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) and NASA entered into binding agreements with California to cleanups to background levels of contaminants. In short, if contamination is detected, it is to be cleaned up. This is what the community has sought for so long. Numerous elected officials as well as thousands of community members supported the agreement and helped bring this about.

NASA has now published a Draft Environmental Impact Statement about the cleanup for public comment. There appear, however, to be some within NASA who would like to break the agreement NASA signed. That must not happen.

I was therefore pleased to learn that NASA, in response to questions by Congresswoman Julia Brownley at a recent hearing of the House Science and Technology Committee, reiterated that "NASA is committed to fulfilling our obligations under the AOC."

I write to make clear that NASA must live up to the commitments it has made to the Congress and our community. NASA should proceed expeditiously to carry out, in full, the obligations it accepted when it signed the cleanup agreement with the state in 2010. NASA polluted its site; it promised to clean up all the contamination; it should uphold, completely and rigorously, its obligations under that cleanup agreement.

Sincerely,

Ann Moyer

From: [RL Miller](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Santa Susana Cleanup Environmental Impact Statement
Date: Monday, September 30, 2013 5:44:07 PM

Dear Mr. Elliott,

The most contaminated place in Ventura County is the Santa Susana Field Laboratory, where reactors and rockets were tested. One atomic reactor suffered a partial meltdown there in 1959; there were tens of thousands of rocket tests. Extensive radioactive and chemical contamination resulted.

For decades, the fight to get the site cleaned up raged. Finally, we got a breakthrough: in 2010, the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) and NASA entered into binding agreements with California to cleanups to background levels of contaminants. In short, if contamination is detected, it is to be cleaned up. This is what the community has sought for so long. Numerous elected officials as well as thousands of community members supported the agreement and helped bring this about.

NASA has now published a Draft Environmental Impact Statement about the cleanup for public comment. There appear, however, to be some within NASA who would like to break the agreement NASA signed. That must not happen.

I was therefore pleased to learn that NASA, in response to questions by Congresswoman Julia Brownley at a recent hearing of the House Science and Technology Committee, reiterated that "NASA is committed to fulfilling our obligations under the AOC."

I write to make clear that NASA must live up to the commitments it has made to the Congress and our community. NASA should proceed expeditiously to carry out, in full, the obligations it accepted when it signed the cleanup agreement with the state in 2010. NASA polluted its site; it promised to clean up all the contamination; it should uphold, completely and rigorously, its obligations under that cleanup agreement.

Sincerely,

RL Miller

From: [kassandra hawkins](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Santa Susana Cleanup Environmental Impact Statement
Date: Monday, September 30, 2013 5:45:07 PM

Dear Mr. Elliott,

The most contaminated place in Ventura County is the Santa Susana Field Laboratory, where reactors and rockets were tested. One atomic reactor suffered a partial meltdown there in 1959; there were tens of thousands of rocket tests. Extensive radioactive and chemical contamination resulted.

For decades, the fight to get the site cleaned up raged. Finally, we got a breakthrough: in 2010, the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) and NASA entered into binding agreements with California to cleanups to background levels of contaminants. In short, if contamination is detected, it is to be cleaned up. This is what the community has sought for so long. Numerous elected officials as well as thousands of community members supported the agreement and helped bring this about.

NASA has now published a Draft Environmental Impact Statement about the cleanup for public comment. There appear, however, to be some within NASA who would like to break the agreement NASA signed. That must not happen.

I was therefore pleased to learn that NASA, in response to questions by Congresswoman Julia Brownley at a recent hearing of the House Science and Technology Committee, reiterated that "NASA is committed to fulfilling our obligations under the AOC."

I write to make clear that NASA must live up to the commitments it has made to the Congress and our community. NASA should proceed expeditiously to carry out, in full, the obligations it accepted when it signed the cleanup agreement with the state in 2010. NASA polluted its site; it promised to clean up all the contamination; it should uphold, completely and rigorously, its obligations under that cleanup agreement.

Sincerely,

kassandra hawkins

From: [Kathleen Katz](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Santa Susana Cleanup Environmental Impact Statement
Date: Monday, September 30, 2013 5:45:48 PM

Dear Mr. Elliott,

The most contaminated place in Ventura County is the Santa Susana Field Laboratory, where reactors and rockets were tested. One atomic reactor suffered a partial meltdown there in 1959; there were tens of thousands of rocket tests. Extensive radioactive and chemical contamination resulted.

For decades, the fight to get the site cleaned up raged. Finally, we got a breakthrough: in 2010, the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) and NASA entered into binding agreements with California to cleanups to background levels of contaminants. In short, if contamination is detected, it is to be cleaned up. This is what the community has sought for so long. Numerous elected officials as well as thousands of community members supported the agreement and helped bring this about.

NASA has now published a Draft Environmental Impact Statement about the cleanup for public comment. There appear, however, to be some within NASA who would like to break the agreement NASA signed. That must not happen.

I was therefore pleased to learn that NASA, in response to questions by Congresswoman Julia Brownley at a recent hearing of the House Science and Technology Committee, reiterated that "NASA is committed to fulfilling our obligations under the AOC."

I write to make clear that NASA must live up to the commitments it has made to the Congress and our community. NASA should proceed expeditiously to carry out, in full, the obligations it accepted when it signed the cleanup agreement with the state in 2010. NASA polluted its site; it promised to clean up all the contamination; it should uphold, completely and rigorously, its obligations under that cleanup agreement.

Sincerely,

Kathleen Katz

From: [Laurence Zakson](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Santa Susana Cleanup Environmental Impact Statement
Date: Monday, September 30, 2013 5:47:08 PM

Dear Mr. Elliott,

The most contaminated place in Ventura County is the Santa Susana Field Laboratory, where reactors and rockets were tested. One atomic reactor suffered a partial meltdown there in 1959; there were tens of thousands of rocket tests. Extensive radioactive and chemical contamination resulted.

For decades, the fight to get the site cleaned up raged. Finally, we got a breakthrough: in 2010, the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) and NASA entered into binding agreements with California to cleanups to background levels of contaminants. In short, if contamination is detected, it is to be cleaned up. This is what the community has sought for so long. Numerous elected officials as well as thousands of community members supported the agreement and helped bring this about.

NASA has now published a Draft Environmental Impact Statement about the cleanup for public comment. There appear, however, to be some within NASA who would like to break the agreement NASA signed. That must not happen.

I was therefore pleased to learn that NASA, in response to questions by Congresswoman Julia Brownley at a recent hearing of the House Science and Technology Committee, reiterated that "NASA is committed to fulfilling our obligations under the AOC."

I write to make clear that NASA must live up to the commitments it has made to the Congress and our community. NASA should proceed expeditiously to carry out, in full, the obligations it accepted when it signed the cleanup agreement with the state in 2010. NASA polluted its site; it promised to clean up all the contamination; it should uphold, completely and rigorously, its obligations under that cleanup agreement.

Sincerely,

Laurence Zakson

From: [Elizabeth Havens](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Santa Susana Cleanup Environmental Impact Statement
Date: Monday, September 30, 2013 5:51:21 PM

Dear Mr. Elliott,

The most contaminated place in Ventura County is the Santa Susana Field Laboratory, where reactors and rockets were tested. One atomic reactor suffered a partial meltdown there in 1959; there were tens of thousands of rocket tests. Extensive radioactive and chemical contamination resulted.

I was pleased to learn that NASA, in response to questions by Congresswoman Julia Brownley at a recent hearing of the House Science and Technology Committee, reiterated that "NASA is committed to fulfilling our obligations under the AOC."

I write to make clear that NASA must live up to the commitments it has made to the Congress and our community. NASA should proceed expeditiously to carry out, in full, the obligations it accepted when it signed the cleanup agreement with the state in 2010. NASA polluted its site; it promised to clean up all the contamination; it should uphold, completely and rigorously, its obligations under that cleanup agreement.

And to thank you for your commitment to doing so.

Sincerely,

Elizabeth Havens

From: [Margaret Krpan](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Santa Susana Cleanup Environmental Impact Statement
Date: Monday, September 30, 2013 5:54:43 PM

Dear Mr. Elliott,

The most contaminated place in Ventura County is the Santa Susana Field Laboratory, where reactors and rockets were tested. One atomic reactor suffered a partial meltdown there in 1959; there were tens of thousands of rocket tests. Extensive radioactive and chemical contamination resulted.

For decades, the fight to get the site cleaned up raged. Finally, we got a breakthrough: in 2010, the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) and NASA entered into binding agreements with California to cleanups to background levels of contaminants. In short, if contamination is detected, it is to be cleaned up. This is what the community has sought for so long. Numerous elected officials as well as thousands of community members supported the agreement and helped bring this about.

NASA has now published a Draft Environmental Impact Statement about the cleanup for public comment. There appear, however, to be some within NASA who would like to break the agreement NASA signed. That must not happen.

I was therefore pleased to learn that NASA, in response to questions by Congresswoman Julia Brownley at a recent hearing of the House Science and Technology Committee, reiterated that "NASA is committed to fulfilling our obligations under the AOC."

I write to make clear that NASA must live up to the commitments it has made to the Congress and our community. NASA should proceed expeditiously to carry out, in full, the obligations it accepted when it signed the cleanup agreement with the state in 2010. NASA polluted its site; it promised to clean up all the contamination; it should uphold, completely and rigorously, its obligations under that cleanup agreement.

Sincerely,

Margaret Krpan

From: [Lori DeMersseman](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Santa Susana Cleanup Environmental Impact Statement
Date: Monday, September 30, 2013 5:55:41 PM

Dear Mr. Elliott,

The most contaminated place in Ventura County is the Santa Susana Field Laboratory, where reactors and rockets were tested. One atomic reactor suffered a partial meltdown there in 1959; there were tens of thousands of rocket tests. Extensive radioactive and chemical contamination resulted.

For decades, the fight to get the site cleaned up raged. Finally, we got a breakthrough: in 2010, the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) and NASA entered into binding agreements with California to cleanups to background levels of contaminants. In short, if contamination is detected, it is to be cleaned up. This is what the community has sought for so long. Numerous elected officials as well as thousands of community members supported the agreement and helped bring this about.

NASA has now published a Draft Environmental Impact Statement about the cleanup for public comment. There appear, however, to be some within NASA who would like to break the agreement NASA signed. That must not happen.

I was therefore pleased to learn that NASA, in response to questions by Congresswoman Julia Brownley at a recent hearing of the House Science and Technology Committee, reiterated that "NASA is committed to fulfilling our obligations under the AOC."

I write to make clear that NASA must live up to the commitments it has made to the Congress and our community. NASA should proceed expeditiously to carry out, in full, the obligations it accepted when it signed the cleanup agreement with the state in 2010. NASA polluted its site; it promised to clean up all the contamination; it should uphold, completely and rigorously, its obligations under that cleanup agreement.

Sincerely,

Lori DeMersseman

From: [Dr. Monica Weisberg](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Santa Susana Cleanup Environmental Impact Statement
Date: Monday, September 30, 2013 6:01:31 PM

Dear Mr. Elliott,

The most contaminated place in Ventura County is the Santa Susana Field Laboratory, where reactors and rockets were tested. One atomic reactor suffered a partial meltdown there in 1959; there were tens of thousands of rocket tests. Extensive radioactive and chemical contamination resulted.

For decades, the fight to get the site cleaned up raged. Finally, we got a breakthrough: in 2010, the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) and NASA entered into binding agreements with California to cleanups to background levels of contaminants. In short, if contamination is detected, it is to be cleaned up. This is what the community has sought for so long. Numerous elected officials as well as thousands of community members supported the agreement and helped bring this about.

NASA has now published a Draft Environmental Impact Statement about the cleanup for public comment. There appear, however, to be some within NASA who would like to break the agreement NASA signed. That must not happen.

I was therefore pleased to learn that NASA, in response to questions by Congresswoman Julia Brownley at a recent hearing of the House Science and Technology Committee, reiterated that "NASA is committed to fulfilling our obligations under the AOC."

I write to make clear that NASA must live up to the commitments it has made to the Congress and our community. NASA should proceed expeditiously to carry out, in full, the obligations it accepted when it signed the cleanup agreement with the state in 2010. NASA polluted its site; it promised to clean up all the contamination; it should uphold, completely and rigorously, its obligations under that cleanup agreement.

Sincerely,

Dr. Monica Weisberg

From: [Melissa Baffa](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Santa Susana Cleanup Environmental Impact Statement
Date: Monday, September 30, 2013 6:13:37 PM

Dear Mr. Elliott,

The most contaminated place in Ventura County is the Santa Susana Field Laboratory, where reactors and rockets were tested. One atomic reactor suffered a partial meltdown there in 1959; there were tens of thousands of rocket tests. Extensive radioactive and chemical contamination resulted.

For decades, the fight to get the site cleaned up raged. Finally, we got a breakthrough: in 2010, the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) and NASA entered into binding agreements with California to cleanups to background levels of contaminants. In short, if contamination is detected, it is to be cleaned up. This is what the community has sought for so long. Numerous elected officials as well as thousands of community members supported the agreement and helped bring this about.

NASA has now published a Draft Environmental Impact Statement about the cleanup for public comment. There appear, however, to be some within NASA who would like to break the agreement NASA signed. That must not happen.

I was therefore pleased to learn that NASA, in response to questions by Congresswoman Julia Brownley at a recent hearing of the House Science and Technology Committee, reiterated that "NASA is committed to fulfilling our obligations under the AOC."

I write to make clear that NASA must live up to the commitments it has made to the Congress and our community. NASA should proceed expeditiously to carry out, in full, the obligations it accepted when it signed the cleanup agreement with the state in 2010. NASA polluted its site; it promised to clean up all the contamination; it should uphold, completely and rigorously, its obligations under that cleanup agreement.

Sincerely,

Melissa Baffa

From: [Joseph Rund](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Santa Susana Cleanup Environmental Impact Statement
Date: Monday, September 30, 2013 6:17:25 PM

Dear Mr. Elliott,

The most contaminated place in Ventura County is the Santa Susana Field Laboratory, where reactors and rockets were tested. One atomic reactor suffered a partial meltdown there in 1959; there were tens of thousands of rocket tests. Extensive radioactive and chemical contamination resulted.

For decades, the fight to get the site cleaned up raged. Finally, we got a breakthrough: in 2010, the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) and NASA entered into binding agreements with California to cleanups to background levels of contaminants. In short, if contamination is detected, it is to be cleaned up. This is what the community has sought for so long. Numerous elected officials as well as thousands of community members supported the agreement and helped bring this about.

NASA has now published a Draft Environmental Impact Statement about the cleanup for public comment. There appear, however, to be some within NASA who would like to break the agreement NASA signed. That must not happen.

I was therefore pleased to learn that NASA, in response to questions by Congresswoman Julia Brownley at a recent hearing of the House Science and Technology Committee, reiterated that "NASA is committed to fulfilling our obligations under the AOC."

I write to make clear that NASA must live up to the commitments it has made to the Congress and our community. NASA should proceed expeditiously to carry out, in full, the obligations it accepted when it signed the cleanup agreement with the state in 2010. NASA polluted its site; it promised to clean up all the contamination; it should uphold, completely and rigorously, its obligations under that cleanup agreement.

Sincerely,

Joseph Rund

From: [Harvey H Guthrie](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Santa Susana Cleanup Environmental Impact Statement
Date: Monday, September 30, 2013 6:45:21 PM

Dear Mr. Elliott,

The most contaminated place in Ventura County is the Santa Susana Field Laboratory, where reactors and rockets were tested. One atomic reactor suffered a partial meltdown there in 1959; there were tens of thousands of rocket tests. Extensive radioactive and chemical contamination resulted.

For decades, the fight to get the site cleaned up raged. Finally, we got a breakthrough: in 2010, the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) and NASA entered into binding agreements with California to cleanups to background levels of contaminants. In short, if contamination is detected, it is to be cleaned up. This is what the community has sought for so long. Numerous elected officials as well as thousands of community members supported the agreement and helped bring this about.

NASA has now published a Draft Environmental Impact Statement about the cleanup for public comment. There appear, however, to be some within NASA who would like to break the agreement NASA signed. That must not happen.

I was therefore pleased to learn that NASA, in response to questions by Congresswoman Julia Brownley at a recent hearing of the House Science and Technology Committee, reiterated that "NASA is committed to fulfilling our obligations under the AOC."

I write to make clear that NASA must live up to the commitments it has made to the Congress and our community. NASA should proceed expeditiously to carry out, in full, the obligations it accepted when it signed the cleanup agreement with the state in 2010. NASA polluted its site; it promised to clean up all the contamination; it should uphold, completely and rigorously, its obligations under that cleanup agreement.

Sincerely,

Harvey H Guthrie

From: [Kent Jones](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Santa Susana Cleanup Environmental Impact Statement
Date: Monday, September 30, 2013 7:02:08 PM

Dear Mr. Elliott,

The most contaminated place in Ventura County is the Santa Susana Field Laboratory, where reactors and rockets were tested. One atomic reactor suffered a partial meltdown there in 1959; there were tens of thousands of rocket tests. Extensive radioactive and chemical contamination resulted.

For decades, the fight to get the site cleaned up raged. Finally, we got a breakthrough: in 2010, the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) and NASA entered into binding agreements with California to cleanups to background levels of contaminants. In short, if contamination is detected, it is to be cleaned up. This is what the community has sought for so long. Numerous elected officials as well as thousands of community members supported the agreement and helped bring this about.

NASA has now published a Draft Environmental Impact Statement about the cleanup for public comment. There appear, however, to be some within NASA who would like to break the agreement NASA signed. That must not happen.

I was therefore pleased to learn that NASA, in response to questions by Congresswoman Julia Brownley at a recent hearing of the House Science and Technology Committee, reiterated that "NASA is committed to fulfilling our obligations under the AOC."

I write to make clear that NASA must live up to the commitments it has made to the Congress and our community. NASA should proceed expeditiously to carry out, in full, the obligations it accepted when it signed the cleanup agreement with the state in 2010. NASA polluted its site; it promised to clean up all the contamination; it should uphold, completely and rigorously, its obligations under that cleanup agreement.

Sincerely,

Kent Jones

From: [michael shapiro](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Santa Susana Cleanup Environmental Impact Statement
Date: Monday, September 30, 2013 7:32:29 PM

Dear Mr. Elliott,

The most contaminated place in Ventura County is the Santa Susana Field Laboratory, where reactors and rockets were tested. One atomic reactor suffered a partial meltdown there in 1959; there were tens of thousands of rocket tests. Extensive radioactive and chemical contamination resulted.

For decades, the fight to get the site cleaned up raged. Finally, we got a breakthrough: in 2010, the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) and NASA entered into binding agreements with California to cleanups to background levels of contaminants. In short, if contamination is detected, it is to be cleaned up. This is what the community has sought for so long. Numerous elected officials as well as thousands of community members supported the agreement and helped bring this about.

NASA has now published a Draft Environmental Impact Statement about the cleanup for public comment. There appear, however, to be some within NASA who would like to break the agreement NASA signed. That must not happen.

I was therefore pleased to learn that NASA, in response to questions by Congresswoman Julia Brownley at a recent hearing of the House Science and Technology Committee, reiterated that "NASA is committed to fulfilling our obligations under the AOC."

I write to make clear that NASA must live up to the commitments it has made to the Congress and our community. NASA should proceed expeditiously to carry out, in full, the obligations it accepted when it signed the cleanup agreement with the state in 2010. NASA polluted its site; it promised to clean up all the contamination; it should uphold, completely and rigorously, its obligations under that cleanup agreement.

Sincerely,

michael shapiro

From: [Carol Bley](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Santa Susana Cleanup Environmental Impact Statement
Date: Monday, September 30, 2013 7:59:58 PM

Dear Mr. Elliott,

The most contaminated place in Ventura County is the Santa Susana Field Laboratory, where reactors and rockets were tested. One atomic reactor suffered a partial meltdown there in 1959; there were tens of thousands of rocket tests. Extensive radioactive and chemical contamination resulted.

For decades, the fight to get the site cleaned up raged. Finally, we got a breakthrough: in 2010, the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) and NASA entered into binding agreements with California to cleanups to background levels of contaminants. In short, if contamination is detected, it is to be cleaned up. This is what the community has sought for so long. Numerous elected officials as well as thousands of community members supported the agreement and helped bring this about.

NASA has now published a Draft Environmental Impact Statement about the cleanup for public comment. There appear, however, to be some within NASA who would like to break the agreement NASA signed. That must not happen.

I was therefore pleased to learn that NASA, in response to questions by Congresswoman Julia Brownley at a recent hearing of the House Science and Technology Committee, reiterated that "NASA is committed to fulfilling our obligations under the AOC."

I write to make clear that NASA must live up to the commitments it has made to the Congress and our community. NASA should proceed expeditiously to carry out, in full, the obligations it accepted when it signed the cleanup agreement with the state in 2010. NASA polluted its site; it promised to clean up all the contamination; it should uphold, completely and rigorously, its obligations under that cleanup agreement.

Sincerely,

Carol Bley

From: [Bill Haff](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Santa Susana Cleanup Environmental Impact Statement
Date: Monday, September 30, 2013 8:01:46 PM

Dear Mr. Elliott,

The most contaminated place in Ventura County is the Santa Susana Field Laboratory, where reactors and rockets were tested. One atomic reactor suffered a partial meltdown there in 1959; there were tens of thousands of rocket tests. Extensive radioactive and chemical contamination resulted.

For decades, the fight to get the site cleaned up raged. Finally, we got a breakthrough: in 2010, the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) and NASA entered into binding agreements with California to cleanups to background levels of contaminants. In short, if contamination is detected, it is to be cleaned up. This is what the community has sought for so long. Numerous elected officials as well as thousands of community members supported the agreement and helped bring this about.

NASA has now published a Draft Environmental Impact Statement about the cleanup for public comment. There appear, however, to be some within NASA who would like to break the agreement NASA signed. That must not happen.

I was therefore pleased to learn that NASA, in response to questions by Congresswoman Julia Brownley at a recent hearing of the House Science and Technology Committee, reiterated that "NASA is committed to fulfilling our obligations under the AOC."

I write to make clear that NASA must live up to the commitments it has made to the Congress and our community. NASA should proceed expeditiously to carry out, in full, the obligations it accepted when it signed the cleanup agreement with the state in 2010. NASA polluted its site; it promised to clean up all the contamination; it should uphold, completely and rigorously, its obligations under that cleanup agreement.

Sincerely,

Bill Haff

From: [j Phillips](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Santa Susana Cleanup Environmental Impact Statement
Date: Monday, September 30, 2013 8:07:36 PM

Dear Mr. Elliott,

The most contaminated place in Ventura County is the Santa Susana Field Laboratory, where reactors and rockets were tested. One atomic reactor suffered a partial meltdown there in 1959; there were tens of thousands of rocket tests. Extensive radioactive and chemical contamination resulted.

For decades, the fight to get the site cleaned up raged. Finally, we got a breakthrough: in 2010, the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) and NASA entered into binding agreements with California to cleanups to background levels of contaminants. In short, if contamination is detected, it is to be cleaned up. This is what the community has sought for so long. Numerous elected officials as well as thousands of community members supported the agreement and helped bring this about.

NASA has now published a Draft Environmental Impact Statement about the cleanup for public comment. There appear, however, to be some within NASA who would like to break the agreement NASA signed. That must not happen.

I was therefore pleased to learn that NASA, in response to questions by Congresswoman Julia Brownley at a recent hearing of the House Science and Technology Committee, reiterated that "NASA is committed to fulfilling our obligations under the AOC."

I write to make clear that NASA must live up to the commitments it has made to the Congress and our community. NASA should proceed expeditiously to carry out, in full, the obligations it accepted when it signed the cleanup agreement with the state in 2010. NASA polluted its site; it promised to clean up all the contamination; it should uphold, completely and rigorously, its obligations under that cleanup agreement.

Sincerely,

j Phillips

From: [Ava Schleder](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Santa Susana Cleanup Environmental Impact Statement
Date: Monday, September 30, 2013 8:11:34 PM

Dear Mr. Elliott,

The most contaminated place in Ventura County is the Santa Susana Field Laboratory, where reactors and rockets were tested. One atomic reactor suffered a partial meltdown there in 1959; there were tens of thousands of rocket tests. Extensive radioactive and chemical contamination resulted.

For decades, the fight to get the site cleaned up raged. Finally, we got a breakthrough: in 2010, the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) and NASA entered into binding agreements with California to cleanups to background levels of contaminants. In short, if contamination is detected, it is to be cleaned up. This is what the community has sought for so long. Numerous elected officials as well as thousands of community members supported the agreement and helped bring this about.

NASA has now published a Draft Environmental Impact Statement about the cleanup for public comment. There appear, however, to be some within NASA who would like to break the agreement NASA signed. That must not happen.

I was therefore pleased to learn that NASA, in response to questions by Congresswoman Julia Brownley at a recent hearing of the House Science and Technology Committee, reiterated that "NASA is committed to fulfilling our obligations under the AOC."

I write to make clear that NASA must live up to the commitments it has made to the Congress and our community. NASA should proceed expeditiously to carry out, in full, the obligations it accepted when it signed the cleanup agreement with the state in 2010. NASA polluted its site; it promised to clean up all the contamination; it should uphold, completely and rigorously, its obligations under that cleanup agreement.

Sincerely,

Ava Schleder

From: [Kathleen MacGregor](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Santa Susana Cleanup Environmental Impact Statement
Date: Monday, September 30, 2013 8:22:26 PM

Dear Mr. Elliott,

The most contaminated place in Ventura County is the Santa Susana Field Laboratory, where reactors and rockets were tested. One atomic reactor suffered a partial meltdown there in 1959; there were tens of thousands of rocket tests. Extensive radioactive and chemical contamination resulted.

For decades, the fight to get the site cleaned up raged. Finally, we got a breakthrough: in 2010, the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) and NASA entered into binding agreements with California to cleanups to background levels of contaminants. In short, if contamination is detected, it is to be cleaned up. This is what the community has sought for so long. Numerous elected officials as well as thousands of community members supported the agreement and helped bring this about.

NASA has now published a Draft Environmental Impact Statement about the cleanup for public comment. There appear, however, to be some within NASA who would like to break the agreement NASA signed. That must not happen.

I was therefore pleased to learn that NASA, in response to questions by Congresswoman Julia Brownley at a recent hearing of the House Science and Technology Committee, reiterated that "NASA is committed to fulfilling our obligations under the AOC."

I write to make clear that NASA must live up to the commitments it has made to the Congress and our community. NASA should proceed expeditiously to carry out, in full, the obligations it accepted when it signed the cleanup agreement with the state in 2010. NASA polluted its site; it promised to clean up all the contamination; it should uphold, completely and rigorously, its obligations under that cleanup agreement.

Sincerely,

Kathleen MacGregor

From: [Bette Empol](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Santa Susana Cleanup Environmental Impact Statement
Date: Monday, September 30, 2013 8:41:41 PM

Dear Mr. Elliott,

The most contaminated place in Ventura County is the Santa Susana Field Laboratory, where reactors and rockets were tested. One atomic reactor suffered a partial meltdown there in 1959; there were tens of thousands of rocket tests. Extensive radioactive and chemical contamination resulted.

For decades, the fight to get the site cleaned up raged. Finally, we got a breakthrough: in 2010, the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) and NASA entered into binding agreements with California to cleanups to background levels of contaminants. In short, if contamination is detected, it is to be cleaned up. This is what the community has sought for so long. Numerous elected officials as well as thousands of community members supported the agreement and helped bring this about.

NASA has now published a Draft Environmental Impact Statement about the cleanup for public comment. There appear, however, to be some within NASA who would like to break the agreement NASA signed. That must not happen.

I was therefore pleased to learn that NASA, in response to questions by Congresswoman Julia Brownley at a recent hearing of the House Science and Technology Committee, reiterated that "NASA is committed to fulfilling our obligations under the AOC."

I write to make clear that NASA must live up to the commitments it has made to the Congress and our community. NASA should proceed expeditiously to carry out, in full, the obligations it accepted when it signed the cleanup agreement with the state in 2010. NASA polluted its site; it promised to clean up all the contamination; it should uphold, completely and rigorously, its obligations under that cleanup agreement.

Sincerely,

Bette Empol

From: [Ronald C. Faas](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Santa Susana Cleanup Environmental Impact Statement
Date: Monday, September 30, 2013 8:48:29 PM

Dear Mr. Elliott,

The most contaminated place in Ventura County is the Santa Susana Field Laboratory, where reactors and rockets were tested. One atomic reactor suffered a partial meltdown there in 1959; there were tens of thousands of rocket tests. Extensive radioactive and chemical contamination resulted.

For decades, the fight to get the site cleaned up raged. Finally, we got a breakthrough: in 2010, the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) and NASA entered into binding agreements with California to cleanups to background levels of contaminants. In short, if contamination is detected, it is to be cleaned up. This is what the community has sought for so long. Numerous elected officials as well as thousands of community members supported the agreement and helped bring this about.

NASA has now published a Draft Environmental Impact Statement about the cleanup for public comment. There appear, however, to be some within NASA who would like to break the agreement NASA signed. That must not happen.

I was therefore pleased to learn that NASA, in response to questions by Congresswoman Julia Brownley at a recent hearing of the House Science and Technology Committee, reiterated that "NASA is committed to fulfilling our obligations under the AOC."

I write to make clear that NASA must live up to the commitments it has made to the Congress and our community. NASA should proceed expeditiously to carry out, in full, the obligations it accepted when it signed the cleanup agreement with the state in 2010. NASA polluted its site; it promised to clean up all the contamination; it should uphold, completely and rigorously, its obligations under that cleanup agreement.

Sincerely,

Ronald C Faas

From: [Luis Gomez](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Santa Susana Cleanup Environmental Impact Statement
Date: Monday, September 30, 2013 9:18:36 PM

Dear Mr. Elliott,

The most contaminated place in Ventura County is the Santa Susana Field Laboratory, where reactors and rockets were tested. One atomic reactor suffered a partial meltdown there in 1959; there were tens of thousands of rocket tests. Extensive radioactive and chemical contamination resulted.

For decades, the fight to get the site cleaned up raged. Finally, we got a breakthrough: in 2010, the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) and NASA entered into binding agreements with California to cleanups to background levels of contaminants. In short, if contamination is detected, it is to be cleaned up. This is what the community has sought for so long. Numerous elected officials as well as thousands of community members supported the agreement and helped bring this about.

NASA has now published a Draft Environmental Impact Statement about the cleanup for public comment. There appear, however, to be some within NASA who would like to break the agreement NASA signed. That must not happen.

I was therefore pleased to learn that NASA, in response to questions by Congresswoman Julia Brownley at a recent hearing of the House Science and Technology Committee, reiterated that "NASA is committed to fulfilling our obligations under the AOC."

I write to make clear that NASA must live up to the commitments it has made to the Congress and our community. NASA should proceed expeditiously to carry out, in full, the obligations it accepted when it signed the cleanup agreement with the state in 2010. NASA polluted its site; it promised to clean up all the contamination; it should uphold, completely and rigorously, its obligations under that cleanup agreement.

Sincerely,

Luis Gomez

From: [Luis Gomez](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Santa Susana Cleanup Environmental Impact Statement
Date: Monday, September 30, 2013 9:18:36 PM

Dear Mr. Elliott,

The most contaminated place in Ventura County is the Santa Susana Field Laboratory, where reactors and rockets were tested. One atomic reactor suffered a partial meltdown there in 1959; there were tens of thousands of rocket tests. Extensive radioactive and chemical contamination resulted.

For decades, the fight to get the site cleaned up raged. Finally, we got a breakthrough: in 2010, the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) and NASA entered into binding agreements with California to cleanups to background levels of contaminants. In short, if contamination is detected, it is to be cleaned up. This is what the community has sought for so long. Numerous elected officials as well as thousands of community members supported the agreement and helped bring this about.

NASA has now published a Draft Environmental Impact Statement about the cleanup for public comment. There appear, however, to be some within NASA who would like to break the agreement NASA signed. That must not happen.

I was therefore pleased to learn that NASA, in response to questions by Congresswoman Julia Brownley at a recent hearing of the House Science and Technology Committee, reiterated that "NASA is committed to fulfilling our obligations under the AOC."

I write to make clear that NASA must live up to the commitments it has made to the Congress and our community. NASA should proceed expeditiously to carry out, in full, the obligations it accepted when it signed the cleanup agreement with the state in 2010. NASA polluted its site; it promised to clean up all the contamination; it should uphold, completely and rigorously, its obligations under that cleanup agreement.

Sincerely,

Luis Gomez

From: [Doris Guthrie](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Santa Susana Cleanup Environmental Impact Statement
Date: Monday, September 30, 2013 9:23:10 PM

Dear Mr. Elliott,

The most contaminated place in Ventura County is the Santa Susana Field Laboratory, where reactors and rockets were tested. One atomic reactor suffered a partial meltdown there in 1959; there were tens of thousands of rocket tests. Extensive radioactive and chemical contamination resulted.

For decades, the fight to get the site cleaned up raged. Finally, we got a breakthrough: in 2010, the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) and NASA entered into binding agreements with California to cleanups to background levels of contaminants. In short, if contamination is detected, it is to be cleaned up. This is what the community has sought for so long. Numerous elected officials as well as thousands of community members supported the agreement and helped bring this about.

NASA has now published a Draft Environmental Impact Statement about the cleanup for public comment. There appear, however, to be some within NASA who would like to break the agreement NASA signed. That must not happen.

I was therefore pleased to learn that NASA, in response to questions by Congresswoman Julia Brownley at a recent hearing of the House Science and Technology Committee, reiterated that "NASA is committed to fulfilling our obligations under the AOC."

I write to make clear that NASA must live up to the commitments it has made to the Congress and our community. NASA should proceed expeditiously to carry out, in full, the obligations it accepted when it signed the cleanup agreement with the state in 2010. NASA polluted its site; it promised to clean up all the contamination; it should uphold, completely and rigorously, its obligations under that cleanup agreement.

Sincerely,

Doris Guthrie

From: [Beth Caskie](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Santa Susana Cleanup Environmental Impact Statement
Date: Monday, September 30, 2013 9:57:47 PM

Dear Mr. Elliott,

The most contaminated place in Ventura County is the Santa Susana Field Laboratory, where reactors and rockets were tested. One atomic reactor suffered a partial meltdown there in 1959; there were tens of thousands of rocket tests. Extensive radioactive and chemical contamination resulted.

For decades, the fight to get the site cleaned up raged. Finally, we got a breakthrough: in 2010, the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) and NASA entered into binding agreements with California to cleanups to background levels of contaminants. In short, if contamination is detected, it is to be cleaned up. This is what the community has sought for so long. Numerous elected officials as well as thousands of community members supported the agreement and helped bring this about.

NASA has now published a Draft Environmental Impact Statement about the cleanup for public comment. There appear, however, to be some within NASA who would like to break the agreement NASA signed. That must not happen.

I was therefore pleased to learn that NASA, in response to questions by Congresswoman Julia Brownley at a recent hearing of the House Science and Technology Committee, reiterated that "NASA is committed to fulfilling our obligations under the AOC."

I write to make clear that NASA must live up to the commitments it has made to the Congress and our community. NASA should proceed expeditiously to carry out, in full, the obligations it accepted when it signed the cleanup agreement with the state in 2010. NASA polluted its site; it promised to clean up all the contamination; it should uphold, completely and rigorously, its obligations under that cleanup agreement.

Sincerely,

Beth Caskie

From: [Norene Charnofsky](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Santa Susana Cleanup Environmental Impact Statement
Date: Monday, September 30, 2013 9:59:14 PM

Dear Mr. Elliott,

The most contaminated place in Ventura County is the Santa Susana Field Laboratory, where reactors and rockets were tested. One atomic reactor suffered a partial meltdown there in 1959; there were tens of thousands of rocket tests. Extensive radioactive and chemical contamination resulted.

For decades, the fight to get the site cleaned up raged. Finally, we got a breakthrough: in 2010, the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) and NASA entered into binding agreements with California to cleanups to background levels of contaminants. In short, if contamination is detected, it is to be cleaned up. This is what the community has sought for so long. Numerous elected officials as well as thousands of community members supported the agreement and helped bring this about.

NASA has now published a Draft Environmental Impact Statement about the cleanup for public comment. There appear, however, to be some within NASA who would like to break the agreement NASA signed. That must not happen.

I was therefore pleased to learn that NASA, in response to questions by Congresswoman Julia Brownley at a recent hearing of the House Science and Technology Committee, reiterated that "NASA is committed to fulfilling our obligations under the AOC."

I write to make clear that NASA must live up to the commitments it has made to the Congress and our community. NASA should proceed expeditiously to carry out, in full, the obligations it accepted when it signed the cleanup agreement with the state in 2010. NASA polluted its site; it promised to clean up all the contamination; it should uphold, completely and rigorously, its obligations under that cleanup agreement.

Sincerely,

Norene Charnofsky

From: [Josh Chance](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Santa Susana Cleanup Environmental Impact Statement
Date: Monday, September 30, 2013 10:32:47 PM

Dear Mr. Elliott,

The most contaminated place in Ventura County is the Santa Susana Field Laboratory, where reactors and rockets were tested. One atomic reactor suffered a partial meltdown there in 1959; there were tens of thousands of rocket tests. Extensive radioactive and chemical contamination resulted.

For decades, the fight to get the site cleaned up raged. Finally, we got a breakthrough: in 2010, the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) and NASA entered into binding agreements with California to cleanups to background levels of contaminants. In short, if contamination is detected, it is to be cleaned up. This is what the community has sought for so long. Numerous elected officials as well as thousands of community members supported the agreement and helped bring this about.

NASA has now published a Draft Environmental Impact Statement about the cleanup for public comment. There appear, however, to be some within NASA who would like to break the agreement NASA signed. That must not happen.

I was therefore pleased to learn that NASA, in response to questions by Congresswoman Julia Brownley at a recent hearing of the House Science and Technology Committee, reiterated that "NASA is committed to fulfilling our obligations under the AOC."

I write to make clear that NASA must live up to the commitments it has made to the Congress and our community. NASA should proceed expeditiously to carry out, in full, the obligations it accepted when it signed the cleanup agreement with the state in 2010. NASA polluted its site; it promised to clean up all the contamination; it should uphold, completely and rigorously, its obligations under that cleanup agreement.

Sincerely,

Josh Chance

From: [Beverly herbert](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Santa Susana Cleanup Environmental Impact Statement
Date: Monday, September 30, 2013 10:58:31 PM

Dear Mr. Elliott,

The most contaminated place in Ventura County is the Santa Susana Field Laboratory, where reactors and rockets were tested. One atomic reactor suffered a partial meltdown there in 1959; there were tens of thousands of rocket tests. Extensive radioactive and chemical contamination resulted.

For decades, the fight to get the site cleaned up raged. Finally, we got a breakthrough: in 2010, the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) and NASA entered into binding agreements with California to cleanups to background levels of contaminants. In short, if contamination is detected, it is to be cleaned up. This is what the community has sought for so long. Numerous elected officials as well as thousands of community members supported the agreement and helped bring this about.

NASA has now published a Draft Environmental Impact Statement about the cleanup for public comment. There appear, however, to be some within NASA who would like to break the agreement NASA signed. That must not happen.

I was therefore pleased to learn that NASA, in response to questions by Congresswoman Julia Brownley at a recent hearing of the House Science and Technology Committee, reiterated that "NASA is committed to fulfilling our obligations under the AOC."

I write to make clear that NASA must live up to the commitments it has made to the Congress and our community. NASA should proceed expeditiously to carry out, in full, the obligations it accepted when it signed the cleanup agreement with the state in 2010. NASA polluted its site; it promised to clean up all the contamination; it should uphold, completely and rigorously, its obligations under that cleanup agreement.

Sincerely,

Beverly herbert

From: [debbie diamond](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Santa Susana Cleanup Environmental Impact Statement
Date: Monday, September 30, 2013 11:24:10 PM

Dear Mr. Elliott,

The most contaminated place in Ventura County is the Santa Susana Field Laboratory, where reactors and rockets were tested. One atomic reactor suffered a partial meltdown there in 1959; there were tens of thousands of rocket tests. Extensive radioactive and chemical contamination resulted.

For decades, the fight to get the site cleaned up raged. Finally, we got a breakthrough: in 2010, the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) and NASA entered into binding agreements with California to cleanups to background levels of contaminants. In short, if contamination is detected, it is to be cleaned up. This is what the community has sought for so long. Numerous elected officials as well as thousands of community members supported the agreement and helped bring this about.

NASA has now published a Draft Environmental Impact Statement about the cleanup for public comment. There appear, however, to be some within NASA who would like to break the agreement NASA signed. That must not happen.

I was therefore pleased to learn that NASA, in response to questions by Congresswoman Julia Brownley at a recent hearing of the House Science and Technology Committee, reiterated that "NASA is committed to fulfilling our obligations under the AOC."

I write to make clear that NASA must live up to the commitments it has made to the Congress and our community. NASA should proceed expeditiously to carry out, in full, the obligations it accepted when it signed the cleanup agreement with the state in 2010. NASA polluted its site; it promised to clean up all the contamination; it should uphold, completely and rigorously, its obligations under that cleanup agreement.

Sincerely,

debbie diamond

From: [John Ecklund](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Santa Susana Cleanup Environmental Impact Statement
Date: Monday, September 30, 2013 11:35:37 PM

Dear Mr. Elliott,

The most contaminated place in Ventura County is the Santa Susana Field Laboratory, where reactors and rockets were tested. One atomic reactor suffered a partial meltdown there in 1959; there were tens of thousands of rocket tests. Extensive radioactive and chemical contamination resulted.

For decades, the fight to get the site cleaned up raged. Finally, we got a breakthrough: in 2010, the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) and NASA entered into binding agreements with California to cleanups to background levels of contaminants. In short, if contamination is detected, it is to be cleaned up. This is what the community has sought for so long. Numerous elected officials as well as thousands of community members supported the agreement and helped bring this about.

NASA has now published a Draft Environmental Impact Statement about the cleanup for public comment. There appear, however, to be some within NASA who would like to break the agreement NASA signed. That must not happen.

I was therefore pleased to learn that NASA, in response to questions by Congresswoman Julia Brownley at a recent hearing of the House Science and Technology Committee, reiterated that "NASA is committed to fulfilling our obligations under the AOC."

I write to make clear that NASA must live up to the commitments it has made to the Congress and our community. NASA should proceed expeditiously to carry out, in full, the obligations it accepted when it signed the cleanup agreement with the state in 2010. NASA polluted its site; it promised to clean up all the contamination; it should uphold, completely and rigorously, its obligations under that cleanup agreement.

Sincerely,

John Ecklund

From: [Alex Rooker](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Santa Susana Cleanup Environmental Impact Statement
Date: Monday, September 30, 2013 11:48:00 PM

Dear Mr. Elliott,

The most contaminated place in Ventura County is the Santa Susana Field Laboratory, where reactors and rockets were tested. One atomic reactor suffered a partial meltdown there in 1959; there were tens of thousands of rocket tests. Extensive radioactive and chemical contamination resulted.

For decades, the fight to get the site cleaned up raged. Finally, we got a breakthrough: in 2010, the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) and NASA entered into binding agreements with California to cleanups to background levels of contaminants. In short, if contamination is detected, it is to be cleaned up. This is what the community has sought for so long. Numerous elected officials as well as thousands of community members supported the agreement and helped bring this about.

NASA has now published a Draft Environmental Impact Statement about the cleanup for public comment. There appear, however, to be some within NASA who would like to break the agreement NASA signed. That must not happen.

I was therefore pleased to learn that NASA, in response to questions by Congresswoman Julia Brownley at a recent hearing of the House Science and Technology Committee, reiterated that "NASA is committed to fulfilling our obligations under the AOC."

I write to make clear that NASA must live up to the commitments it has made to the Congress and our community. NASA should proceed expeditiously to carry out, in full, the obligations it accepted when it signed the cleanup agreement with the state in 2010. NASA polluted its site; it promised to clean up all the contamination; it should uphold, completely and rigorously, its obligations under that cleanup agreement.

Sincerely,

Alex Rooker

From: [Patrick Burke](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Santa Susana Cleanup Environmental Impact Statement
Date: Monday, September 30, 2013 11:49:07 PM

Dear Mr. Elliott,

The most contaminated place in Ventura County is the Santa Susana Field Laboratory, where reactors and rockets were tested. Extensive radioactive and chemical contamination resulted.

In 2010, the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) and NASA entered into binding agreements with California to cleanups to background levels of contaminants.

Now there appear, however, to be some within NASA who would like to break the agreement NASA signed. That must not happen.

I was therefore pleased to learn that NASA, in response to questions by Congresswoman Julia Brownley at a recent hearing of the House Science and Technology Committee, reiterated that "NASA is committed to fulfilling our obligations under the AOC."

I write to make clear that NASA must live up to the commitments it has made to the Congress and our community.

Sincerely,

Patrick Burke

From: [Delton Johnson](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Santa Susana Cleanup Environmental Impact Statement
Date: Tuesday, October 01, 2013 12:36:15 AM

Dear Mr. Elliott,

The most contaminated place in Ventura County is the Santa Susana Field Laboratory, where reactors and rockets were tested. One atomic reactor suffered a partial meltdown there in 1959; there were tens of thousands of rocket tests. Extensive radioactive and chemical contamination resulted.

For decades, the fight to get the site cleaned up raged. Finally, we got a breakthrough: in 2010, the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) and NASA entered into binding agreements with California to cleanups to background levels of contaminants. In short, if contamination is detected, it is to be cleaned up. This is what the community has sought for so long. Numerous elected officials as well as thousands of community members supported the agreement and helped bring this about.

NASA has now published a Draft Environmental Impact Statement about the cleanup for public comment. There appear, however, to be some within NASA who would like to break the agreement NASA signed. That must not happen.

I was therefore pleased to learn that NASA, in response to questions by Congresswoman Julia Brownley at a recent hearing of the House Science and Technology Committee, reiterated that "NASA is committed to fulfilling our obligations under the AOC."

I write to make clear that NASA must live up to the commitments it has made to the Congress and our community. NASA should proceed expeditiously to carry out, in full, the obligations it accepted when it signed the cleanup agreement with the state in 2010. NASA polluted its site; it promised to clean up all the contamination; it should uphold, completely and rigorously, its obligations under that cleanup agreement.

Sincerely,

Delton Johnson

From: [Gail Topping](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Santa Susana Cleanup Environmental Impact Statement
Date: Tuesday, October 01, 2013 1:28:57 AM

Dear Mr. Elliott,

The most contaminated place in Ventura County is the Santa Susana Field Laboratory, where reactors and rockets were tested. One atomic reactor suffered a partial meltdown there in 1959; there were tens of thousands of rocket tests. Extensive radioactive and chemical contamination resulted.

For decades, the fight to get the site cleaned up raged. Finally, we got a breakthrough: in 2010, the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) and NASA entered into binding agreements with California to cleanups to background levels of contaminants. In short, if contamination is detected, it is to be cleaned up. This is what the community has sought for so long. Numerous elected officials as well as thousands of community members supported the agreement and helped bring this about.

NASA has now published a Draft Environmental Impact Statement about the cleanup for public comment. There appear, however, to be some within NASA who would like to break the agreement NASA signed. That must not happen.

I was therefore pleased to learn that NASA, in response to questions by Congresswoman Julia Brownley at a recent hearing of the House Science and Technology Committee, reiterated that "NASA is committed to fulfilling our obligations under the AOC."

I write to make clear that NASA must live up to the commitments it has made to the Congress and our community. NASA should proceed expeditiously to carry out, in full, the obligations it accepted when it signed the cleanup agreement with the state in 2010. NASA polluted its site; it promised to clean up all the contamination; it should uphold, completely and rigorously, its obligations under that cleanup agreement.

Sincerely,

Gail Topping

From: [Sharon Hillbrant](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Santa Susana Cleanup Environmental Impact Statement
Date: Tuesday, October 01, 2013 1:44:26 AM

Dear Mr. Elliott,

The most contaminated place in Ventura County is the Santa Susana Field Laboratory, where reactors and rockets were tested. One atomic reactor suffered a partial meltdown there in 1959; there were tens of thousands of rocket tests. Extensive radioactive and chemical contamination resulted.

For decades, the fight to get the site cleaned up raged. Finally, we got a breakthrough: in 2010, the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) and NASA entered into binding agreements with California to cleanups to background levels of contaminants. In short, if contamination is detected, it is to be cleaned up. This is what the community has sought for so long. Numerous elected officials as well as thousands of community members supported the agreement and helped bring this about.

NASA has now published a Draft Environmental Impact Statement about the cleanup for public comment. There appear, however, to be some within NASA who would like to break the agreement NASA signed. That must not happen.

I was therefore pleased to learn that NASA, in response to questions by Congresswoman Julia Brownley at a recent hearing of the House Science and Technology Committee, reiterated that "NASA is committed to fulfilling our obligations under the AOC."

I write to make clear that NASA must live up to the commitments it has made to the Congress and our community. NASA should proceed expeditiously to carry out, in full, the obligations it accepted when it signed the cleanup agreement with the state in 2010. NASA polluted its site; it promised to clean up all the contamination; it should uphold, completely and rigorously, its obligations under that cleanup agreement.

Sincerely,

Sharon Hillbrant

From: [kathleen.johnsen](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Santa Susana Cleanup Environmental Impact Statement
Date: Tuesday, October 01, 2013 2:20:07 AM

Dear Mr. Elliott,

The most contaminated place in Ventura County is the Santa Susana Field Laboratory, where reactors and rockets were tested. One atomic reactor suffered a partial meltdown there in 1959; there were tens of thousands of rocket tests. Extensive radioactive and chemical contamination resulted.

For decades, the fight to get the site cleaned up raged. Finally, we got a breakthrough: in 2010, the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) and NASA entered into binding agreements with California to cleanups to background levels of contaminants. In short, if contamination is detected, it is to be cleaned up. This is what the community has sought for so long. Numerous elected officials as well as thousands of community members supported the agreement and helped bring this about.

NASA has now published a Draft Environmental Impact Statement about the cleanup for public comment. There appear, however, to be some within NASA who would like to break the agreement NASA signed. That must not happen.

I was therefore pleased to learn that NASA, in response to questions by Congresswoman Julia Brownley at a recent hearing of the House Science and Technology Committee, reiterated that "NASA is committed to fulfilling our obligations under the AOC."

I write to make clear that NASA must live up to the commitments it has made to the Congress and our community. NASA should proceed expeditiously to carry out, in full, the obligations it accepted when it signed the cleanup agreement with the state in 2010. NASA polluted its site; it promised to clean up all the contamination; it should uphold, completely and rigorously, its obligations under that cleanup agreement.

Sincerely,

kathleen.johnsen

From: [Jess Cirricione](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Santa Susana Cleanup Environmental Impact Statement
Date: Tuesday, October 01, 2013 2:47:13 AM

Dear Mr. Elliott,

The most contaminated place in Ventura County is the Santa Susana Field Laboratory, where reactors and rockets were tested. One atomic reactor suffered a partial meltdown there in 1959; there were tens of thousands of rocket tests. Extensive radioactive and chemical contamination resulted.

For decades, the fight to get the site cleaned up raged. Finally, we got a breakthrough: in 2010, the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) and NASA entered into binding agreements with California to cleanups to background levels of contaminants. In short, if contamination is detected, it is to be cleaned up. This is what the community has sought for so long. Numerous elected officials as well as thousands of community members supported the agreement and helped bring this about.

NASA has now published a Draft Environmental Impact Statement about the cleanup for public comment. There appear, however, to be some within NASA who would like to break the agreement NASA signed. That must not happen.

I was therefore pleased to learn that NASA, in response to questions by Congresswoman Julia Brownley at a recent hearing of the House Science and Technology Committee, reiterated that "NASA is committed to fulfilling our obligations under the AOC."

I write to make clear that NASA must live up to the commitments it has made to the Congress and our community. NASA should proceed expeditiously to carry out, in full, the obligations it accepted when it signed the cleanup agreement with the state in 2010. NASA polluted its site; it promised to clean up all the contamination; it should uphold, completely and rigorously, its obligations under that cleanup agreement.

Sincerely,

Jess Cirricione

From: [Bill Miley](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Santa Susana Cleanup Environmental Impact Statement
Date: Tuesday, October 01, 2013 3:30:02 AM

Dear Mr. Elliott,

The most contaminated place in Ventura County is the Santa Susana Field Laboratory, where reactors and rockets were tested. One atomic reactor suffered a partial meltdown there in 1959; there were tens of thousands of rocket tests. Extensive radioactive and chemical contamination resulted.

For decades, the fight to get the site cleaned up raged. Finally, we got a breakthrough: in 2010, the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) and NASA entered into binding agreements with California to cleanups to background levels of contaminants. In short, if contamination is detected, it is to be cleaned up. This is what the community has sought for so long. Numerous elected officials as well as thousands of community members supported the agreement and helped bring this about.

NASA has now published a Draft Environmental Impact Statement about the cleanup for public comment. There appear, however, to be some within NASA who would like to break the agreement NASA signed. That must not happen.

I was therefore pleased to learn that NASA, in response to questions by Congresswoman Julia Brownley at a recent hearing of the House Science and Technology Committee, reiterated that "NASA is committed to fulfilling our obligations under the AOC."

I write to make clear that NASA must live up to the commitments it has made to the Congress and our community. NASA should proceed expeditiously to carry out, in full, the obligations it accepted when it signed the cleanup agreement with the state in 2010. NASA polluted its site; it promised to clean up all the contamination; it should uphold, completely and rigorously, its obligations under that cleanup agreement.

Sincerely,

Bill Miley

From: [Martha Drain](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Santa Susana Cleanup Environmental Impact Statement
Date: Tuesday, October 01, 2013 10:35:38 AM

Dear Mr. Elliott,

The most contaminated place in Ventura County is the Santa Susana Field Laboratory, where reactors and rockets were tested. One atomic reactor suffered a partial meltdown there in 1959; there were tens of thousands of rocket tests. Extensive radioactive and chemical contamination resulted.

For decades, the fight to get the site cleaned up raged. Finally, we got a breakthrough: in 2010, the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) and NASA entered into binding agreements with California to cleanups to background levels of contaminants. In short, if contamination is detected, it is to be cleaned up. This is what the community has sought for so long. Numerous elected officials as well as thousands of community members supported the agreement and helped bring this about.

NASA has now published a Draft Environmental Impact Statement about the cleanup for public comment. There appear, however, to be some within NASA who would like to break the agreement NASA signed. That must not happen.

I was therefore pleased to learn that NASA, in response to questions by Congresswoman Julia Brownley at a recent hearing of the House Science and Technology Committee, reiterated that "NASA is committed to fulfilling our obligations under the AOC."

I write to make clear that NASA must live up to the commitments it has made to the Congress and our community. NASA should proceed expeditiously to carry out, in full, the obligations it accepted when it signed the cleanup agreement with the state in 2010. NASA polluted its site; it promised to clean up all the contamination; it should uphold, completely and rigorously, its obligations under that cleanup agreement.

Sincerely,

Martha Drain

From: [David Harris](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Santa Susana Cleanup Environmental Impact Statement
Date: Tuesday, October 01, 2013 11:49:16 AM

Dear Mr. Elliott,

The most contaminated place in Ventura County is the Santa Susana Field Laboratory, where reactors and rockets were tested. One atomic reactor suffered a partial meltdown there in 1959; there were tens of thousands of rocket tests. Extensive radioactive and chemical contamination resulted.

For decades, the fight to get the site cleaned up raged. Finally, we got a breakthrough: in 2010, the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) and NASA entered into binding agreements with California to cleanups to background levels of contaminants. In short, if contamination is detected, it is to be cleaned up. This is what the community has sought for so long. Numerous elected officials as well as thousands of community members supported the agreement and helped bring this about.

NASA has now published a Draft Environmental Impact Statement about the cleanup for public comment. There appear, however, to be some within NASA who would like to break the agreement NASA signed. That must not happen.

I was therefore pleased to learn that NASA, in response to questions by Congresswoman Julia Brownley at a recent hearing of the House Science and Technology Committee, reiterated that "NASA is committed to fulfilling our obligations under the AOC."

I write to make clear that NASA must live up to the commitments it has made to the Congress and our community. NASA should proceed expeditiously to carry out, in full, the obligations it accepted when it signed the cleanup agreement with the state in 2010. NASA polluted its site; it promised to clean up all the contamination; it should uphold, completely and rigorously, its obligations under that cleanup agreement.

Sincerely,

David Harris

From: [Claudia Bill](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Santa Susana Cleanup Environmental Impact Statement
Date: Tuesday, October 01, 2013 12:05:34 PM

Dear Mr. Elliott,

The most contaminated place in Ventura County is the Santa Susana Field Laboratory, where reactors and rockets were tested. One atomic reactor suffered a partial meltdown there in 1959; there were tens of thousands of rocket tests. Extensive radioactive and chemical contamination resulted.

For decades, the fight to get the site cleaned up raged. Finally, we got a breakthrough: in 2010, the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) and NASA entered into binding agreements with California to cleanups to background levels of contaminants. In short, if contamination is detected, it is to be cleaned up. This is what the community has sought for so long. Numerous elected officials as well as thousands of community members supported the agreement and helped bring this about.

NASA has now published a Draft Environmental Impact Statement about the cleanup for public comment. There appear, however, to be some within NASA who would like to break the agreement NASA signed. That must not happen.

I was therefore pleased to learn that NASA, in response to questions by Congresswoman Julia Brownley at a recent hearing of the House Science and Technology Committee, reiterated that "NASA is committed to fulfilling our obligations under the AOC."

I write to make clear that NASA must live up to the commitments it has made to the Congress and our community. NASA should proceed expeditiously to carry out, in full, the obligations it accepted when it signed the cleanup agreement with the state in 2010. NASA polluted its site; it promised to clean up all the contamination; it should uphold, completely and rigorously, its obligations under that cleanup agreement.

Sincerely,

Claudia Bill

From: [Emma Campbell](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Santa Susana Cleanup Environmental Impact Statement
Date: Tuesday, October 01, 2013 12:22:10 PM

Dear Mr. Elliott,

The most contaminated place in Ventura County is the Santa Susana Field Laboratory, where reactors and rockets were tested. One atomic reactor suffered a partial meltdown there in 1959; there were tens of thousands of rocket tests. Extensive radioactive and chemical contamination resulted.

For decades, the fight to get the site cleaned up raged. Finally, we got a breakthrough: in 2010, the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) and NASA entered into binding agreements with California to cleanups to background levels of contaminants. In short, if contamination is detected, it is to be cleaned up. This is what the community has sought for so long. Numerous elected officials as well as thousands of community members supported the agreement and helped bring this about.

NASA has now published a Draft Environmental Impact Statement about the cleanup for public comment. There appear, however, to be some within NASA who would like to break the agreement NASA signed. That must not happen.

I was therefore pleased to learn that NASA, in response to questions by Congresswoman Julia Brownley at a recent hearing of the House Science and Technology Committee, reiterated that "NASA is committed to fulfilling our obligations under the AOC."

I write to make clear that NASA must live up to the commitments it has made to the Congress and our community. NASA should proceed expeditiously to carry out, in full, the obligations it accepted when it signed the cleanup agreement with the state in 2010. NASA polluted its site; it promised to clean up all the contamination; it should uphold, completely and rigorously, its obligations under that cleanup agreement.

Sincerely,

Emma Campbell

From: [carol.Smith](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Santa Susana Cleanup Environmental Impact Statement
Date: Tuesday, October 01, 2013 1:09:31 PM

Dear Mr. Elliott,

The most contaminated place in Ventura County is the Santa Susana Field Laboratory, where reactors and rockets were tested. One atomic reactor suffered a partial meltdown there in 1959; there were tens of thousands of rocket tests. Extensive radioactive and chemical contamination resulted.

For decades, the fight to get the site cleaned up raged. Finally, we got a breakthrough: in 2010, the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) and NASA entered into binding agreements with California to cleanups to background levels of contaminants. In short, if contamination is detected, it is to be cleaned up. This is what the community has sought for so long. Numerous elected officials as well as thousands of community members supported the agreement and helped bring this about.

NASA has now published a Draft Environmental Impact Statement about the cleanup for public comment. There appear, however, to be some within NASA who would like to break the agreement NASA signed. That must not happen.

I was therefore pleased to learn that NASA, in response to questions by Congresswoman Julia Brownley at a recent hearing of the House Science and Technology Committee, reiterated that "NASA is committed to fulfilling our obligations under the AOC."

I write to make clear that NASA must live up to the commitments it has made to the Congress and our community. NASA should proceed expeditiously to carry out, in full, the obligations it accepted when it signed the cleanup agreement with the state in 2010. NASA polluted its site; it promised to clean up all the contamination; it should uphold, completely and rigorously, its obligations under that cleanup agreement.

Sincerely,

carol Smith

From: [Melissa Langdell](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Santa Susana Cleanup Environmental Impact Statement
Date: Tuesday, October 01, 2013 1:52:17 PM

Dear Mr. Elliott,

The most contaminated place in Ventura County is the Santa Susana Field Laboratory, where reactors and rockets were tested. One atomic reactor suffered a partial meltdown there in 1959; there were tens of thousands of rocket tests. Extensive radioactive and chemical contamination resulted.

For decades, the fight to get the site cleaned up raged. Finally, we got a breakthrough: in 2010, the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) and NASA entered into binding agreements with California to cleanups to background levels of contaminants. In short, if contamination is detected, it is to be cleaned up. This is what the community has sought for so long. Numerous elected officials as well as thousands of community members supported the agreement and helped bring this about.

NASA has now published a Draft Environmental Impact Statement about the cleanup for public comment. There appear, however, to be some within NASA who would like to break the agreement NASA signed. That must not happen.

I was therefore pleased to learn that NASA, in response to questions by Congresswoman Julia Brownley at a recent hearing of the House Science and Technology Committee, reiterated that "NASA is committed to fulfilling our obligations under the AOC."

I write to make clear that NASA must live up to the commitments it has made to the Congress and our community. NASA should proceed expeditiously to carry out, in full, the obligations it accepted when it signed the cleanup agreement with the state in 2010. NASA polluted its site; it promised to clean up all the contamination; it should uphold, completely and rigorously, its obligations under that cleanup agreement.

Sincerely,

Melissa Langdell

From: [Elaine Herzog](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Santa Susana Cleanup Environmental Impact Statement
Date: Tuesday, October 01, 2013 4:39:22 PM

Dear Mr. Elliott,

The most contaminated place in Ventura County is the Santa Susana Field Laboratory, where reactors and rockets were tested. One atomic reactor suffered a partial meltdown there in 1959; there were tens of thousands of rocket tests. Extensive radioactive and chemical contamination resulted.

For decades, the fight to get the site cleaned up raged. Finally, we got a breakthrough: in 2010, the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) and NASA entered into binding agreements with California to cleanups to background levels of contaminants. In short, if contamination is detected, it is to be cleaned up. This is what the community has sought for so long. Numerous elected officials as well as thousands of community members supported the agreement and helped bring this about.

NASA has now published a Draft Environmental Impact Statement about the cleanup for public comment. There appear, however, to be some within NASA who would like to break the agreement NASA signed. That must not happen.

I was therefore pleased to learn that NASA, in response to questions by Congresswoman Julia Brownley at a recent hearing of the House Science and Technology Committee, reiterated that "NASA is committed to fulfilling our obligations under the AOC."

I write to make clear that NASA must live up to the commitments it has made to the Congress and our community. NASA should proceed expeditiously to carry out, in full, the obligations it accepted when it signed the cleanup agreement with the state in 2010. NASA polluted its site; it promised to clean up all the contamination; it should uphold, completely and rigorously, its obligations under that cleanup agreement.

Sincerely,

Elaine Herzog

From: [Ron Suckle](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Santa Susana Cleanup Environmental Impact Statement
Date: Tuesday, October 01, 2013 5:08:14 PM

Dear Mr. Elliott,

The most contaminated place in Ventura County is the Santa Susana Field Laboratory, where reactors and rockets were tested. One atomic reactor suffered a partial meltdown there in 1959; there were tens of thousands of rocket tests. Extensive radioactive and chemical contamination resulted.

For decades, the fight to get the site cleaned up raged. Finally, we got a breakthrough: in 2010, the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) and NASA entered into binding agreements with California to cleanups to background levels of contaminants. In short, if contamination is detected, it is to be cleaned up. This is what the community has sought for so long. Numerous elected officials as well as thousands of community members supported the agreement and helped bring this about.

NASA has now published a Draft Environmental Impact Statement about the cleanup for public comment. There appear, however, to be some within NASA who would like to break the agreement NASA signed. That must not happen.

I was therefore pleased to learn that NASA, in response to questions by Congresswoman Julia Brownley at a recent hearing of the House Science and Technology Committee, reiterated that "NASA is committed to fulfilling our obligations under the AOC."

I write to make clear that NASA must live up to the commitments it has made to the Congress and our community. NASA should proceed expeditiously to carry out, in full, the obligations it accepted when it signed the cleanup agreement with the state in 2010. NASA polluted its site; it promised to clean up all the contamination; it should uphold, completely and rigorously, its obligations under that cleanup agreement.

Sincerely,

Ron Suckle

From: [Felipe Villarreal](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Santa Susana Cleanup Draft EIS
Date: Monday, September 30, 2013 1:26:11 PM

Dear Mr. Elliott,

I'm writing to applaud NASA for having entered into a binding agreement with the State of California in 2010 to clean up all detectible contamination at the polluted Santa Susana Field Laboratory (SSFL). In response to NASA's Draft Environmental Report on the SSFL cleanup, I now urge NASA to now fully and rigorously carry out the commitments made in that cleanup agreement.

NASA's portion of SSFL is contaminated with toxic chemicals including PCBs, perchlorate, dioxins, heavy metals, and various volatile and semi-volatile organic compounds, all of which can produce harmful health effects. These materials can cause solid cancers and leukemias as well as developmental, genetic, neurological and immune system disorders.

NASA's contamination at SSFL has the potential to impact communities near the site and beyond. Indeed, pollutants from the site have already migrated offsite. The best way to ensure that public health is protected is to clean up to background as NASA has agreed to do.

NASA should be commended for having entered into the 2010 cleanup agreement. Now it is time to, without further delay, implement this historic cleanup agreement, thoroughly and completely.

Sincerely,

Felipe Villarreal

From: [Kathryn Santana](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Santa Susana Cleanup Draft EIS
Date: Thursday, September 26, 2013 5:58:34 PM

Dear Mr. Elliott,

I'm writing to applaud NASA for having entered into a binding agreement with the State of California in 2010 to clean up all detectible contamination at the polluted Santa Susana Field Laboratory (SSFL). In response to NASA's Draft Environmental Report on the SSFL cleanup, I now urge NASA to now fully and rigorously carry out the commitments made in that cleanup agreement.

NASA's portion of SSFL is contaminated with toxic chemicals including PCBs, perchlorate, dioxins, heavy metals, and various volatile and semi-volatile organic compounds, all of which can produce harmful health effects. These materials can cause solid cancers and leukemias as well as developmental, genetic, neurological and immune system disorders.

NASA's contamination at SSFL has the potential to impact communities near the site and beyond. Indeed, pollutants from the site have already migrated offsite. The best way to ensure that public health is protected is to clean up to background as NASA has agreed to do.

NASA should be commended for having entered into the 2010 cleanup agreement. Now it is time to, without further delay, implement this historic cleanup agreement, thoroughly and completely.

Sincerely,

Kathryn Santana

From: [Salome Hawkins](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Santa Susana Cleanup Draft EIS
Date: Thursday, September 26, 2013 6:03:53 PM

Dear Mr. Elliott,

I'm writing to applaud NASA for having entered into a binding agreement with the State of California in 2010 to clean up all detectible contamination at the polluted Santa Susana Field Laboratory (SSFL). In response to NASA's Draft Environmental Report on the SSFL cleanup, I now urge NASA to now fully and rigorously carry out the commitments made in that cleanup agreement.

NASA's portion of SSFL is contaminated with toxic chemicals including PCBs, perchlorate, dioxins, heavy metals, and various volatile and semi-volatile organic compounds, all of which can produce harmful health effects. These materials can cause solid cancers and leukemias as well as developmental, genetic, neurological and immune system disorders.

NASA's contamination at SSFL has the potential to impact communities near the site and beyond. Indeed, pollutants from the site have already migrated offsite. The best way to ensure that public health is protected is to clean up to background as NASA has agreed to do.

NASA should be commended for having entered into the 2010 cleanup agreement. Now it is time to, without further delay, implement this historic cleanup agreement, thoroughly and completely.

Sincerely,

Salome Hawkins

From: [Lara Ingraham](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Santa Susana Cleanup Draft EIS
Date: Thursday, September 26, 2013 6:04:07 PM

Dear Mr. Elliott,

I'm writing to applaud NASA for having entered into a binding agreement with the State of California in 2010 to clean up all detectible contamination at the polluted Santa Susana Field Laboratory (SSFL). In response to NASA's Draft Environmental Report on the SSFL cleanup, I now urge NASA to now fully and rigorously carry out the commitments made in that cleanup agreement.

NASA's portion of SSFL is contaminated with toxic chemicals including PCBs, perchlorate, dioxins, heavy metals, and various volatile and semi-volatile organic compounds, all of which can produce harmful health effects. These materials can cause solid cancers and leukemias as well as developmental, genetic, neurological and immune system disorders.

NASA's contamination at SSFL has the potential to impact communities near the site and beyond. Indeed, pollutants from the site have already migrated offsite. The best way to ensure that public health is protected is to clean up to background as NASA has agreed to do.

NASA should be commended for having entered into the 2010 cleanup agreement. Now it is time to, without further delay, implement this historic cleanup agreement, thoroughly and completely.

Sincerely,

Lara Ingraham

From: [Therese Graf](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Santa Susana Cleanup Draft EIS
Date: Thursday, September 26, 2013 6:04:43 PM

Dear Mr. Elliott,

I'm writing to applaud NASA for having entered into a binding agreement with the State of California in 2010 to clean up all detectible contamination at the polluted Santa Susana Field Laboratory (SSFL). In response to NASA's Draft Environmental Report on the SSFL cleanup, I now urge NASA to now fully and rigorously carry out the commitments made in that cleanup agreement.

NASA's portion of SSFL is contaminated with toxic chemicals including PCBs, perchlorate, dioxins, heavy metals, and various volatile and semi-volatile organic compounds, all of which can produce harmful health effects. These materials can cause solid cancers and leukemias as well as developmental, genetic, neurological and immune system disorders.

NASA's contamination at SSFL has the potential to impact communities near the site and beyond. Indeed, pollutants from the site have already migrated offsite. The best way to ensure that public health is protected is to clean up to background as NASA has agreed to do.

NASA should be commended for having entered into the 2010 cleanup agreement. Now it is time to, without further delay, implement this historic cleanup agreement, thoroughly and completely.

Sincerely,

Therese Graf

From: [Ralph Delfino](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Santa Susana Cleanup Draft EIS
Date: Thursday, September 26, 2013 6:07:55 PM

Dear Mr. Elliott,

I'm writing to applaud NASA for having entered into a binding agreement with the State of California in 2010 to clean up all detectible contamination at the polluted Santa Susana Field Laboratory (SSFL). In response to NASA's Draft Environmental Report on the SSFL cleanup, I now urge NASA to now fully and rigorously carry out the commitments made in that cleanup agreement.

NASA's portion of SSFL is contaminated with toxic chemicals including PCBs, perchlorate, dioxins, heavy metals, and various volatile and semi-volatile organic compounds, all of which can produce harmful health effects. These materials can cause solid cancers and leukemias as well as developmental, genetic, neurological and immune system disorders.

NASA's contamination at SSFL has the potential to impact communities near the site and beyond. Indeed, pollutants from the site have already migrated offsite. The best way to ensure that public health is protected is to clean up to background as NASA has agreed to do.

NASA should be commended for having entered into the 2010 cleanup agreement. Now it is time to, without further delay, implement this historic cleanup agreement, thoroughly and completely.

Sincerely,

Ralph Delfino

From: [Mark Reback](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Santa Susana Cleanup Draft EIS
Date: Thursday, September 26, 2013 6:08:25 PM

Dear Mr. Elliott,

I'm writing to applaud NASA for having entered into a binding agreement with the State of California in 2010 to clean up all detectible contamination at the polluted Santa Susana Field Laboratory (SSFL). In response to NASA's Draft Environmental Report on the SSFL cleanup, I now urge NASA to now fully and rigorously carry out the commitments made in that cleanup agreement.

NASA's portion of SSFL is contaminated with toxic chemicals including PCBs, perchlorate, dioxins, heavy metals, and various volatile and semi-volatile organic compounds, all of which can produce harmful health effects. These materials can cause solid cancers and leukemias as well as developmental, genetic, neurological and immune system disorders.

NASA's contamination at SSFL has the potential to impact communities near the site and beyond. Indeed, pollutants from the site have already migrated offsite. The best way to ensure that public health is protected is to clean up to background as NASA has agreed to do.

NASA should be commended for having entered into the 2010 cleanup agreement. Now it is time to, without further delay, implement this historic cleanup agreement, thoroughly and completely.

Sincerely,

Mark Reback

From: [Arthur Holden](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Santa Susana Cleanup Draft EIS
Date: Thursday, September 26, 2013 6:08:44 PM

Dear Mr. Elliott,

I'm writing to applaud NASA for having entered into a binding agreement with the State of California in 2010 to clean up all detectible contamination at the polluted Santa Susana Field Laboratory (SSFL). In response to NASA's Draft Environmental Report on the SSFL cleanup, I now urge NASA to now fully and rigorously carry out the commitments made in that cleanup agreement.

NASA's portion of SSFL is contaminated with toxic chemicals including PCBs, perchlorate, dioxins, heavy metals, and various volatile and semi-volatile organic compounds, all of which can produce harmful health effects. These materials can cause solid cancers and leukemias as well as developmental, genetic, neurological and immune system disorders.

NASA's contamination at SSFL has the potential to impact communities near the site and beyond. Indeed, pollutants from the site have already migrated offsite. The best way to ensure that public health is protected is to clean up to background as NASA has agreed to do.

NASA should be commended for having entered into the 2010 cleanup agreement. Now it is time to, without further delay, implement this historic cleanup agreement, thoroughly and completely.

Sincerely,

Arthur Holden

From: [Jacqueline Willer-Vescio](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Santa Susana Cleanup Draft EIS
Date: Thursday, September 26, 2013 6:11:20 PM

Dear Mr. Elliott,

I'm writing to applaud NASA for having entered into a binding agreement with the State of California in 2010 to clean up all detectible contamination at the polluted Santa Susana Field Laboratory (SSFL). In response to NASA's Draft Environmental Report on the SSFL cleanup, I now urge NASA to now fully and rigorously carry out the commitments made in that cleanup agreement.

NASA's portion of SSFL is contaminated with toxic chemicals including PCBs, perchlorate, dioxins, heavy metals, and various volatile and semi-volatile organic compounds, all of which can produce harmful health effects. These materials can cause solid cancers and leukemias as well as developmental, genetic, neurological and immune system disorders.

NASA's contamination at SSFL has the potential to impact communities near the site and beyond. Indeed, pollutants from the site have already migrated offsite. The best way to ensure that public health is protected is to clean up to background as NASA has agreed to do.

NASA should be commended for having entered into the 2010 cleanup agreement. Now it is time to, without further delay, implement this historic cleanup agreement, thoroughly and completely.

Sincerely,

Jacqueline Willer-Vescio

From: [Richard Mathews](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Santa Susana Cleanup Draft EIS
Date: Thursday, September 26, 2013 6:16:18 PM

Dear Mr. Elliott,

Having lived most my life in the shadow of the Santa Susana Field Laboratory (SSFL), I am very concerned that it needs to finally get thoroughly cleaned up.

I'm writing to applaud NASA for having entered into a binding agreement with the State of California in 2010 to clean up all detectable contamination at the polluted SSFL. In response to NASA's Draft Environmental Report on the SSFL cleanup, I now urge NASA to now fully and rigorously carry out the commitments made in that cleanup agreement.

NASA's portion of SSFL is contaminated with toxic chemicals including PCBs, perchlorate, dioxins, heavy metals, and various volatile and semi-volatile organic compounds, all of which can produce harmful health effects. These materials can cause solid cancers and leukemias as well as developmental, genetic, neurological and immune system disorders.

My brother died at age 45 of brain cancer. His son's leukemia is in remission. Were these caused by SSFL pollution? We can never know.

NASA's contamination at SSFL has the potential to impact communities near the site and beyond. Indeed, pollutants from the site have already migrated offsite. The best way to ensure that public health is protected is to clean up to background as NASA has agreed to do.

NASA should be commended for having entered into the 2010 cleanup agreement. Now it is time to, without further delay, implement this historic cleanup agreement, thoroughly and completely.

Sincerely,

Richard Mathews

From: [Brenda Barnetson](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Santa Susana Cleanup Draft EIS
Date: Thursday, September 26, 2013 6:19:59 PM

Dear Mr. Elliott,

I'm writing to applaud NASA for having entered into a binding agreement with the State of California in 2010 to clean up all detectible contamination at the polluted Santa Susana Field Laboratory (SSFL). In response to NASA's Draft Environmental Report on the SSFL cleanup, I now urge NASA to now fully and rigorously carry out the commitments made in that cleanup agreement.

NASA's portion of SSFL is contaminated with toxic chemicals including PCBs, perchlorate, dioxins, heavy metals, and various volatile and semi-volatile organic compounds, all of which can produce harmful health effects. These materials can cause solid cancers and leukemias as well as developmental, genetic, neurological and immune system disorders.

NASA's contamination at SSFL has the potential to impact communities near the site and beyond. Indeed, pollutants from the site have already migrated offsite. The best way to ensure that public health is protected is to clean up to background as NASA has agreed to do.

NASA should be commended for having entered into the 2010 cleanup agreement. Now it is time to, without further delay, implement this historic cleanup agreement, thoroughly and completely.

Sincerely,

Brenda Barnetson

From: [Susan Clark](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: SSFL EIS -- LIVE UP TO CLEANUP AGREEMENT!
Date: Tuesday, October 01, 2013 3:10:23 PM

Dear Mr. Elliott,

Through decades of sloppy environmental practices, NASA badly contaminated its part of the Santa Susana Field Laboratory with very toxic materials, some of which have been migrating off the property. After years of dragging its feet on cleanup, in 2010 NASA executed a binding cleanup agreement with the State of California, promising to clean up all the contamination to background.

NASA has now published a Draft Environmental Impact Statement on the cleanup, portions of which have created concern that some within the agency are working at cross purposes to the agreement NASA is pledged to carry out.

I write to call on NASA to live up to its commitments in the 2010 cleanup agreement, totally and without any efforts to wriggle out of it.

Sincerely,

Susan Clark

From: [Catherine Lincoln](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: SSFL EIS -- LIVE UP TO CLEANUP AGREEMENT!
Date: Friday, September 27, 2013 2:28:32 PM

Dear Mr. Elliott,

Through decades of sloppy environmental practices, NASA badly contaminated its part of the Santa Susana Field Laboratory with very toxic materials, some of which have been migrating off the property. After years of dragging its feet on cleanup, in 2010 NASA executed a binding cleanup agreement with the State of California, promising to clean up all the contamination to background.

NASA has now published a Draft Environmental Impact Statement on the cleanup, portions of which have created concern that some within the agency are working at cross purposes to the agreement NASA is pledged to carry out.

I write to call on NASA to live up to its commitments in the 2010 cleanup agreement, totally and without any efforts to wriggle out of it.

Sincerely,

Catherine Lincoln

From: [Catherine Lincoln](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: SSFL EIS -- LIVE UP TO CLEANUP AGREEMENT!
Date: Friday, September 27, 2013 2:32:26 PM

Dear Mr. Elliott,

Through decades of sloppy environmental practices, NASA badly contaminated its part of the Santa Susana Field Laboratory with very toxic materials, some of which have been migrating off the property. After years of dragging its feet on cleanup, in 2010 NASA executed a binding cleanup agreement with the State of California, promising to clean up all the contamination to background.

NASA has now published a Draft Environmental Impact Statement on the cleanup, portions of which have created concern that some within the agency are working at cross purposes to the agreement NASA is pledged to carry out.

I write to call on NASA to live up to its commitments in the 2010 cleanup agreement, totally and without any efforts to wriggle out of it.

Sincerely,

Catherine Lincoln

From: [Robert & Claire Heron](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: SSFL EIS -- LIVE UP TO CLEANUP AGREEMENT!
Date: Friday, September 27, 2013 3:23:51 PM

Dear Mr. Elliott,

Through decades of sloppy environmental practices, NASA badly contaminated its part of the Santa Susana Field Laboratory with very toxic materials, some of which have been migrating off the property. After years of dragging its feet on cleanup, in 2010 NASA executed a binding cleanup agreement with the State of California, promising to clean up all the contamination to background.

NASA has now published a Draft Environmental Impact Statement on the cleanup, portions of which have created concern that some within the agency are working at cross purposes to the agreement NASA is pledged to carry out.

I write to call on NASA to live up to its commitments in the 2010 cleanup agreement, totally and without any efforts to wriggle out of it.

It's time for the entire United States Government to take responsibility and clean up this and all other toxic sites it has created or allowed to be created! It simply **MUST** be done so **JUST DO IT!**

Sincerely,

Robert & Claire Heron

From: [Barbara Johnson](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: SSFL EIS -- LIVE UP TO CLEANUP AGREEMENT!
Date: Friday, September 27, 2013 3:26:35 PM

Dear Mr. Elliott,

Through decades of sloppy environmental practices, NASA badly contaminated its part of the Santa Susana Field Laboratory with very toxic materials, some of which have been migrating off the property. After years of dragging its feet on cleanup, in 2010 NASA executed a binding cleanup agreement with the State of California, promising to clean up all the contamination to background.

NASA has now published a Draft Environmental Impact Statement on the cleanup, portions of which have created concern that some within the agency are working at cross purposes to the agreement NASA is pledged to carry out.

I write to call on NASA to live up to its commitments in the 2010 cleanup agreement, totally and without any efforts to wriggle out of it.

Sincerely,

Barbara Johnson

From: [Elizabeth Lincoln](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: SSFL EIS -- LIVE UP TO CLEANUP AGREEMENT!
Date: Friday, September 27, 2013 3:31:43 PM

Dear Mr. Elliott,

Through decades of sloppy environmental practices, NASA badly contaminated its part of the Santa Susana Field Laboratory with very toxic materials, some of which have been migrating off the property. After years of dragging its feet on cleanup, in 2010 NASA executed a binding cleanup agreement with the State of California, promising to clean up all the contamination to background.

NASA has now published a Draft Environmental Impact Statement on the cleanup, portions of which have created concern that some within the agency are working at cross purposes to the agreement NASA is pledged to carry out.

I write to call on NASA to live up to its commitments in the 2010 cleanup agreement, totally and without any efforts to wriggle out of it.

Sincerely,

Elizabeth Lincoln

From: [Katherine Karras](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: SSFL EIS -- LIVE UP TO CLEANUP AGREEMENT!
Date: Friday, September 27, 2013 4:59:35 PM

Dear Mr. Elliott,

It is time for Nasa to live up to it's promis and correct decades of sloppy environmental practices by which NASA badly contaminated its part of the Santa Susana Field Laboratory with very toxic materials, some of which have been migrating off the property. After years of dragging its feet on cleanup, in 2010 NASA executed a binding cleanup agreement with the State of California, promising to clean up all the contamination to background.

NASA has now published a Draft Environmental Impact Statement on the cleanup, portions of which have created concern that some within the agency are working at cross purposes to the agreement NASA is pledged to carry out.

I write to call on NASA to live up to its commitments in the 2010 cleanup agreement, totally and without any efforts to wriggle out of it.

Sincerely,

Katherine Karras

From: [Shirley Bianchi](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: SSFL EIS -- LIVE UP TO CLEANUP AGREEMENT!
Date: Friday, September 27, 2013 5:19:20 PM

Dear Mr. Elliott,

Through decades of sloppy environmental practices, NASA badly contaminated its part of the Santa Susana Field Laboratory with very toxic materials, some of which have been migrating off the property. After years of dragging its feet on cleanup, in 2010 NASA executed a binding cleanup agreement with the State of California, promising to clean up all the contamination to background.

NASA has now published a Draft Environmental Impact Statement on the cleanup, portions of which have created concern that some within the agency are working at cross purposes to the agreement NASA is pledged to carry out.

I write to call on NASA to live up to its commitments in the 2010 cleanup agreement, totally and without any efforts to wriggle out of it.

Sincerely,

Shirley Bianchi

From: [Susan M Marchese](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: SSFL EIS -- LIVE UP TO CLEANUP AGREEMENT!
Date: Friday, September 27, 2013 5:38:06 PM

Dear Mr. Elliott,

Through decades of sloppy environmental practices, NASA badly contaminated its part of the Santa Susana Field Laboratory with very toxic materials, some of which have been migrating off the property. After years of dragging its feet on cleanup, in 2010 NASA executed a binding cleanup agreement with the State of California, promising to clean up all the contamination to background.

NASA has now published a Draft Environmental Impact Statement on the cleanup, portions of which have created concern that some within the agency are working at cross purposes to the agreement NASA is pledged to carry out.

I write to call on NASA to live up to its commitments in the 2010 cleanup agreement, totally and without any efforts to wriggle out of it.

Sincerely,

Susan M Marchese

From: [Dolly Arond](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: SSFL EIS -- LIVE UP TO CLEANUP AGREEMENT!
Date: Friday, September 27, 2013 5:42:21 PM

Dear Mr. Elliott,

Through decades of sloppy environmental practices, NASA badly contaminated its part of the Santa Susana Field Laboratory with very toxic materials, some of which have been migrating off the property. After years of dragging its feet on cleanup, in 2010 NASA executed a binding cleanup agreement with the State of California, promising to clean up all the contamination to background.

NASA has now published a Draft Environmental Impact Statement on the cleanup, portions of which have created concern that some within the agency are working at cross purposes to the agreement NASA is pledged to carry out.

I write to call on NASA to live up to its commitments in the 2010 cleanup agreement, totally and without any efforts to wriggle out of it.

Sincerely,

Dolly Arond

From: [Saran Kirschbaum](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: SSFL EIS -- LIVE UP TO CLEANUP AGREEMENT!
Date: Friday, September 27, 2013 6:56:09 PM

Dear Mr. Elliott,

We can't afford any more decades of sloppy environmental practices, NASA badly contaminated its part of the Santa Susana Field Laboratory with very toxic materials, some of which have been migrating off the property. After years of dragging its feet on cleanup, in 2010 NASA executed a binding cleanup agreement with the State of California, promising to clean up all the contamination to background.

NASA has now published a Draft Environmental Impact Statement on the cleanup, portions of which have created concern that some within the agency are working at cross purposes to the agreement NASA is pledged to carry out.

I write to call on NASA to live up to its commitments in the 2010 cleanup agreement, totally and without any efforts to wriggle out of it.

Sincerely,

Saran Kirschbaum

From: [Eleanore Rembaum](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: SSFL EIS -- LIVE UP TO CLEANUP AGREEMENT!
Date: Friday, September 27, 2013 7:21:12 PM

Dear Mr. Elliott,

Through decades of sloppy environmental practices, NASA badly contaminated its part of the Santa Susana Field Laboratory with very toxic materials, some of which have been migrating off the property. After years of dragging its feet on cleanup, in 2010 NASA executed a binding cleanup agreement with the State of California, promising to clean up all the contamination to background.

NASA has now published a Draft Environmental Impact Statement on the cleanup, portions of which have created concern that some within the agency are working at cross purposes to the agreement NASA is pledged to carry out.

I write to call on NASA to live up to its commitments in the 2010 cleanup agreement, totally and without any efforts to wriggle out of it.

Sincerely,

Eleanore Rembaum

From: [Marie Mason](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: SSFL EIS -- LIVE UP TO CLEANUP AGREEMENT!
Date: Saturday, September 28, 2013 12:14:10 AM

Dear Mr. Elliott,

Through decades of sloppy environmental practices, NASA badly contaminated its part of the Santa Susana Field Laboratory with very toxic materials, some of which have been migrating off the property. After years of dragging its feet on cleanup, in 2010 NASA executed a binding cleanup agreement with the State of California, promising to clean up all the contamination to background.

NASA has now published a Draft Environmental Impact Statement on the cleanup, portions of which have created concern that some within the agency are working at cross purposes to the agreement NASA is pledged to carry out.

I write to call on NASA to live up to its commitments in the 2010 cleanup agreement, totally and without any efforts to wriggle out of it.

Sincerely,

Marie Mason

From: [Paul Rossilli](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: SSFL EIS -- LIVE UP TO CLEANUP AGREEMENT!
Date: Saturday, September 28, 2013 11:18:54 AM

Dear Mr. Elliott,

Through decades of sloppy environmental practices, NASA badly contaminated its part of the Santa Susana Field Laboratory with very toxic materials, some of which have been migrating off the property. After years of dragging its feet on cleanup, in 2010 NASA executed a binding cleanup agreement with the State of California, promising to clean up all the contamination to background.

NASA has now published a Draft Environmental Impact Statement on the cleanup, portions of which have created concern that some within the agency are working at cross purposes to the agreement NASA is pledged to carry out.

I write to call on NASA to live up to its commitments in the 2010 cleanup agreement, totally and without any efforts to wriggle out of it.

Sincerely,

Paul Rossilli

From: [Shawn Cabot](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: SSFL EIS -- LIVE UP TO CLEANUP AGREEMENT!
Date: Saturday, September 28, 2013 4:37:32 PM

Dear Mr. Elliott,

Through decades of sloppy environmental practices, NASA badly contaminated its part of the Santa Susana Field Laboratory with very toxic materials, some of which have been migrating off the property. After years of dragging its feet on cleanup, in 2010 NASA executed a binding cleanup agreement with the State of California, promising to clean up all the contamination to background.

NASA has now published a Draft Environmental Impact Statement on the cleanup, portions of which have created concern that some within the agency are working at cross purposes to the agreement NASA is pledged to carry out.

I write to call on NASA to live up to its commitments in the 2010 cleanup agreement, totally and without any efforts to wriggle out of it.

Sincerely,

Shawn Cabot

From: [Michael Bock](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: SSFL EIS -- LIVE UP TO CLEANUP AGREEMENT!
Date: Saturday, September 28, 2013 5:54:29 PM

Dear Mr. Elliott,

Through decades of sloppy environmental practices, NASA badly contaminated its part of the Santa Susana Field Laboratory with very toxic materials, some of which have been migrating off the property. After years of dragging its feet on cleanup, in 2010 NASA executed a binding cleanup agreement with the State of California, promising to clean up all the contamination to background.

NASA has now published a Draft Environmental Impact Statement on the cleanup, portions of which have created concern that some within the agency are working at cross purposes to the agreement NASA is pledged to carry out.

I write to call on NASA to live up to its commitments in the 2010 cleanup agreement, totally and without any efforts to wriggle out of it.

Sincerely,

Michael Bock

From: [Michael Frederick](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: SSFL EIS -- LIVE UP TO CLEANUP AGREEMENT!
Date: Saturday, September 28, 2013 5:57:52 PM

Dear Mr. Elliott,

Through decades of sloppy environmental practices, NASA badly contaminated its part of the Santa Susana Field Laboratory with very toxic materials, some of which have been migrating off the property. After years of dragging its feet on cleanup, in 2010 NASA executed a binding cleanup agreement with the State of California, promising to clean up all the contamination to background.

NASA has now published a Draft Environmental Impact Statement on the cleanup, portions of which have created concern that some within the agency are working at cross purposes to the agreement NASA is pledged to carry out.

I write to call on NASA to live up to its commitments in the 2010 cleanup agreement, totally and without any efforts to wriggle out of it.

Sincerely,

Michael Frederick

From: [Jon Scott](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: SSFL EIS -- LIVE UP TO CLEANUP AGREEMENT!
Date: Saturday, September 28, 2013 5:59:41 PM

Dear Mr. Elliott,

THERE ARE MANY WORKERS NEIGHBORS AND WORKERS THAT LIVE NEARBY> TOO MANY PEOPLE HAVE GOTTEN SICK BECAUSE OF THIS SITE! PLEASE CLEAN IT UP!!

Through decades of sloppy environmental practices, NASA badly contaminated its part of the Santa Susana Field Laboratory with very toxic materials, some of which have been migrating off the property. After years of dragging its feet on cleanup, in 2010 NASA executed a binding cleanup agreement with the State of California, promising to clean up all the contamination to background.

NASA has now published a Draft Environmental Impact Statement on the cleanup, portions of which have created concern that some within the agency are working at cross purposes to the agreement NASA is pledged to carry out.

I write to call on NASA to live up to its commitments in the 2010 cleanup agreement, totally and without any efforts to wriggle out of it.

Sincerely,

Jon Scott

From: [richard and chiho solomon](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: SSFL EIS -- LIVE UP TO CLEANUP AGREEMENT!
Date: Saturday, September 28, 2013 9:52:23 PM

Dear Mr. Elliott,

Through decades of sloppy environmental practices, NASA badly contaminated its part of the Santa Susana Field Laboratory with very toxic materials, some of which have been migrating off the property. After years of dragging its feet on cleanup, in 2010 NASA executed a binding cleanup agreement with the State of California, promising to clean up all the contamination to background.

NASA has now published a Draft Environmental Impact Statement on the cleanup, portions of which have created concern that some within the agency are working at cross purposes to the agreement NASA is pledged to carry out.

We write to call on NASA to live up to its commitments in the 2010 cleanup agreement, totally and without any efforts to wriggle out of it.

Sincerely,

richard and chiho solomon

From: [Dana Gluckstein](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: SSFL EIS -- LIVE UP TO CLEANUP AGREEMENT!
Date: Sunday, September 29, 2013 12:03:44 PM

Dear Mr. Elliott,

Through decades of sloppy environmental practices, NASA badly contaminated its part of the Santa Susana Field Laboratory with very toxic materials, some of which have been migrating off the property. After years of dragging its feet on cleanup, in 2010 NASA executed a binding cleanup agreement with the State of California, promising to clean up all the contamination to background.

NASA has now published a Draft Environmental Impact Statement on the cleanup, portions of which have created concern that some within the agency are working at cross purposes to the agreement NASA is pledged to carry out.

I write to call on NASA to live up to its commitments in the 2010 cleanup agreement, totally and without any efforts to wriggle out of it.

Sincerely,

Dana Gluckstein

From: [Joe Jordan](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: SSFL EIS -- LIVE UP TO CLEANUP AGREEMENT!
Date: Sunday, September 29, 2013 12:30:36 PM

Dear Mr. Elliott,

I worked at NASA(Ames) for decades (1982-2002).

Through decades of sloppy environmental practices, NASA badly contaminated its part of the Santa Susana Field Laboratory with very toxic materials, some of which have been migrating off the property. After years of dragging its feet on cleanup, in 2010 NASA executed a binding cleanup agreement with the State of California, promising to clean up all the contamination to background.

NASA has now published a Draft Environmental Impact Statement on the cleanup, portions of which have created concern that some within the agency are working at cross purposes to the agreement NASA is pledged to carry out.

I write to call on NASA to live up to its commitments in the 2010 cleanup agreement, totally and without any efforts to wriggle out of it.

Sincerely,

Joe Jordan

From: [Becky Bythe](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: SSFL EIS -- LIVE UP TO CLEANUP AGREEMENT!
Date: Monday, September 30, 2013 8:24:41 PM

Dear Mr. Elliott,

Through decades of sloppy environmental practices, NASA badly contaminated its part of the Santa Susana Field Laboratory with very toxic materials, some of which have been migrating off the property. After years of dragging its feet on cleanup, in 2010 NASA executed a binding cleanup agreement with the State of California, promising to clean up all the contamination to background.

NASA has now published a Draft Environmental Impact Statement on the cleanup, portions of which have created concern that some within the agency are working at cross purposes to the agreement NASA is pledged to carry out.

I write to call on NASA to live up to its commitments in the 2010 cleanup agreement, totally and without any efforts to wriggle out of it.

Sincerely,

Becky Bythe

From: [Jack Miles](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: SSFL EIS -- do the right thing!
Date: Sunday, September 29, 2013 3:03:07 PM

Dear Mr. Elliott,

Just now, with 97% of your staff about to be furloughed, NASA needs all the friends it can get. In Southern California, you have the chance to make a few friends by proving yourself good for the earth, our own California, earth and not just good for space exploration.

NASA badly contaminated its part of the Santa Susana Field Laboratory, and the poison is now spreading off the site. After years of ducking the problem, you finally agreed in 2010 to do the right thing and clean up all the contamination down to background.

But now your Draft Environmental Impact Statement has us worried. Somebody up there seems to be trying to undermine the agreement that you made and that we've been counting on you to carry out.

With respect, Mr. Elliott, do the right thing. Live up to NASA's commitments in the 2010 cleanup agreement. Don't try to minimize the problem at this point. No weaseling out of it. You know what real cleanup requires. Win NASA a few California friends. Do the right thing.

Sincerely,

Jack Miles

Jack Miles

From: [Gretchen Ryan](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: SSFL Draft EIS- we live near this area please clean it up
Date: Monday, September 30, 2013 2:45:18 PM

Dear Mr. Elliot

Over many decades, NASA's poor environmental practices resulted in widespread contamination of the Santa Susana Field Laboratory near Los Angeles. Dioxins, perchlorate, trichloroethylene, heavy metals, Polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs), and other hazardous materials pollute the soil and groundwater at the site, and some have migrated offsite. In 2010, NASA entered into a legally binding agreement with the state of California to clean up all the contamination that could be found.

Now, NASA has published a Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the cleanup that suggests they may be trying to break its commitment. I strongly urge NASA to completely live up to its obligations, and carry out in full the thorough cleanup required by the 2010 agreement. NASA contaminated the site; NASA promised to clean it up completely; NASA should be true to its word.

Gretchen Ryan

91606

From: [Marsha Rose](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: SSFL Draft EIS- Clean Up the Contamination Now!
Date: Saturday, September 28, 2013 1:51:31 PM

Dear Mr. Elliot

Over many decades, NASA's poor environmental practices resulted in widespread contamination of the Santa Susana Field Laboratory near Los Angeles. Dioxins, perchlorate, trichloroethylene, heavy metals, Polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs), and other hazardous materials pollute the soil and groundwater at the site, and some have migrated offsite. In 2010, NASA entered into a legally binding agreement with the state of California to clean up all the contamination that could be found.

Now, NASA has published a Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the cleanup that suggests they may be trying to break its commitment. I strongly urge NASA to completely live up to its obligations, and carry out in full the thorough cleanup required by the 2010 agreement. NASA contaminated the site; NASA promised to clean it up completely; NASA should be true to its word.

Marsha Rose

91436

From: [Wendy Coyle](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: SSFL cleanup
Date: Monday, September 30, 2013 11:59:56 AM

Dear Mr. Elliott,

As a member of the surrounding community, I strongly urge NASA to adhere strictly to its moral and legal obligation of cleaning up the contamination at the SSFL site!

It has been way too long already, don't delay further!

Thank you,

Sincerely,
Wendy Coyle

Wendy Coyle

From: [Joshua Drewes](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: SSFL cleanup
Date: Monday, September 30, 2013 3:56:49 PM

Dear Mr. Elliott,

NASA's callous disregard for safety has put the SSFL site and surrounding area at risk. The only good thing about this situation is that it is not irrevocable.

NASA has now published a Draft Environmental Impact Statement on the cleanup. Within it, NASA has made mention of the regrettably large lengths a proper clean-up would require. Costs would include large numbers of trucks and soil displacement. I believe that the expense of the clean-up only serves to corroborate the expense of the pollution.

You have a chance to do the right thing in the surrounding area for this generation and the next. You have a chance to prove that NASA is a responsible organization worthy of the support of the environmentalist community.

I write to call on NASA to live up to its commitments in the 2010 cleanup agreement, totally and without any efforts to wriggle out of it.

Sincerely,

Joshua Drewes

From: [Ava](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Cc: fran.Pavley@sen.ca.gov; linda.parks@ventura.org
Subject: ssfl clean up
Date: Sunday, September 29, 2013 11:31:52 PM

September 28, 2013

Dear Mr. Elliott:

My name is Ava Ungar and I am very concerned about the condition of the SSFL site. I live in Westlake Village, my children attend Oak Parks schools and I would like to send my young daughters to camp at the Brandeis Bardin Institute, adjacent to the SSFL site. I am not only concerned for my own family but for others who live nearby and will possibly be exposed to the contaminants left there by NASA.

It is my understanding that NASA agreed in 2010 to clean up the contamination left behind but of recent are attempting to retract their agreement with the state. I feel it is NASA's obligation to the surrounding community to fulfill it's agreement and clean up the site. None of us in the surrounding communities should be exposed to the toxins left behind and potentially incur health issues because of NASA's negligence.

Sincerely,

Ava Ungar

Ava
ungar.ava@gmail.com

From: [Theodore Dent](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: SSF: Cleanup
Date: Saturday, September 07, 2013 12:02:52 AM

My only question is:

"Is this the best that can be done?"

Oh, if it isn't, then one more question:

"Why isn't the best job being done?"

Theodore Dent
10359 Milwood Avenue
Chatsworth, CA 91311-2343

From: [Bruce Rowe](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS; GROMAN, JENNIFER A. \(HQ-LD020\); Elliott, Allen \(MSFC-AS01\)](#)
Cc: [Fellows, Merrilee \(HQ-LD000\)](#)
Subject: Section 106 comments of Bruce M.Rowe
Date: Wednesday, October 02, 2013 12:52:35 AM

To: Jennifer Groman NASA Federal Preservation Officer

Allen Elliott SSFL Program Director

Although the National Register of Historic Places lists over a million properties, I believe that we are too quick to tear down important parts of our history and destroy sites with potential importance to understanding the prehistory of the United States. The NASA portion of the Santa Susana Field Lab (SSFL) is both historically and archeologically important –one could argue that the site is of prime importance in its role in this country's Cold War efforts, the space program, and the understanding of the prehistory of this part of California. To remove all physical traces of this history and to potentially disrupt important archeological sites would be a disservice to the men and women who worked to make this country strong and to the earlier inhabitants who lived for thousands of years in this area. It would also be a disservice to the generations to come in not having the opportunity to see the context in which these historical and prehistoric events played out.

I therefore believe that the AOCs should be rejected and a new agreement should be negotiated that is based on the real health risk of the site in relationship to an end use. This new agreement should include the preservation of at least one of the test stands, perhaps Bravo, and the protection of already discovered and potential archeological materials. Removing all of the buildings and two feet of top soil as proposed in the AOCs will destroy the historic value of the site for all times and potentially also remove important information about the prehistoric past of the area. This would be done without any known benefit to the health and safety of the surrounding community.

There are no convincing scientific studies that show that the site should be cleaned up to the AOC's standards in terms of reducing the possibilities of diseases such as cancer—if the site's end use is parkland. In fact, there is good reason to believe that such standards will increase both health risk and lead to environmental degradation that will have many negative effects. The number of trucks needed to remove soil and structures presents accident risks to the surrounding community as well as environmental problems in terms of pollution from emissions, the breakdown of truck parts such as brake linings, and dust coming off of the trucks from their cargo of soil. The removal of so much soil will destroy the natural environment used by animal and reduce vegetation that absorbs CO₂, a green house gas. Soil removal will also increase the chance of flooding.

So why not come up with a plan to save the history, prehistory, and the site's environment. Please look for a way to negotiate a new cleanup plan for the site.

Bruce M. Rowe

Emeritus Professor of Anthropology

Los Angeles Pierce College

October 1, 2013

From: [Lisa Kritzell](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: santa susanna cleanup
Date: Sunday, September 29, 2013 7:32:30 PM

I am writing as a community member living in the West Hills area. I am very concerned about the cleanup law signed between NASA and the state of California in 2010 which mandated a cleanup of all contamination. NASA contaminated this area and is responsible for cleaning it up. Hundreds of thousands living nearby are counting on this, if not in our lifetimes, for our children's children. I have worked in the West Hills Hospital for almost 15 years, and have personally talked to people who are ill because of the contaminated wells that they drank from that came for this area. This is frightening to me. Please I urge NASA to full clean up this site. I was reminded of this issue by the local group Teens Against Toxins, and mention the by way of thanks for the reminder.

Lisa Kritzell
resident of West Hills, California

From: [Michelle A Merritt](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Santa Susana Field Laboratory
Date: Saturday, August 31, 2013 2:08:03 PM

Dear Mr. Elliott,

As a homeowner and concerned citizen of Los Angeles, I strongly recommend that the clean up proceed at the Santa Susana Field Laboratory. For the health and safety of all of the west San Fernando Valley residents; adults, children, the elderly, wildlife and pets, employers, employees, please consider using rail to dispose of the contaminated soil.

It would be a "crime" to haul the hazardous material via trucks on the city streets, for up to three years, no less. I would guess that no one would want noisy, air polluting, street damaging trucks rolling down the hills and onto the already too busy streets.

I strongly urge the use of rails. The main line is already in existence in the west valley.

Thank you for your consideration,
Michelle Merritt
West Valley Resident

From: [Norm Levy](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Santa Susana Field Laboratory site cleanup
Date: Wednesday, September 25, 2013 7:28:48 PM

To: Allen Elliott, SSFL Program Director NASA MSFC AS01
Building 4494, Huntsville, AL 35812

Re: SSFL Cleanup

Dear Sir:

I write to strongly urge you to honor the commitments made by NASA and the Department of Energy (DOE) with the California Department of Toxic Substances Control (DTSC) to clean up the site of the Santa Susana Field Laboratory (SSFL) where so much toxic contamination has accumulated over many years. Having been one who has known of and dealt with this problem since its inception I demand the clean up be completed on this NASA property as soon as possible after the Draft Environmental Impact Report is published on or about October 1, 2013.

I would truly appreciate being copied from now on as determination is made regarding this matter. You may contact me via my email: Lagunabandb@yahoo.com or via my home address:

Norm Levy,
Emeritus Professor of Political Science
Los Angeles Pierce College
5642-6 Etiwanda Avenue
Tarzana, CA 91356-2740

Very Truly Yours,
Norm Levy

From: [Shel Plotkin](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Cc: [Barbara_Boxer@boxer.senate.gov](#); [grant_cope@epw.senate.gov](#); [bettina_poirier@epw.senate.gov](#);
[Trevor_daley@feinstein.senate.gov](#); [Molly_O'Brien@feinstein.senate.gov](#); [Elizabeth.Fenton@sen.ca.gov](#);
[William.Craven@sen.ca.gov](#); [louise.rishoff@sen.ca.gov](#); [nicole.bernson@lacity.org](#);
[councilmember.english@lacity.org](#); [damon.wing@ventura.org](#); [linda.parks@ventura.org](#);
[bsaltsman@lacbos.org](#); [zev@bos.lacounty.org](#); [jdegonia@lacbos.org](#); [matt.dababneh@mail.house.gov](#)
Subject: Santa Susana Field Laboratory Inter-Agency Work Group comments on Draft EIS
Date: Wednesday, October 02, 2013 1:20:53 AM

Comments by the
Community Representatives
Santa Susana Field Laboratory Inter-Agency Work Group

on

the Draft Environmental Impact Statement
for Demolition and Cleanup Activities at NASA's Portion of SSFL

October 1, 2013

The Agreement on Consent (AOC) for remediation of the contamination at the Santa Susana Field Laboratory (SSFL) that NASA executed in December 2010 was an important step forward in the long saga of trying to get that badly polluted site cleaned up. At its core is a requirement to cleanup to background, with certain exceptions for recognized Native American artifacts and endangered species protections. The SSFL Inter-Agency Work Group, on which NASA serves along with us, the community representatives, has been instrumental in bringing about the AOC and coordinating its oversight.

We have reviewed the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) prepared for the cleanup and have the following summary comments:

1. As recently as two weeks ago, NASA testified before the Committee on Science and Technology of the U.S. Congress and repeatedly reaffirmed that it is committed to carrying out its obligations under the AOC. As we have heard from many community members, however, and as NASA has undoubtedly heard as well in public comment submissions on the Draft EIS, there are portions of the EIS that can be seen as being at variance with the commitments made to the Congress and the community. The EIS should be revised so that there is absolutely no question about NASA's commitment to live up to the AOC in full and to its commitments to the Congress and the impacted population near the site.
2. The draft EIS is curiously deficient in examining the environmental impacts of the extensive contamination of soil, groundwater, surface water, structures, and other media, the whole purpose of the cleanup action. There is a marked imbalance in emphasizing relatively minor impacts such as traffic and paying virtually no attention to the contamination. This is particularly the case in the almost non-existent discussion of the need for the project—remediating decades of environmental damage—and the impacts of the No Action alternative, which would leave soil and groundwater contaminated for centuries and allow continued discharges into offsite areas of contaminants at levels above pollution (NPDES) discharge limits. (We note that the Interim Source Removal Action (ISRA) imposed by the LA Regional Water

Quality Control Board is indeed merely an interim measure and to date has not been able to eliminate the exceedances of toxic benchmarks in releases offsite.)

3. On the other hand, the discussion of potential negative impacts of cleanup seems quite overblown. For example, the EIS implies there could be some damage to the Native American cave paintings at Burro Flats. But the AOC expressly exempts recognized Native American artifacts from the cleanup-to-background requirement.

There will therefore be no impact on the cave paintings. The EIS spends a great deal of time discussing traffic, yet fails to disclose how much traffic occurred over the years of operation, which included truck shipments of high level radioactive waste and very hazardous materials. The actual number of truck trips per hour for the cleanup estimated in fact seem quite modest, particularly if alternated over several routes. And the discussion of erosion and biological resource impacts ignores that much of the cleanup is to occur in the already-degraded areas where NASA stripped off the vegetation and graded in order to construct the test facilities from which much of the contamination was released, and that all of it is to occur where NASA polluted the land. In other words, the real situation is that NASA damaged the environment with land-scarring activities and pollution with very toxic materials, and that the AOC requires it now to remediate the environmental damage it has done.

4. The mitigation sections are weak. The EIS should, for example, require low-pollution vehicles (powered by electricity such as has been done at the LA Port or by natural gas). It could identify more routes than the three included in the EIS. It should require dispersing the shipments alternately over the different routes so that there are no more than a few trucks per hour per route once down the hill. NASA could reduce the number of shipments by increased emphasis on in situ treatment; indeed it estimates it could lower the number by a third this way, but there is no focus on making that a high priority. The EIS claims a certain number of trucks for taking clean fill up to SSFL, but there is no analysis as to why that would even be needed, nor any discussion of avoiding it by emphasis on on-site re-grading and use of an on-site borrow pit, which would also eliminate potential impacts of non-native seeds. But even if a bit of offsite soil were needed, there is no reason why the trucks going up to pick up contaminated soil can't take clean soil, instead of double-counting by assuming trucks travel to and from the site empty in one direction. The EIS should analyze improving an existing fire road leaving SSFL (including from Area IV, where DOE will face the same issue), and also consider taking the shipments to a rail spur for shipment by rail. While we do not necessarily endorse any particular option, we are concerned that NASA failed to analyze any mitigations, claiming simply they would cost money or take time.

Similarly, there is no real site restoration plan provided, e.g. re-vegetation. As indicated earlier, the site is already badly degraded by decades of NASA activities.

But once the pollution is remediated, NASA needs thoughtful plans for restoring the native vegetation that had been there before NASA was. That is lacking in the Draft EIS at present.

Conclusion

The signing of the AOC by NASA in 2010 and the entry into the binding commitments contained therein were milestones in a long struggle to rectify the environmental damage NASA had done by decades of inadequate environmental practices. The site is extensively contaminated with dozens of hazardous materials.

Full cleanup is essential.

NASA has committed to that full cleanup—cleanup to background. Now it is time for NASA to carry out those commitments in the AOC fully, and eliminate the contamination for which it is responsible. NASA has reiterated to the U.S. Congress in recent days its complete commitment to its obligations under the AOC. We urge NASA to proceed expeditiously and rigorously to carry out those AOC and Congressional commitments.

Barbara Johnson
Marie Mason
Bonnie Klea
Sheldon C. Plotkin, Ph.D., P.E.
Daniel Hirsch

From: [Meyer, Mary@Wildlife](mailto:Meyer.Mary@Wildlife)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Santa Susana Field Laboratory DEIS
Date: Friday, August 02, 2013 12:54:34 PM

Hi Allen,

Can I obtain a physical hard copy of the above DEIS for our review? And two diskettes? Sent to the address below.?

Mary E. Meyer
Staff Environmental Scientist
South Coast Region
California Department of Fish and Wildlife
226 W. Ojai Avenue Suite 101 PMB 501
Ojai CA 93024
(805)640-8019
Mary.Meyer@wildlife.ca.gov

From: [Susan Suntree](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Santa Susana Field Lab: NASA's Draft Environmental Impact Statement
Date: Wednesday, October 02, 2013 1:06:07 AM

To: Allen Elliot, Program Director;

I am writing to support a mitigation at the Santa Susana Field Lab that preserves the biological and cultural life of this landscape. It is profoundly valuable as open space, as habitat, and as a cultural repository. I am an award-winning writer who has published and lectured extensively about Southern California's natural history and indigenous cultural heritage. The Santa Susana Field Lab is one of the central locations noted in any talk and slide show that I give because of the geological and biological history demonstrated there and the mythically and ethnographically important sites within its boundaries.

Any mitigation of the area that scrapes it dry would create an unimaginable loss. I have visited the site several times and have seen the beauty of its oaks, bushes, flowers, and grasslands. Its beauty is enough reason to preserve it as open space. But we know that habitat for both flora and fauna in our region is seriously scarce. The Lab offers an opportunity to establish open space, wild life corridors, and multiple species protection. Destroying the landscape through radical actions only moves dirt from one site to a landfill --nothing has really changed except the serious cost of moving and keeping covered contaminated dirt. Why not leave it where it is?

I support allowing light, monitored public access around boundaries. Monitor dust, filter water that leaves the site, and monitor ground water. I, also, support keeping some of the rocket engine testing structures. These commemorate our nation's space exploration, including the good, the bad, and the ugly aspects.

I do not support building additional structures within the boundaries except for a small interpretive center with parking and public transportation access located at the periphery, so that no one enters areas deemed contaminated. People can view the site with telescopes as are often found on piers or other visitor centers with views. There should also be a web site with the Lab's history.

It is my hope that a responsible partnership with the Chumash will develop so that the sacred sites and anthropological treasures located there will be protected from public access except through guided tours. Pictographs and petroglyphs were once common throughout Southern California, but have been destroyed by vandalism so that very very few remain. The same future is in store for the Winter and Summer Solstice ritual sites, among others, on the Lab property if the area is not strictly protected. These treasures, (I call the Winter Solstice cave the Stonehenge of Southern California), would not exist today were it not for their being located within the guarded grounds of the Lab.

Thank you for taking into consideration my remarks. Please inform me of any decisions made about this property.

Sincerely,
Susan Suntree

From: [Sara Horner](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#); [Linda Parks](#); [Fran Pavley](#)
Subject: Santa Susana Field Lab Cleanup
Date: Monday, September 30, 2013 12:09:42 PM

Dear Mr. Elliott,

I am writing to let you know of my support for the clean up law signed between NASA and the state of California in 2010 which mandated a cleanup of all detectable contamination at the Santa Susana Field Laboratory. The current Draft Environmental Impact Statement does not address the issue appropriately.

The site, once fairly remote, has become increasing urbanized and the detrimental effects of the radioactive and chemical waste have thus significantly risen. Without adequate clean up the costs of the public health consequences and the financial obligations for NASA will increase dramatically. If taken care of appropriately and promptly both NASA and the regional population of this area will cease to be at risk.

Thank you for your attention to this matter.

Sara and James Horner

From: [helen.mcbride](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Santa Susana Field Lab Cleanup
Date: Sunday, September 29, 2013 6:26:12 PM

Dear Mr. Elliott,

I am writing to let you know of my concerns around the potential failure of NASA to honor it's 2010 agreement with the State of California to clean up all detectable environmental contamination at the Santa Susana Field Lab area.

The contamination in this area, which is adjacent to multiple single family home developments, is of great concern to those who live next to the site as well as those of us who live in the surrounding area.

We hope that NASA will honor its agreement to clean up contamination at the site and ensure that it is safe for those living near it.

All the best,
Helen McBride
Woodland Hills, CA

From: [marisa.parrotta](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Santa Susana Draft Environmental Impact Statement
Date: Monday, September 30, 2013 11:46:03 PM

Dear Mr. Elliott:

I write to urge NASA to fully live up to the commitments it made in its 2010 agreement with the State of California to clean up all the contamination that is found at its portion of the Santa Susana Field Laboratory, a nuclear and rocket testing site.

NASA activities led to widespread contamination of soil and groundwater with very toxic materials such as perchlorate, dioxins, and TCE. Some of the contaminants have been migrating offsite. As a local resident, I am very concerned about the potential health impacts of these materials and want the site cleaned up properly.

In 2010 NASA signed an agreement with California to clean up all the contamination to background levels, restoring the site to the condition it was in before it was polluted. NASA is responsible for the contamination, has promised to clean it all up, and should live up to those commitments and promptly and thoroughly clean up the site and carry out all the obligations it assumed when it entered into the cleanup agreement.

Sincerely,

marisa parrotta

From: [Davis Gortner](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Santa Susana Draft Environmental Impact Statement
Date: Saturday, September 28, 2013 1:18:12 PM

Dear Mr. Elliott:

I write to urge NASA to fully live up to the commitments it made in its 2010 agreement with the State of California to clean up all the contamination that is found at its portion of the Santa Susana Field Laboratory, a nuclear and rocket testing site.

NASA activities led to widespread contamination of soil and groundwater with very toxic materials such as perchlorate, dioxins, and TCE. Some of the contaminants have been migrating offsite. As a local resident, I am very concerned about the potential health impacts of these materials and want the site cleaned up properly.

In 2010 NASA signed an agreement with California to clean up all the contamination to background levels, restoring the site to the condition it was in before it was polluted. NASA is responsible for the contamination, has promised to clean it all up, and should live up to those commitments and promptly and thoroughly clean up the site and carry out all the obligations it assumed when it entered into the cleanup agreement.

Sincerely,

Davis Gortner

From: [Alex Ungar](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Santa Susana Draft Environmental Impact Statement
Date: Saturday, September 28, 2013 1:18:37 PM

Dear Mr. Elliott:

I write to urge NASA to fully live up to the commitments it made in its 2010 agreement with the State of California to clean up all the contamination that is found at its portion of the Santa Susana Field Laboratory, a nuclear and rocket testing site.

NASA activities led to widespread contamination of soil and groundwater with very toxic materials such as perchlorate, dioxins, and TCE. Some of the contaminants have been migrating offsite. As a local resident, I am very concerned about the potential health impacts of these materials and want the site cleaned up properly.

In 2010 NASA signed an agreement with California to clean up all the contamination to background levels, restoring the site to the condition it was in before it was polluted. NASA is responsible for the contamination, has promised to clean it all up, and should live up to those commitments and promptly and thoroughly clean up the site and carry out all the obligations it assumed when it entered into the cleanup agreement.

Sincerely,

Alex Ungar

From: [Ava Ungar](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Santa Susana Draft Environmental Impact Statement
Date: Saturday, September 28, 2013 1:19:54 PM

Dear Mr. Elliott:

I write to urge NASA to fully live up to the commitments it made in its 2010 agreement with the State of California to clean up all the contamination that is found at its portion of the Santa Susana Field Laboratory, a nuclear and rocket testing site.

NASA activities led to widespread contamination of soil and groundwater with very toxic materials such as perchlorate, dioxins, and TCE. Some of the contaminants have been migrating offsite. As a local resident, I am very concerned about the potential health impacts of these materials and want the site cleaned up properly.

In 2010 NASA signed an agreement with California to clean up all the contamination to background levels, restoring the site to the condition it was in before it was polluted. NASA is responsible for the contamination, has promised to clean it all up, and should live up to those commitments and promptly and thoroughly clean up the site and carry out all the obligations it assumed when it entered into the cleanup agreement.

Sincerely,

Ava Ungar

From: [Alfred Stutz](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Santa Susana Draft Environmental Impact Statement
Date: Saturday, September 28, 2013 1:20:02 PM

Dear Mr. Elliott:

I write to urge NASA to fully live up to the commitments it made in its 2010 agreement with the State of California to clean up all the contamination that is found at its portion of the Santa Susana Field Laboratory, a nuclear and rocket testing site.

NASA activities led to widespread contamination of soil and groundwater with very toxic materials such as perchlorate, dioxins, and TCE. Some of the contaminants have been migrating offsite. As a local resident, I am very concerned about the potential health impacts of these materials and want the site cleaned up properly.

In 2010 NASA signed an agreement with California to clean up all the contamination to background levels, restoring the site to the condition it was in before it was polluted. NASA is responsible for the contamination, has promised to clean it all up, and should live up to those commitments and promptly and thoroughly clean up the site and carry out all the obligations it assumed when it entered into the cleanup agreement.

Sincerely,

Alfred Stutz

From: [Alejandro oro](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Santa Susana Draft Environmental Impact Statement
Date: Saturday, September 28, 2013 1:28:14 PM

Dear Mr. Elliott:

I write to urge NASA to fully live up to the commitments it made in its 2010 agreement with the State of California to clean up all the contamination that is found at its portion of the Santa Susana Field Laboratory, a nuclear and rocket testing site.

NASA activities led to widespread contamination of soil and groundwater with very toxic materials such as perchlorate, dioxins, and TCE. Some of the contaminants have been migrating offsite. As a local resident, I am very concerned about the potential health impacts of these materials and want the site cleaned up properly.

In 2010 NASA signed an agreement with California to clean up all the contamination to background levels, restoring the site to the condition it was in before it was polluted. NASA is responsible for the contamination, has promised to clean it all up, and should live up to those commitments and promptly and thoroughly clean up the site and carry out all the obligations it assumed when it entered into the cleanup agreement.

Sincerely,

Alejandro oro

From: [Ian Johnson](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Santa Susana Draft Environmental Impact Statement
Date: Saturday, September 28, 2013 2:27:43 PM

Dear Mr. Elliott:

I write to urge NASA to fully live up to the commitments it made in its 2010 agreement with the State of California to clean up all the contamination that is found at its portion of the Santa Susana Field Laboratory, a nuclear and rocket testing site.

NASA activities led to widespread contamination of soil and groundwater with very toxic materials such as perchlorate, dioxins, and TCE. Some of the contaminants have been migrating offsite. As a local resident, I am very concerned about the potential health impacts of these materials and want the site cleaned up properly.

In 2010 NASA signed an agreement with California to clean up all the contamination to background levels, restoring the site to the condition it was in before it was polluted. NASA is responsible for the contamination, has promised to clean it all up, and should live up to those commitments and promptly and thoroughly clean up the site and carry out all the obligations it assumed when it entered into the cleanup agreement.

Sincerely,

Ian Johnson

From: [Ian Johnson](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Santa Susana Draft Environmental Impact Statement
Date: Saturday, September 28, 2013 2:27:43 PM

Dear Mr. Elliott:

I write to urge NASA to fully live up to the commitments it made in its 2010 agreement with the State of California to clean up all the contamination that is found at its portion of the Santa Susana Field Laboratory, a nuclear and rocket testing site.

NASA activities led to widespread contamination of soil and groundwater with very toxic materials such as perchlorate, dioxins, and TCE. Some of the contaminants have been migrating offsite. As a local resident, I am very concerned about the potential health impacts of these materials and want the site cleaned up properly.

In 2010 NASA signed an agreement with California to clean up all the contamination to background levels, restoring the site to the condition it was in before it was polluted. NASA is responsible for the contamination, has promised to clean it all up, and should live up to those commitments and promptly and thoroughly clean up the site and carry out all the obligations it assumed when it entered into the cleanup agreement.

Sincerely,

Ian Johnson

From: [Niccolo Posarelli](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Santa Susana Draft Environmental Impact Statement
Date: Sunday, September 29, 2013 10:27:35 AM

Dear Mr. Elliott:

I write to urge NASA to fully live up to the commitments it made in its 2010 agreement with the State of California to clean up all the contamination that is found at its portion of the Santa Susana Field Laboratory, a nuclear and rocket testing site.

NASA activities led to widespread contamination of soil and groundwater with very toxic materials such as perchlorate, dioxins, and TCE. Some of the contaminants have been migrating offsite. As a local resident, I am very concerned about the potential health impacts of these materials and want the site cleaned up properly.

In 2010 NASA signed an agreement with California to clean up all the contamination to background levels, restoring the site to the condition it was in before it was polluted. NASA is responsible for the contamination, has promised to clean it all up, and should live up to those commitments and promptly and thoroughly clean up the site and carry out all the obligations it assumed when it entered into the cleanup agreement.

Sincerely,

Niccolo Posarelli

From: [Natalie Kuhny](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Santa Susana Draft Environmental Impact Statement
Date: Monday, September 30, 2013 12:08:55 PM

Dear Mr. Elliott:

I write to urge NASA to fully live up to the commitments it made in its 2010 agreement with the State of California to clean up all the contamination that is found at its portion of the Santa Susana Field Laboratory, a nuclear and rocket testing site.

NASA activities led to widespread contamination of soil and groundwater with very toxic materials such as perchlorate, dioxins, and TCE. Some of the contaminants have been migrating offsite. As a local resident, I am very concerned about the potential health impacts of these materials and want the site cleaned up properly.

In 2010 NASA signed an agreement with California to clean up all the contamination to background levels, restoring the site to the condition it was in before it was polluted. NASA is responsible for the contamination, has promised to clean it all up, and should live up to those commitments and promptly and thoroughly clean up the site and carry out all the obligations it assumed when it entered into the cleanup agreement.

Sincerely,

Natalie Kuhny

From: [Carina Clingman](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Santa Susana Draft Environmental Impact Statement
Date: Monday, September 30, 2013 12:17:16 PM

Dear Mr. Elliott:

I write to urge NASA to fully live up to the commitments it made in its 2010 agreement with the State of California to clean up all the contamination that is found at its portion of the Santa Susana Field Laboratory, a nuclear and rocket testing site.

NASA activities led to widespread contamination of soil and groundwater with very toxic materials such as perchlorate, dioxins, and TCE. Some of the contaminants have been migrating offsite. As a local resident, I am very concerned about the potential health impacts of these materials and want the site cleaned up properly.

In 2010 NASA signed an agreement with California to clean up all the contamination to background levels, restoring the site to the condition it was in before it was polluted. NASA is responsible for the contamination, has promised to clean it all up, and should live up to those commitments and promptly and thoroughly clean up the site and carry out all the obligations it assumed when it entered into the cleanup agreement.

Sincerely,

Carina Clingman

From: [Brandon Pipersky](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Santa Susana Draft Environmental Impact Statement
Date: Monday, September 30, 2013 12:39:43 PM

Dear Mr. Elliott:

I write to urge NASA to fully live up to the commitments it made in its 2010 agreement with the State of California to clean up all the contamination that is found at its portion of the Santa Susana Field Laboratory, a nuclear and rocket testing site.

NASA activities led to widespread contamination of soil and groundwater with very toxic materials such as perchlorate, dioxins, and TCE. Some of the contaminants have been migrating offsite. As a local resident, I am very concerned about the potential health impacts of these materials and want the site cleaned up properly.

From: [Ross Ribons](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Santa Susana Draft Environmental Impact Statement
Date: Monday, September 30, 2013 12:43:31 PM

Dear Mr. Elliott:

I write to urge NASA to fully live up to the commitments it made in its 2010 agreement with the State of California to clean up all the contamination that is found at its portion of the Santa Susana Field Laboratory, a nuclear and rocket testing site.

NASA activities led to widespread contamination of soil and groundwater with very toxic materials such as perchlorate, dioxins, and TCE. Some of the contaminants have been migrating offsite. As a local resident, I am very concerned about the potential health impacts of these materials and want the site cleaned up properly.

In 2010 NASA signed an agreement with California to clean up all the contamination to background levels, restoring the site to the condition it was in before it was polluted. NASA is responsible for the contamination, has promised to clean it all up, and should live up to those commitments and promptly and thoroughly clean up the site and carry out all the obligations it assumed when it entered into the cleanup agreement.

Sincerely,

Ross Ribons

From: [Borna Kassiri](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Santa Susana Draft Environmental Impact Statement
Date: Monday, September 30, 2013 1:16:27 PM

Dear Mr. Elliott:

I write to urge NASA to fully live up to the commitments it made in its 2010 agreement with the State of California to clean up all the contamination that is found at its portion of the Santa Susana Field Laboratory, a nuclear and rocket testing site.

NASA activities led to widespread contamination of soil and groundwater with very toxic materials such as perchlorate, dioxins, and TCE. Some of the contaminants have been migrating offsite. As a local resident, I am very concerned about the potential health impacts of these materials and want the site cleaned up properly.

In 2010 NASA signed an agreement with California to clean up all the contamination to background levels, restoring the site to the condition it was in before it was polluted. NASA is responsible for the contamination, has promised to clean it all up, and should live up to those commitments and promptly and thoroughly clean up the site and carry out all the obligations it assumed when it entered into the cleanup agreement.

Sincerely,

Borna Kassiri

From: [Elizabeth Andreyev](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Santa Susana Draft Environmental Impact Statement
Date: Monday, September 30, 2013 1:36:45 PM

Dear Mr. Elliott:

I write to urge NASA to fully live up to the commitments it made in its 2010 agreement with the State of California to clean up all the contamination that is found at its portion of the Santa Susana Field Laboratory, a nuclear and rocket testing site.

NASA activities led to widespread contamination of soil and groundwater with very toxic materials such as perchlorate, dioxins, and TCE. Some of the contaminants have been migrating offsite. As a local resident, I am very concerned about the potential health impacts of these materials and want the site cleaned up properly.

In 2010 NASA signed an agreement with California to clean up all the contamination to background levels, restoring the site to the condition it was in before it was polluted. NASA is responsible for the contamination, has promised to clean it all up, and should live up to those commitments and promptly and thoroughly clean up the site and carry out all the obligations it assumed when it entered into the cleanup agreement.

Sincerely,

Elizabeth Andreyev

From: [Grayson Phillips](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Santa Susana Draft Environmental Impact Statement
Date: Monday, September 30, 2013 1:57:00 PM

Dear Mr. Elliott:

I write to urge NASA to fully live up to the commitments it made in its 2010 agreement with the State of California to clean up all the contamination that is found at its portion of the Santa Susana Field Laboratory, a nuclear and rocket testing site.

NASA activities led to widespread contamination of soil and groundwater with very toxic materials such as perchlorate, dioxins, and TCE. Some of the contaminants have been migrating offsite. As a local resident, I am very concerned about the potential health impacts of these materials and want the site cleaned up properly.

In 2010 NASA signed an agreement with California to clean up all the contamination to background levels, restoring the site to the condition it was in before it was polluted. NASA is responsible for the contamination, has promised to clean it all up, and should live up to those commitments and promptly and thoroughly clean up the site and carry out all the obligations it assumed when it entered into the cleanup agreement.

Sincerely,

Grayson Phillips

From: [Lindsay Scott](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Santa Susana Draft Environmental Impact Statement
Date: Monday, September 30, 2013 1:58:35 PM

Dear Mr. Elliott:

I write to urge NASA to fully live up to the commitments it made in its 2010 agreement with the State of California to clean up all the contamination that is found at its portion of the Santa Susana Field Laboratory, a nuclear and rocket testing site.

NASA activities led to widespread contamination of soil and groundwater with very toxic materials such as perchlorate, dioxins, and TCE. Some of the contaminants have been migrating offsite. As a local resident, I am very concerned about the potential health impacts of these materials and want the site cleaned up properly.

In 2010 NASA signed an agreement with California to clean up all the contamination to background levels, restoring the site to the condition it was in before it was polluted. NASA is responsible for the contamination, has promised to clean it all up, and should live up to those commitments and promptly and thoroughly clean up the site and carry out all the obligations it assumed when it entered into the cleanup agreement.

Sincerely,

Lindsay Scott

From: [Diana DELGADO](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Santa Susana Draft Environmental Impact Statement
Date: Monday, September 30, 2013 2:13:30 PM

Dear Mr. Elliott:

I write to urge NASA to fully live up to the commitments it made in its 2010 agreement with the State of California to clean up all the contamination that is found at its portion of the Santa Susana Field Laboratory, a nuclear and rocket testing site.

NASA activities led to widespread contamination of soil and groundwater with very toxic materials such as perchlorate, dioxins, and TCE. Some of the contaminants have been migrating offsite. As a local resident, I am very concerned about the potential health impacts of these materials and want the site cleaned up properly.

In 2010 NASA signed an agreement with California to clean up all the contamination to background levels, restoring the site to the condition it was in before it was polluted. NASA is responsible for the contamination, has promised to clean it all up, and should live up to those commitments and promptly and thoroughly clean up the site and carry out all the obligations it assumed when it entered into the cleanup agreement.

Sincerely,

Diana DELGADO

From: [Juan Garcia](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Santa Susana Draft Environmental Impact Statement
Date: Monday, September 30, 2013 2:16:54 PM

Dear Mr. Elliott:

I write to urge NASA to fully live up to the commitments it made in its 2010 agreement with the State of California to clean up all the contamination that is found at its portion of the Santa Susana Field Laboratory, a nuclear and rocket testing site.

NASA activities led to widespread contamination of soil and groundwater with very toxic materials such as perchlorate, dioxins, and TCE. Some of the contaminants have been migrating offsite. As a local resident, I am very concerned about the potential health impacts of these materials and want the site cleaned up properly.

In 2010 NASA signed an agreement with California to clean up all the contamination to background levels, restoring the site to the condition it was in before it was polluted. NASA is responsible for the contamination, has promised to clean it all up, and should live up to those commitments and promptly and thoroughly clean up the site and carry out all the obligations it assumed when it entered into the cleanup agreement.

Sincerely,

Juan Garcia

From: [Violet Paley-Conway](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Santa Susana Draft Environmental Impact Statement
Date: Monday, September 30, 2013 4:05:21 PM

Dear Mr. Elliott:

I write to urge NASA to fully live up to the commitments it made in its 2010 agreement with the State of California to clean up all the contamination that is found at its portion of the Santa Susana Field Laboratory, a nuclear and rocket testing site.

NASA activities led to widespread contamination of soil and groundwater with very toxic materials such as perchlorate, dioxins, and TCE. Some of the contaminants have been migrating offsite. As a local resident, I am very concerned about the potential health impacts of these materials and want the site cleaned up properly.

In 2010 NASA signed an agreement with California to clean up all the contamination to background levels, restoring the site to the condition it was in before it was polluted. NASA is responsible for the contamination, has promised to clean it all up, and should live up to those commitments and promptly and thoroughly clean up the site and carry out all the obligations it assumed when it entered into the cleanup agreement.

Sincerely,

Violet Paley-Conway

From: [Ilan Sobel](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Santa Susana Draft Environmental Impact Statement
Date: Monday, September 30, 2013 4:15:14 PM

Dear Mr. Elliott:

I write to urge NASA to fully live up to the commitments it made in its 2010 agreement with the State of California to clean up all the contamination that is found at its portion of the Santa Susana Field Laboratory, a nuclear and rocket testing site.

NASA activities led to widespread contamination of soil and groundwater with very toxic materials such as perchlorate, dioxins, and TCE. Some of the contaminants have been migrating offsite. As a local resident, I am very concerned about the potential health impacts of these materials and want the site cleaned up properly.

In 2010 NASA signed an agreement with California to clean up all the contamination to background levels, restoring the site to the condition it was in before it was polluted. NASA is responsible for the contamination, has promised to clean it all up, and should live up to those commitments and promptly and thoroughly clean up the site and carry out all the obligations it assumed when it entered into the cleanup agreement.

Sincerely,

Ilan Sobel

From: [Arany Uthayakumar](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Santa Susana Draft Environmental Impact Statement
Date: Monday, September 30, 2013 4:35:17 PM

Dear Mr. Elliott:

I write to urge NASA to fully live up to the commitments it made in its 2010 agreement with the State of California to clean up all the contamination that is found at its portion of the Santa Susana Field Laboratory, a nuclear and rocket testing site.

NASA activities led to widespread contamination of soil and groundwater with very toxic materials such as perchlorate, dioxins, and TCE. Some of the contaminants have been migrating offsite. As a local resident, I am very concerned about the potential health impacts of these materials and want the site cleaned up properly.

In 2010 NASA signed an agreement with California to clean up all the contamination to background levels, restoring the site to the condition it was in before it was polluted. NASA is responsible for the contamination, has promised to clean it all up, and should live up to those commitments and promptly and thoroughly clean up the site and carry out all the obligations it assumed when it entered into the cleanup agreement.

Sincerely,
Arany

Arany Uthayakumar

From: [Kristy Moorman](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Santa Susana Draft Environmental Impact Statement
Date: Monday, September 30, 2013 5:39:25 PM

Dear Mr. Elliott:

I write to urge NASA to fully live up to the commitments it made in its 2010 agreement with the State of California to clean up all the contamination that is found at its portion of the Santa Susana Field Laboratory, a nuclear and rocket testing site.

NASA activities led to widespread contamination of soil and groundwater with very toxic materials such as perchlorate, dioxins, and TCE. Some of the contaminants have been migrating offsite. As a local resident, I am very concerned about the potential health impacts of these materials and want the site cleaned up properly.

In 2010 NASA signed an agreement with California to clean up all the contamination to background levels, restoring the site to the condition it was in before it was polluted. NASA is responsible for the contamination, has promised to clean it all up, and should live up to those commitments and promptly and thoroughly clean up the site and carry out all the obligations it assumed when it entered into the cleanup agreement.

Sincerely,

Kristy Moorman

From: [Dave Moorman](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Santa Susana Draft Environmental Impact Statement
Date: Monday, September 30, 2013 6:23:30 PM

Dear Mr. Elliott:

I apologize for participating in besieging you with an electronic email campaign, but I want you to be clear that although this effort has been coordinated by a small dedicated group of young people who care deeply about seeing forward motion on the cleanup of the Santa Susana site, it is representative of a larger belief in our government taking responsibility for past actions. So please act to urge your administration to focus on taking care of this issue without further delay.

I write to urge NASA to fully live up to the commitments it made in its 2010 agreement with the State of California to clean up all the contamination that is found at its portion of the Santa Susana Field Laboratory, a nuclear and rocket testing site.

NASA activities led to widespread contamination of soil and groundwater with very toxic materials such as perchlorate, dioxins, and TCE. Some of the contaminants have been migrating offsite. As a local resident, I am very concerned about the potential health impacts of these materials and want the site cleaned up properly.

In 2010 NASA signed an agreement with California to clean up all the contamination to background levels, restoring the site to the condition it was in before it was polluted. NASA is responsible for the contamination, has promised to clean it all up, and should live up to those commitments and promptly and thoroughly clean up the site and carry out all the obligations it assumed when it entered into the cleanup agreement.

Sincerely,

Dave Moorman

From: [Michael Hu](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Santa Susana Draft Environmental Impact Statement
Date: Monday, September 30, 2013 7:02:17 PM

Dear Mr. Elliott:

I write to urge NASA to fully live up to the commitments it made in its 2010 agreement with the State of California to clean up all the contamination that is found at its portion of the Santa Susana Field Laboratory, a nuclear and rocket testing site.

NASA activities led to widespread contamination of soil and groundwater with very toxic materials such as perchlorate, dioxins, and TCE. Some of the contaminants have been migrating offsite. As a local resident, I am very concerned about the potential health impacts of these materials and want the site cleaned up properly.

In 2010 NASA signed an agreement with California to clean up all the contamination to background levels, restoring the site to the condition it was in before it was polluted. NASA is responsible for the contamination, has promised to clean it all up, and should live up to those commitments and promptly and thoroughly clean up the site and carry out all the obligations it assumed when it entered into the cleanup agreement.

Sincerely,

Michael Hu

From: [Sandra Capaldi](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: You PROMISED!! No more delay tactics
Date: Saturday, September 28, 2013 3:36:19 PM

Dear Mr. Elliott,

I am a long time resident of the Susana Knolls - a beautiful area with the terrible history of having massive chemical pollution nearby. .

NASA signed the Agreement on Constant (AOC) with the State of California in 2010 to cleanup it's property at SSFL to background levels. It was a happy day - the contamination would be taken care of and we would finally be rid of this terrible burden.

Since NASA has released it's Draft Environmental Impact Report, I can see the importance of NASA following the AOC to the letter, period. The DEIS reveals the large extent of contamination NASA has created close to our community. The AOC requires that the contaminants be cleaned up and we expect you to live up to your commitments to do so.

Massive amounts of contaminants are present under the rocket test stands and leaving those in place would be a violation of the AOC - to clean it ALL up, not just the easier stuff.

Many. many of us positively commented and supported the AOCs over 3700 - we ARE aware of the details. This is the only way that our neighborhood can be safe ad free of this terrible contamination!!!

This is our home. We love it here - we have been lied to from the very start with this contamination being covered up for so very long. It is past time for all of the polluters involved to make it right and stop trying to weasel out of their responsibilities. NASA MUST fully comply with all of its obligations under the AOC.

Sincerely,
Sandy Capaldi

Sandra Capaldi

From: [Richard Browne](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: We are so glad we can count on NASA
Date: Saturday, September 28, 2013 4:57:26 PM

Dear Mr. Elliott,

We chose the area near the Santa Susana Field Laboratory (SSFL), To raise our family having no idea of the potential hazards. We have always supported NASA in anyway possible touring JPL with our kids etc. I want them to connect to their science and realize these are real people that make heroic things happen. I am now deeply concerned that the site may not be fully cleaned up. I support the agreement that was made in 2010, when NASA agreed to clean up its property at SSFL to background levels of contaminants, meaning that it would clean up ALL the contamination that it found. This is what the community had wanted all along!

NASA's portion of SSFL is extremely contaminated with TCE, perchlorate, PCBs, dioxins and heavy metals - all of which can have serious health impacts for anyone who is exposed. And, the contamination is prone to migrate from the site, putting all of us who live nearby at risk.

So, it is very important for me to comment on the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) that NASA recently published. NASA must absolutely uphold all of the commitments it has made to the State of California and to our community in the 2010 agreement to clean up SSFL to background.

This cleanup agreement (Agreement on Consent or AOC) has sensible provisions for the protection of endangered species and Native American artifacts. It does not, however, allow NASA to evade cleaning up contamination by trying to avoid demolishing the crumbling rocket test stands, which is where much of the pollution is located. It's not possible to clean up beneath those test stands without getting them out of the way first. And the AOC commits NASA to cleaning up all the toxic material in the soil, including around and beneath those test stands and other structures.

If the contamination at SSFL is not fully cleaned up, it will continue to threaten us locals every time the wind blows or it rains, causing the toxic materials to migrate offsite to neighboring areas.

We just know you'll do the right thing because you are a real life hero that can make science frontiers an advantage to mankind not just another way we hurt each other. Stop trying to resist fulfilling what NASA promised to do. Fulfill your obligations under the AOC to the letter, fully complying with all of its provisions to clean up the Santa Susana Field Laboratory to background levels. Those of us who live near the site are the ones who will be affected most.

Sincerely,

Richard Browne

From: [Christine Rowe](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Cc: [Elliott, Allen \(MSFC-AS01\)](#); [Fellows, Merrilee \(HQ-LD000\)](#)
Subject: Watersheds
Date: Monday, September 23, 2013 2:56:55 AM

Dear Mr. Elliott,

As I read this article today on a water fund in Mexico, I thought of NASA Santa Susana. What will happen to our watersheds if you remove all of the vegetation and at least two feet of the top soil on more than 100 acres - a quarter of the U.S. Government property?

<http://blog.nature.org/conservancy/2013/09/18/new-water-fund-in-mexico-for-people-and-nature/>

How many wetlands areas will remain after all of the holding ponds on the U.S. Government property have been remediated? Isn't what the NASA DEIS proposes, and what DTSC agreed to in the Administrative Order on Consent, extremely short sighted?

I respectfully request that NASA and DTSC take the AOCs back to the "drawing board" - and maybe lose them in a stack of paper.

Respectfully,

Christine L. Rowe

From: [Christine Rowe](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Cc: [Elliott, Allen \(MSFC-AS01\)](#); [Fellows, Merrilee \(HQ-LD000\)](#)
Subject: Valley Fever 2
Date: Monday, September 23, 2013 3:46:08 AM

Dear Mr. Elliott,

At the NASA DEIS meeting, one stakeholder brought up "Valley Fever" in the area. I have already sent one public comment in on this issue.

Today, I read an article in "ReportingonHealth.org" called: "

Just One Breath: Valley Fever Deserves More Ink in Scientific Journals".

<http://www.reportingonhealth.org/valleyfever/just-one-breath-valley-fever-deserves-more-ink-scientific-journals>

This story covered the fact that the CDC and the NIH will be in Bakersfield, California today to hear about that community's concerns about Valley Fever. It also discusses the amount of research that has been done on that illness v hantavirus which has a much lower incidence.

This story in *Scientific American* from last year,

"Valley Fever on the Rise in U.S. Southwest, with Links to Climate

Change": [http://www.scientificamerican.com/article.cfm?id=valley-fever-on-the-rise-in-us-](http://www.scientificamerican.com/article.cfm?id=valley-fever-on-the-rise-in-us-southwest)

[southwest](#), **states: "These spores can break off in the desert wind, lofted to areas where people can breathe them in and causing coccidioidomycosis, also known as valley fever. "Only a few spores are needed to cause the disease," Lauer said."**

While NASA is not the only agency or party that is responsible for the contamination at Santa Susana, NASA should be making a decision on cleanup that is not just based on "Background" or the ability to detect a chemical or radionuclide in a lab - the lowest repeatable level. There must be a real health risk based decision before NASA digs more soil above the levels cleaned up to date under the Imminent and Substantial Endangerment Order for the Northern Drainage ordered by DTSC, and the Interim Source Removal Action ordered by the Waterboard.

Based on these articles, just a few spores is enough to cause this disease - especially in a period of drought such as the one that we are facing at Santa Susana today.

I respectfully request that NASA approach their medical doctors - I know that NASA does have MDs on their staff - to discuss the real risks to the community from

illnesses such as Valley Fever.

Respectfully,

Christine L. Rowe

***West Hills resident - in the prevailing winds area of the NASA Santa Susana Field Lab property;
within ~ the one mile NASA proposed south on Topanga truck route***

From: [Jan Boudart](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: The National Aeronautics and Space Administration is the agency of the United States government!
Exceptional? Maybe.
Date: Wednesday, September 25, 2013 6:07:25 PM

Please keep your obligation to CLEAN UP AFTER YOURSELF at Santa Susana!!!

Over decades, NASA contaminated the Santa Susana Field Lab with dioxins, PCBs, perchlorate, heavy metals, TCE and other volatile organic compounds. Hundreds of thousands of people live nearby. A federal study showed that people living closer to the site have higher rates of thyroid, bladder, blood and lymph cancers than people living further away.

In 2010, NASA signed a legally binding agreement with California to clean up all contamination that could be detected. But Boeing, NASA's contractor at the site and owner of most of the site, has been pushing to block full cleanup of most of the site. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement recently released by NASA that barely mentions the contamination raises questions about whether NASA is trying to break out of the cleanup agreement it signed.

It is critical that NASA live up to the commitments it made in the 2010 cleanup agreement. I strongly urge NASA to meet its responsibilities regarding the toxic contamination it created. Do what you promised to do--fully clean up the site.

With passion! Jan Boudart, Rogers Park, Chicago

Jan Boudart

From: [Art Galvan](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Test Stands
Date: Friday, September 06, 2013 12:31:33 PM

It would be a great loss to have the test stands demolished, they represent a part of history. Why could they not be carefully disassembled rather than just cut up and scrapped. It most likely would not cost any more to do it this way, it is only a change in how they would be removed. They could then be saved for a future historical display. Please consider this as an alternative, these structures are not replaceable.

Thank You.
Arthur T. Galvan

From: [Debra Mancuso](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Susana Knolls Resident since 1967 on SSFL Environmental Impact Statement
Date: Sunday, September 29, 2013 8:29:55 PM

Dear Mr. Elliott,

I grew up in and now own a home in the Santa Susana Knolls, just beneath the Santa Susana Field Lab (SSFL). I love living in this uniquely beautiful community, and I live in fear of the SSFL, with its witches brew of chemically hazardous contaminants nearby.

I long for the day that SSFL is cleaned up. I thought I might finally be able to envision that day in 2010, when NASA signed the Agreement on Consent (AOC) with the State of California to cleanup its property at SSFL to background levels. I was thrilled beyond measure. Finally, finally the contamination would be entirely cleaned up.

Now that NASA has released its Draft Environmental Impact Report, I am even more emphatic that NASA must follow the AOC to the letter, period. The DEIS truly shows the large amount of contamination NASA has created close to our community. The AOC requires it all be cleaned up, and we expect you to live up to your commitments to do so.

Significant amounts of that pollution are located under the rocket test stands. The AOC requires it all be cleaned up, so talk of leaving the rusting test stands in place would seem to violate the requirement of cleaning up the contamination beneath them. You can't remove the contamination under them without getting them out of the way. I am also pleased that the AOC provides sufficient protection for endangered species and Native American artifacts.

There is a reason that vast majority of the community that commented when the AOCs were proposed, 3,700 in fact, supported the AOCs. And that is because we know it is the only way we can truly be protected from SSFL's harmful toxins.

We who live in the Knolls have waited long enough. I want NASA to fully comply with all of its obligations under the AOC.

Sincerely,

Debra Mancuso

From: [Margaret Lapham-Kennedy](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Susana Knolls Resident Comment on SSFL Environmental Impact Statement
Date: Tuesday, October 01, 2013 12:58:17 PM

Dear Mr. Elliott,

I live in the Santa Susana Knolls, just beneath the Santa Susana Field Lab (SSFL). I have the distinct pleasure of living in a uniquely beautiful community, and the distinct displeasure of knowing that SSFL, with its witches brew of chemically hazardous contaminants, is nearby.

I long for the day that SSFL is cleaned up. I thought I might finally be able to envision that day in 2010, when NASA signed the Agreement on Consent (AOC) with the State of California to cleanup its property at SSFL to background levels. I was thrilled beyond measure. Finally, finally the contamination would be entirely cleaned up.

Now that NASA has released its Draft Environmental Impact Report, I am even more emphatic that NASA must follow the AOC to the letter, period. The DEIS truly shows the large amount of contamination NASA has created close to our community. The AOC requires it all be cleaned up, and we expect you to live up to your commitments to do so.

Significant amounts of that pollution are located under the rocket test stands. The AOC requires it all be cleaned up, so talk of leaving the rusting test stands in place would seem to violate the requirement of cleaning up the contamination beneath them. You can't remove the contamination under them without getting them out of the way. I am also pleased that the AOC provides sufficient protection for endangered species and Native American artifacts.

There is a reason that vast majority of the community that commented when the AOCs were proposed, 3,700 in fact, supported the AOCs. And that is because we know it is the only way we can truly be protected from SSFL's harmful toxins.

We who live in the Knolls have waited long enough. I want NASA to fully comply with all of its obligations under the AOC.

Sincerely,

Margaret Lapham-Kennedy

From: [Jeff Pettus](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Susana Knolls Resident Comment on SSFL Environmental Impact Statement
Date: Saturday, September 28, 2013 3:33:52 PM

Dear Mr. Elliott,

I live in the Santa Susana Knolls, just beneath the Santa Susana Field Lab (SSFL). I have the distinct pleasure of living in a uniquely beautiful community, and the distinct displeasure of knowing that SSFL, with its witches brew of chemically hazardous contaminants, is nearby.

I long for the day that SSFL is cleaned up. I thought I might finally be able to envision that day in 2010, when NASA signed the Agreement on Consent (AOC) with the State of California to cleanup its property at SSFL to background levels. I was thrilled beyond measure. Finally, finally the contamination would be entirely cleaned up.

Now that NASA has released its Draft Environmental Impact Report, I am even more emphatic that NASA must follow the AOC to the letter, period. The DEIS truly shows the large amount of contamination NASA has created close to our community. The AOC requires it all be cleaned up, and we expect you to live up to your commitments to do so.

Significant amounts of that pollution are located under the rocket test stands. The AOC requires it all be cleaned up, so talk of leaving the rusting test stands in place would seem to violate the requirement of cleaning up the contamination beneath them. You can't remove the contamination under them without getting them out of the way. I am also pleased that the AOC provides sufficient protection for endangered species and Native American artifacts.

There is a reason that vast majority of the community that commented when the AOCs were proposed, 3700 in fact, supported the AOCs. And that is because we know it is the only way we can truly be protected from SSFL's harmful toxins.

We who live in the Knolls have waited long enough. I want NASA to fully comply with all of its obligations under the AOC.

Sincerely,

Jeff Pettus

From: [Brian Page](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Susana Knolls Resident Comment on SSFL Environmental Impact Statement
Date: Saturday, September 28, 2013 3:37:06 PM

Dear Mr. Elliott,

I live in the Santa Susana Knolls, just beneath the Santa Susana Field Lab (SSFL). I have the distinct pleasure of living in a uniquely beautiful community, and the distinct displeasure of knowing that SSFL, with its witches brew of chemically hazardous contaminants, is nearby.

I long for the day that SSFL is cleaned up. I thought I might finally be able to envision that day in 2010, when NASA signed the Agreement on Consent (AOC) with the State of California to cleanup its property at SSFL to background levels. I was thrilled beyond measure. Finally, finally the contamination would be entirely cleaned up.

Now that NASA has released its Draft Environmental Impact Report, I am even more emphatic that NASA must follow the AOC to the letter, period. The DEIS truly shows the large amount of contamination NASA has created close to our community. The AOC requires it all be cleaned up, and we expect you to live up to your commitments to do so.

Significant amounts of that pollution are located under the rocket test stands. The AOC requires it all be cleaned up, so talk of leaving the rusting test stands in place would seem to violate the requirement of cleaning up the contamination beneath them. You can't remove the contamination under them without getting them out of the way. I am also pleased that the AOC provides sufficient protection for endangered species and Native American artifacts.

There is a reason that vast majority of the community that commented when the AOCs were proposed, 3700 in fact, supported the AOCs. And that is because we know it is the only way we can truly be protected from SSFL's harmful toxins.

We who live in the Knolls have waited long enough. I want NASA to fully comply with all of its obligations under the AOC.

Sincerely,

Brian Page

From: [Edward Hall](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Susana Knolls Resident Comment on SSFL Environmental Impact Statement
Date: Saturday, September 28, 2013 3:51:37 PM

Dear Mr. Elliott,

I live in the Santa Susana Knolls, just beneath the Santa Susana Field Lab (SSFL). I have the distinct pleasure of living in a uniquely beautiful community, and the distinct displeasure of knowing that SSFL, with its witches brew of chemically hazardous contaminants, is nearby.

I long for the day that SSFL is cleaned up. I thought I might finally be able to envision that day in 2010, when NASA signed the Agreement on Consent (AOC) with the State of California to cleanup its property at SSFL to background levels. I was thrilled beyond measure. Finally, finally the contamination would be entirely cleaned up.

Now that NASA has released its Draft Environmental Impact Report, I am even more emphatic that NASA must follow the AOC to the letter, period. The DEIS truly shows the large amount of contamination NASA has created close to our community. The AOC requires it all be cleaned up, and we expect you to live up to your commitments to do so.

Significant amounts of that pollution are located under the rocket test stands. The AOC requires it all be cleaned up, so talk of leaving the rusting test stands in place would seem to violate the requirement of cleaning up the contamination beneath them. You can't remove the contamination under them without getting them out of the way. I am also pleased that the AOC provides sufficient protection for endangered species and Native American artifacts.

There is a reason that vast majority of the community that commented when the AOCs were proposed, 3700 in fact, supported the AOCs. And that is because we know it is the only way we can truly be protected from SSFL's harmful toxins.

We who live in the Knolls have waited long enough. I want NASA to fully comply with all of its obligations under the AOC.

Sincerely,

E. Hall

P.S. This is nothing less than despicable and needs to be dealt with!!! Agreements need to be honored.

Edward Hall

From: [Patricia Olsen](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Susana Knolls Resident Comment on SSFL Environmental Impact Statement
Date: Saturday, September 28, 2013 3:55:55 PM

Dear Mr. Elliott,

I live in the Santa Susana Knolls, just beneath the Santa Susana Field Lab (SSFL). I have the distinct pleasure of living in a uniquely beautiful community, and the distinct displeasure of knowing that SSFL, with its witches brew of chemically hazardous contaminants, is nearby.

I long for the day that SSFL is cleaned up. I thought I might finally be able to envision that day in 2010, when NASA signed the Agreement on Consent (AOC) with the State of California to cleanup its property at SSFL to background levels. I was thrilled beyond measure. Finally, finally the contamination would be entirely cleaned up.

Now that NASA has released its Draft Environmental Impact Report, I am even more emphatic that NASA must follow the AOC to the letter, period. The DEIS truly shows the large amount of contamination NASA has created close to our community. The AOC requires it all be cleaned up, and we expect you to live up to your commitments to do so.

Significant amounts of that pollution are located under the rocket test stands. The AOC requires it all be cleaned up, so talk of leaving the rusting test stands in place would seem to violate the requirement of cleaning up the contamination beneath them. You can't remove the contamination under them without getting them out of the way. I am also pleased that the AOC provides sufficient protection for endangered species and Native American artifacts.

There is a reason that vast majority of the community that commented when the AOCs were proposed, 3700 in fact, supported the AOCs. And that is because we know it is the only way we can truly be protected from SSFL's harmful toxins.

We who live in the Knolls have waited long enough. I want NASA to fully comply with all of its obligations under the AOC.

Sincerely,

Patricia Olsen

From: [Michael Gfelner](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Susana Knolls Resident Comment on SSFL Environmental Impact Statement
Date: Saturday, September 28, 2013 3:55:58 PM

Dear Mr. Elliott,

I live in the Santa Susana Knolls, just beneath the Santa Susana Field Lab (SSFL). I have the distinct pleasure of living in a uniquely beautiful community, and the distinct displeasure of knowing that SSFL, with its witches brew of chemically hazardous contaminants, is nearby.

I long for the day that SSFL is cleaned up. I thought I might finally be able to envision that day in 2010, when NASA signed the Agreement on Consent (AOC) with the State of California to cleanup its property at SSFL to background levels. I was thrilled beyond measure. Finally, finally the contamination would be entirely cleaned up.

Now that NASA has released its Draft Environmental Impact Report, I am even more emphatic that NASA must follow the AOC to the letter, period. The DEIS truly shows the large amount of contamination NASA has created close to our community. The AOC requires it all be cleaned up, and we expect you to live up to your commitments to do so.

Significant amounts of that pollution are located under the rocket test stands. The AOC requires it all be cleaned up, so talk of leaving the rusting test stands in place would seem to violate the requirement of cleaning up the contamination beneath them. You can't remove the contamination under them without getting them out of the way. I am also pleased that the AOC provides sufficient protection for endangered species and Native American artifacts.

There is a reason that vast majority of the community that commented when the AOCs were proposed, 3700 in fact, supported the AOCs. And that is because we know it is the only way we can truly be protected from SSFL's harmful toxins.

We who live in the Knolls have waited long enough. I want NASA to fully comply with all of its obligations under the AOC.

Sincerely,

Michael Gfelner

From: [Wm. Kent](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Susana Knolls Resident Comment on SSFL Environmental Impact Statement
Date: Saturday, September 28, 2013 3:56:01 PM

Dear Mr. Elliott,

I live in the Santa Susana Knolls, just beneath the Santa Susana Field Lab (SSFL). I have the distinct pleasure of living in a uniquely beautiful community, and the distinct displeasure of knowing that SSFL, with its witches brew of chemically hazardous contaminants, is nearby.

I long for the day that SSFL is cleaned up. I thought I might finally be able to envision that day in 2010, when NASA signed the Agreement on Consent (AOC) with the State of California to cleanup its property at SSFL to background levels. I was thrilled beyond measure. Finally, finally the contamination would be entirely cleaned up.

Now that NASA has released its Draft Environmental Impact Report, I am even more emphatic that NASA must follow the AOC to the letter, period. The DEIS truly shows the large amount of contamination NASA has created close to our community. The AOC requires it all be cleaned up, and we expect you to live up to your commitments to do so.

Significant amounts of that pollution are located under the rocket test stands. The AOC requires it all be cleaned up, so talk of leaving the rusting test stands in place would seem to violate the requirement of cleaning up the contamination beneath them. You can't remove the contamination under them without getting them out of the way. I am also pleased that the AOC provides sufficient protection for endangered species and Native American artifacts.

There is a reason that vast majority of the community that commented when the AOCs were proposed, 3700 in fact, supported the AOCs. And that is because we know it is the only way we can truly be protected from SSFL's harmful toxins.

We who live in the Knolls have waited long enough. I want NASA to fully comply with all of its obligations under the AOC.

Sincerely,

Wm. Kent

From: [MARY RENE NEWTON](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Susana Knolls Resident Comment on SSFL Environmental Impact Statement
Date: Saturday, September 28, 2013 4:41:12 PM

Dear Mr. Elliott,

I live in the Santa Susana Knolls, just beneath the Santa Susana Field Lab (SSFL). I have the distinct pleasure of living in a uniquely beautiful community, and the distinct displeasure of knowing that SSFL, with its witches brew of chemically hazardous contaminants, is nearby.

I long for the day that SSFL is cleaned up. I thought I might finally be able to envision that day in 2010, when NASA signed the Agreement on Consent (AOC) with the State of California to cleanup its property at SSFL to background levels. I was thrilled beyond measure. Finally, finally the contamination would be entirely cleaned up.

Now that NASA has released its Draft Environmental Impact Report, I am even more emphatic that NASA must follow the AOC to the letter, period. The DEIS truly shows the large amount of contamination NASA has created close to our community. The AOC requires it all be cleaned up, and we expect you to live up to your commitments to do so.

Significant amounts of that pollution are located under the rocket test stands. The AOC requires it all be cleaned up, so talk of leaving the rusting test stands in place would seem to violate the requirement of cleaning up the contamination beneath them. You can't remove the contamination under them without getting them out of the way. I am also pleased that the AOC provides sufficient protection for endangered species and Native American artifacts.

There is a reason that vast majority of the community that commented when the AOCs were proposed, 3700 in fact, supported the AOCs. And that is because we know it is the only way we can truly be protected from SSFL's harmful toxins.

We who live in the Knolls have waited long enough. I want NASA to fully comply with all of its obligations under the AOC.

Sincerely,

MARY RENE NEWTON

From: [Craig Newton](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Susana Knolls Resident Comment on SSFL Environmental Impact Statement
Date: Saturday, September 28, 2013 4:42:01 PM

Dear Mr. Elliott,

I live in the Santa Susana Knolls, just beneath the Santa Susana Field Lab (SSFL). I have the distinct pleasure of living in a uniquely beautiful community, and the distinct displeasure of knowing that SSFL, with its witches brew of chemically hazardous contaminants, is nearby.

I long for the day that SSFL is cleaned up. I thought I might finally be able to envision that day in 2010, when NASA signed the Agreement on Consent (AOC) with the State of California to cleanup its property at SSFL to background levels. I was thrilled beyond measure. Finally, finally the contamination would be entirely cleaned up.

Now that NASA has released its Draft Environmental Impact Report, I am even more emphatic that NASA must follow the AOC to the letter, period. The DEIS truly shows the large amount of contamination NASA has created close to our community. The AOC requires it all be cleaned up, and we expect you to live up to your commitments to do so.

Significant amounts of that pollution are located under the rocket test stands. The AOC requires it all be cleaned up, so talk of leaving the rusting test stands in place would seem to violate the requirement of cleaning up the contamination beneath them. You can't remove the contamination under them without getting them out of the way. I am also pleased that the AOC provides sufficient protection for endangered species and Native American artifacts.

There is a reason that vast majority of the community that commented when the AOCs were proposed, 3700 in fact, supported the AOCs. And that is because we know it is the only way we can truly be protected from SSFL's harmful toxins.

We who live in the Knolls have waited long enough. I want NASA to fully comply with all of its obligations under the AOC.

Sincerely,

Craig Newton

From: [Leslie Kemp](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Susana Knolls Resident Comment on SSFL Environmental Impact Statement
Date: Saturday, September 28, 2013 9:21:16 PM

Dear Mr. Elliott,

I live in the Santa Susana Knolls, just beneath the Santa Susana Field Lab (SSFL). I have the distinct pleasure of living in a uniquely beautiful community, and the distinct displeasure of knowing that SSFL, with its witches brew of chemically hazardous contaminants, is nearby.

I long for the day that SSFL is cleaned up. I thought I might finally be able to envision that day in 2010, when NASA signed the Agreement on Consent (AOC) with the State of California to cleanup its property at SSFL to background levels. I was thrilled beyond measure. Finally, finally the contamination would be entirely cleaned up.

Now that NASA has released its Draft Environmental Impact Report, I am even more emphatic that NASA must follow the AOC to the letter, period. The DEIS truly shows the large amount of contamination NASA has created close to our community. The AOC requires it all be cleaned up, and we expect you to live up to your commitments to do so.

Significant amounts of that pollution are located under the rocket test stands. The AOC requires it all be cleaned up, so talk of leaving the rusting test stands in place would seem to violate the requirement of cleaning up the contamination beneath them. You can't remove the contamination under them without getting them out of the way. I am also pleased that the AOC provides sufficient protection for endangered species and Native American artifacts.

There is a reason that vast majority of the community that commented when the AOCs were proposed, 3700 in fact, supported the AOCs. And that is because we know it is the only way we can truly be protected from SSFL's harmful toxins.

We who live in the Knolls have waited long enough. I want NASA to fully comply with all of its obligations under the AOC.

Sincerely,

Leslie Kemp

From: [Deborah Parpovich](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Susana Knolls Resident Comment on SSFL Environmental Impact Statement
Date: Saturday, September 28, 2013 11:17:11 PM

Dear Mr. Elliott,

I live in the Santa Susana Knolls, just beneath the Santa Susana Field Lab (SSFL). I have the distinct pleasure of living in a uniquely beautiful community, and the distinct displeasure of knowing that SSFL, with its witches brew of chemically hazardous contaminants, is nearby.

I long for the day that SSFL is cleaned up. I thought I might finally be able to envision that day in 2010, when NASA signed the Agreement on Consent (AOC) with the State of California to cleanup its property at SSFL to background levels. I was thrilled beyond measure. Finally, finally the contamination would be entirely cleaned up.

Now that NASA has released its Draft Environmental Impact Report, I am even more emphatic that NASA must follow the AOC to the letter, period. The DEIS truly shows the large amount of contamination NASA has created close to our community. The AOC requires it all be cleaned up, and we expect you to live up to your commitments to do so.

Significant amounts of that pollution are located under the rocket test stands. The AOC requires it all be cleaned up, so talk of leaving the rusting test stands in place would seem to violate the requirement of cleaning up the contamination beneath them. You can't remove the contamination under them without getting them out of the way. I am also pleased that the AOC provides sufficient protection for endangered species and Native American artifacts.

There is a reason that vast majority of the community that commented when the AOCs were proposed, 3700 in fact, supported the AOCs. And that is because we know it is the only way we can truly be protected from SSFL's harmful toxins.

We who live in the Knolls have waited long enough. I want NASA to fully comply with all of its obligations under the AOC.

Sincerely,

Deborah Parpovich

From: [Sharon. Giunta](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Susana Knolls Resident Comment on SSFL Environmental Impact Statement
Date: Saturday, September 28, 2013 11:46:50 PM

Dear Mr. Elliott,

I live in the Santa Susana Knolls, just beneath the Santa Susana Field Lab (SSFL). I have the distinct pleasure of living in a uniquely beautiful community, and the distinct displeasure of knowing that SSFL, with its witches brew of chemically hazardous contaminants, is nearby.

I long for the day that SSFL is cleaned up. I thought I might finally be able to envision that day in 2010, when NASA signed the Agreement on Consent (AOC) with the State of California to cleanup its property at SSFL to background levels. I was thrilled beyond measure. Finally, finally the contamination would be entirely cleaned up.

Now that NASA has released its Draft Environmental Impact Report, I am even more emphatic that NASA must follow the AOC to the letter, period. The DEIS truly shows the large amount of contamination NASA has created close to our community. The AOC requires it all be cleaned up, and we expect you to live up to your commitments to do so.

Significant amounts of that pollution are located under the rocket test stands. The AOC requires it all be cleaned up, so talk of leaving the rusting test stands in place would seem to violate the requirement of cleaning up the contamination beneath them. You can't remove the contamination under them without getting them out of the way. I am also pleased that the AOC provides sufficient protection for endangered species and Native American artifacts.

There is a reason that vast majority of the community that commented when the AOCs were proposed, 3700 in fact, supported the AOCs. And that is because we know it is the only way we can truly be protected from SSFL's harmful toxins.

We who live in the Knolls have waited long enough. I want NASA to fully comply with all of its obligations under the AOC.

Sincerely,

Sharon. Giunta

From: [Sharon Knapton](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Susana Knolls Resident Comment on SSFL Environmental Impact Statement
Date: Sunday, September 29, 2013 9:08:17 AM

Dear Mr. Elliott,

I live in the Santa Susana Knolls, just beneath the Santa Susana Field Lab (SSFL). I have the distinct pleasure of living in a uniquely beautiful community, and the distinct displeasure of knowing that SSFL, with its witches brew of chemically hazardous contaminants, is nearby.

I long for the day that SSFL is cleaned up. I thought I might finally be able to envision that day in 2010, when NASA signed the Agreement on Consent (AOC) with the State of California to cleanup its property at SSFL to background levels. I was thrilled beyond measure. Finally, finally the contamination would be entirely cleaned up.

Now that NASA has released its Draft Environmental Impact Report, I am even more emphatic that NASA must follow the AOC to the letter, period. The DEIS truly shows the large amount of contamination NASA has created close to our community. The AOC requires it all be cleaned up, and we expect you to live up to your commitments to do so.

Significant amounts of that pollution are located under the rocket test stands. The AOC requires it all be cleaned up, so talk of leaving the rusting test stands in place would seem to violate the requirement of cleaning up the contamination beneath them. You can't remove the contamination under them without getting them out of the way. I am also pleased that the AOC provides sufficient protection for endangered species and Native American artifacts.

There is a reason that vast majority of the community that commented when the AOCs were proposed, 3,700 in fact, supported the AOCs. And that is because we know it is the only way we can truly be protected from SSFL's harmful toxins.

We who live in the Knolls have waited long enough. I want NASA to fully comply with all of its obligations under the AOC.

Sincerely,

Sharon Knapton

From: [Margaret Lapham-Kennedy](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Susana Knolls Resident Comment on SSFL Environmental Impact Statement
Date: Sunday, September 29, 2013 10:09:20 AM

Dear Mr. Elliott,

I live in the Santa Susana Knolls, just beneath the Santa Susana Field Lab (SSFL). I have the distinct pleasure of living in a uniquely beautiful community, and the distinct displeasure of knowing that SSFL, with its witches brew of chemically hazardous contaminants, is nearby.

I long for the day that SSFL is cleaned up. I thought I might finally be able to envision that day in 2010, when NASA signed the Agreement on Consent (AOC) with the State of California to cleanup its property at SSFL to background levels. I was thrilled beyond measure. Finally, finally the contamination would be entirely cleaned up.

Now that NASA has released its Draft Environmental Impact Report, I am even more emphatic that NASA must follow the AOC to the letter, period. The DEIS truly shows the large amount of contamination NASA has created close to our community. The AOC requires it all be cleaned up, and we expect you to live up to your commitments to do so.

Significant amounts of that pollution are located under the rocket test stands. The AOC requires it all be cleaned up, so talk of leaving the rusting test stands in place would seem to violate the requirement of cleaning up the contamination beneath them. You can't remove the contamination under them without getting them out of the way. I am also pleased that the AOC provides sufficient protection for endangered species and Native American artifacts.

There is a reason that vast majority of the community that commented when the AOCs were proposed, 3,700 in fact, supported the AOCs. And that is because we know it is the only way we can truly be protected from SSFL's harmful toxins.

We who live in the Knolls have waited long enough. I want NASA to fully comply with all of its obligations under the AOC.

Sincerely,

Margaret Lapham-Kennedy

From: [Michael Arbuckle](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Susana Knolls Resident Comment on SSFL Environmental Impact Statement
Date: Sunday, September 29, 2013 11:37:51 AM

Dear Mr. Elliott,

I live in the Santa Susana Knolls, just beneath the Santa Susana Field Lab (SSFL). I have the distinct pleasure of living in a uniquely beautiful community, and the distinct displeasure of knowing that SSFL, with its witches brew of chemically hazardous contaminants, is nearby.

I long for the day that SSFL is cleaned up. I thought I might finally be able to envision that day in 2010, when NASA signed the Agreement on Consent (AOC) with the State of California to cleanup its property at SSFL to background levels. I was thrilled beyond measure. Finally, finally the contamination would be entirely cleaned up.

Now that NASA has released its Draft Environmental Impact Report, I am even more emphatic that NASA must follow the AOC to the letter, period. The DEIS truly shows the large amount of contamination NASA has created close to our community. The AOC requires it all be cleaned up, and we expect you to live up to your commitments to do so.

Significant amounts of that pollution are located under the rocket test stands. The AOC requires it all be cleaned up, so talk of leaving the rusting test stands in place would seem to violate the requirement of cleaning up the contamination beneath them. You can't remove the contamination under them without getting them out of the way. I am also pleased that the AOC provides sufficient protection for endangered species and Native American artifacts.

There is a reason that vast majority of the community that commented when the AOCs were proposed, 3,700 in fact, supported the AOCs. And that is because we know it is the only way we can truly be protected from SSFL's harmful toxins.

We who live in the Knolls have waited long enough. I want NASA to fully comply with all of its obligations under the AOC.

Sincerely,

Michael Arbuckle

From: [Wendy Cherry](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Susana Knolls Resident Comment on SSFL Environmental Impact Statement
Date: Sunday, September 29, 2013 12:06:59 PM

Dear Mr. Elliott,

I live in the Santa Susana Knolls, just beneath the Santa Susana Field Lab (SSFL). I have the distinct pleasure of living in a uniquely beautiful community, and the distinct displeasure of knowing that SSFL, with its witches brew of chemically hazardous contaminants, is nearby.

I long for the day that SSFL is cleaned up. I thought I might finally be able to envision that day in 2010, when NASA signed the Agreement on Consent (AOC) with the State of California to cleanup its property at SSFL to background levels. I was thrilled beyond measure. Finally, finally the contamination would be entirely cleaned up.

Now that NASA has released its Draft Environmental Impact Report, I am even more emphatic that NASA must follow the AOC to the letter, period. The DEIS truly shows the large amount of contamination NASA has created close to our community. The AOC requires it all be cleaned up, and we expect you to live up to your commitments to do so.

Significant amounts of that pollution are located under the rocket test stands. The AOC requires it all be cleaned up, so talk of leaving the rusting test stands in place would seem to violate the requirement of cleaning up the contamination beneath them. You can't remove the contamination under them without getting them out of the way. I am also pleased that the AOC provides sufficient protection for endangered species and Native American artifacts.

There is a reason that vast majority of the community that commented when the AOCs were proposed, 3,700 in fact, supported the AOCs. And that is because we know it is the only way we can truly be protected from SSFL's harmful toxins.

We who live in the Knolls have waited long enough. I want NASA to fully comply with all of its obligations under the AOC.

Sincerely,

Wendy Cherry

From: [Kevin Diggs](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Susana Knolls Resident Comment on SSFL Environmental Impact Statement
Date: Sunday, September 29, 2013 12:32:49 PM

Dear Mr. Elliott,

I live in the Santa Susana Knolls, just beneath the Santa Susana Field Lab (SSFL). I have the distinct pleasure of living in a uniquely beautiful community, and the distinct displeasure of knowing that SSFL, with its witches brew of chemically hazardous contaminants, is nearby.

I long for the day that SSFL is cleaned up. I thought I might finally be able to envision that day in 2010, when NASA signed the Agreement on Consent (AOC) with the State of California to cleanup its property at SSFL to background levels. I was thrilled beyond measure. Finally, finally the contamination would be entirely cleaned up.

Now that NASA has released its Draft Environmental Impact Report, I am even more emphatic that NASA must follow the AOC to the letter, period. The DEIS truly shows the large amount of contamination NASA has created close to our community. The AOC requires it all be cleaned up, and we expect you to live up to your commitments to do so.

Significant amounts of that pollution are located under the rocket test stands. The AOC requires it all be cleaned up, so talk of leaving the rusting test stands in place would seem to violate the requirement of cleaning up the contamination beneath them. You can't remove the contamination under them without getting them out of the way. I am also pleased that the AOC provides sufficient protection for endangered species and Native American artifacts.

There is a reason that vast majority of the community that commented when the AOCs were proposed, 3700 in fact, supported the AOCs. And that is because we know it is the only way we can truly be protected from SSFL's harmful toxins.

We who live in the Knolls have waited long enough. I want NASA to fully comply with all of its obligations under the AOC.

Sincerely,

Kevin Diggs

From: [Shannon Holst](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Susana Knolls Resident Comment on SSFL Environmental Impact Statement
Date: Sunday, September 29, 2013 2:46:39 PM

Dear Mr. Elliott,

I live in the Santa Susana Knolls, just beneath the Santa Susana Field Lab (SSFL). I have the distinct pleasure of living in a uniquely beautiful community, and the distinct displeasure of knowing that SSFL, with its witches brew of chemically hazardous contaminants, is nearby.

I long for the day that SSFL is cleaned up. I thought I might finally be able to envision that day in 2010, when NASA signed the Agreement on Consent (AOC) with the State of California to cleanup its property at SSFL to background levels. I was thrilled beyond measure. Finally, finally the contamination would be entirely cleaned up.

Now that NASA has released its Draft Environmental Impact Report, I am even more emphatic that NASA must follow the AOC to the letter, period. The DEIS truly shows the large amount of contamination NASA has created close to our community. The AOC requires it all be cleaned up, and we expect you to live up to your commitments to do so.

Significant amounts of that pollution are located under the rocket test stands. The AOC requires it all be cleaned up, so talk of leaving the rusting test stands in place would seem to violate the requirement of cleaning up the contamination beneath them. You can't remove the contamination under them without getting them out of the way. I am also pleased that the AOC provides sufficient protection for endangered species and Native American artifacts.

There is a reason that vast majority of the community that commented when the AOCs were proposed, 3,700 in fact, supported the AOCs. And that is because we know it is the only way we can truly be protected from SSFL's harmful toxins.

We who live in the Knolls have waited long enough. I want NASA to fully comply with all of its obligations under the AOC.

Sincerely,

Shannon Holst

From: [Shelly Lapidés](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Susana Knolls Resident Comment on SSFL Environmental Impact Statement
Date: Sunday, September 29, 2013 7:11:13 PM

Dear Mr. Elliott,

I live in the Santa Susana Knolls, just beneath the Santa Susana Field Lab (SSFL). I have the distinct pleasure of living in a uniquely beautiful community, and the distinct displeasure of knowing that SSFL, with its witches brew of chemically hazardous contaminants, is nearby.

I long for the day that SSFL is cleaned up. I thought I might finally be able to envision that day in 2010, when NASA signed the Agreement on Consent (AOC) with the State of California to clean up its property at SSFL to background levels. I was thrilled beyond measure. Finally, finally the contamination would be entirely cleaned up.

Now that NASA has released its Draft Environmental Impact Report, I am even more emphatic that NASA must follow the AOC to the letter, period. The DEIS truly shows the large amount of contamination NASA has created close to our community. The AOC requires it all be cleaned up, and we expect you to live up to your commitments to do so.

Significant amounts of that pollution are located under the rocket test stands. The AOC requires it all be cleaned up, so talk of leaving the rusting test stands in place would seem to violate the requirement of cleaning up the contamination beneath them. You can't remove the contamination under them without getting them out of the way. I am also pleased that the AOC provides sufficient protection for endangered species and Native American artifacts.

There is a reason that vast majority of the community that commented when the AOCs were proposed, 3,700 in fact, supported the AOCs. And that is because we know it is the only way we can truly be protected from SSFL's harmful toxins.

We who live in the Knolls have waited long enough. I want NASA to fully comply with all of its obligations under the AOC.

Sincerely,

Shelly Lapidés

From: [Robert Lapides](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Susana Knolls Resident Comment on SSFL Environmental Impact Statement
Date: Sunday, September 29, 2013 7:12:53 PM

Dear Mr. Elliott,

I live in the Santa Susana Knolls, just beneath the Santa Susana Field Lab (SSFL). I have the distinct pleasure of living in a uniquely beautiful community, and the distinct displeasure of knowing that SSFL, with its witches brew of chemically hazardous contaminants, is nearby.

I long for the day that SSFL is cleaned up. I thought I might finally be able to envision that day in 2010, when NASA signed the Agreement on Consent (AOC) with the State of California to cleanup its property at SSFL to background levels. I was thrilled beyond measure. Finally, finally the contamination would be entirely cleaned up.

Now that NASA has released its Draft Environmental Impact Report, I am even more emphatic that NASA must follow the AOC to the letter, period. The DEIS truly shows the large amount of contamination NASA has created close to our community. The AOC requires it all be cleaned up, and we expect you to live up to your commitments to do so.

Significant amounts of that pollution are located under the rocket test stands. The AOC requires it all be cleaned up, so talk of leaving the rusting test stands in place would seem to violate the requirement of cleaning up the contamination beneath them. You can't remove the contamination under them without getting them out of the way. I am also pleased that the AOC provides sufficient protection for endangered species and Native American artifacts.

There is a reason that vast majority of the community that commented when the AOCs were proposed, 3,700 in fact, supported the AOCs. And that is because we know it is the only way we can truly be protected from SSFL's harmful toxins.

We who live in the Knolls have waited long enough. I want NASA to fully comply with all of its obligations under the AOC.

Sincerely,

Robert Lapides

From: [Kathryn Steffen](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Susana Knolls Resident Comment on SSFL Environmental Impact Statement
Date: Sunday, September 29, 2013 9:51:02 PM

Dear Mr. Elliott,

I live in the Santa Susana Knolls, just beneath the Santa Susana Field Lab (SSFL). I have the distinct pleasure of living in a uniquely beautiful community, and the distinct displeasure of knowing that SSFL, with its witches brew of chemically hazardous contaminants, is nearby.

I long for the day that SSFL is cleaned up. I thought I might finally be able to envision that day in 2010, when NASA signed the Agreement on Consent (AOC) with the State of California to cleanup its property at SSFL to background levels. I was thrilled beyond measure. Finally, finally the contamination would be entirely cleaned up.

Now that NASA has released its Draft Environmental Impact Report, I am even more emphatic that NASA must follow the AOC to the letter, period. The DEIS truly shows the large amount of contamination NASA has created close to our community. The AOC requires it all be cleaned up, and we expect you to live up to your commitments to do so.

Significant amounts of that pollution are located under the rocket test stands. The AOC requires it all be cleaned up, so talk of leaving the rusting test stands in place would seem to violate the requirement of cleaning up the contamination beneath them. You can't remove the contamination under them without getting them out of the way. I am also pleased that the AOC provides sufficient protection for endangered species and Native American artifacts.

There is a reason that vast majority of the community that commented when the AOCs were proposed, 3,700 in fact, supported the AOCs. And that is because we know it is the only way we can truly be protected from SSFL's harmful toxins.

We who live in the Knolls have waited long enough. I want NASA to fully comply with all of its obligations under the AOC.

Sincerely,

Kathryn Steffen

From: [Tim Hampton](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Susana Knolls Resident Comment on SSFL Environmental Impact Statement
Date: Monday, September 30, 2013 1:33:56 PM

Dear Mr. Elliott,

I live in the Santa Susana Knolls, just beneath the Santa Susana Field Lab (SSFL). I have the distinct pleasure of living in a uniquely beautiful community, and the distinct displeasure of knowing that SSFL, with its witches brew of chemically hazardous contaminants, is nearby.

I long for the day that SSFL is cleaned up. I thought I might finally be able to envision that day in 2010, when NASA signed the Agreement on Consent (AOC) with the State of California to cleanup its property at SSFL to background levels. I was thrilled beyond measure. Finally, finally the contamination would be entirely cleaned up.

Now that NASA has released its Draft Environmental Impact Report, I am even more emphatic that NASA must follow the AOC to the letter, period. The DEIS truly shows the large amount of contamination NASA has created close to our community. The AOC requires it all be cleaned up, and we expect you to live up to your commitments to do so.

Significant amounts of that pollution are located under the rocket test stands. The AOC requires it all be cleaned up, so talk of leaving the rusting test stands in place would seem to violate the requirement of cleaning up the contamination beneath them. You can't remove the contamination under them without getting them out of the way. I am also pleased that the AOC provides sufficient protection for endangered species and Native American artifacts.

There is a reason that vast majority of the community that commented when the AOCs were proposed, 3,700 in fact, supported the AOCs. And that is because we know it is the only way we can truly be protected from SSFL's harmful toxins.

We who live in the Knolls have waited long enough. I want NASA to fully comply with all of its obligations under the AOC.

Sincerely,

Tim Hampton

From: [Jamie Tyler](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Susana Knolls Resident Comment on SSFL Environmental Impact Statement
Date: Monday, September 30, 2013 5:12:06 PM

Dear Mr. Elliott,

I live in the Santa Susana Knolls, just beneath the Santa Susana Field Lab (SSFL). I have the distinct pleasure of living in a uniquely beautiful community, and the distinct displeasure of knowing that SSFL, with its witches brew of chemically hazardous contaminants, is nearby.

I long for the day that SSFL is cleaned up. I thought I might finally be able to envision that day in 2010, when NASA signed the Agreement on Consent (AOC) with the State of California to cleanup its property at SSFL to background levels. I was thrilled beyond measure. Finally, finally the contamination would be entirely cleaned up.

Now that NASA has released its Draft Environmental Impact Report, I am even more emphatic that NASA must follow the AOC to the letter, period. The DEIS truly shows the large amount of contamination NASA has created close to our community. The AOC requires it all be cleaned up, and we expect you to live up to your commitments to do so.

Significant amounts of that pollution are located under the rocket test stands. The AOC requires it all be cleaned up, so talk of leaving the rusting test stands in place would seem to violate the requirement of cleaning up the contamination beneath them. You can't remove the contamination under them without getting them out of the way. I am also pleased that the AOC provides sufficient protection for endangered species and Native American artifacts.

There is a reason that vast majority of the community that commented when the AOCs were proposed, 3,700 in fact, supported the AOCs. And that is because we know it is the only way we can truly be protected from SSFL's harmful toxins.

We who live in the Knolls have waited long enough. I want NASA to fully comply with all of its obligations under the AOC.

Sincerely,

Jamie Tyler

From: [David Martin](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Susana Knolls Resident Comment on SSFL Environmental Impact Statement
Date: Monday, September 30, 2013 11:30:47 PM

Dear Mr. Elliott,

I live in the Santa Susana Knolls, just beneath the Santa Susana Field Lab (SSFL). I have the distinct pleasure of living in a uniquely beautiful community, and the distinct displeasure of knowing that SSFL, with its witches brew of chemically hazardous contaminants, is nearby.

I long for the day that SSFL is cleaned up. I thought I might finally be able to envision that day in 2010, when NASA signed the Agreement on Consent (AOC) with the State of California to cleanup its property at SSFL to background levels. I was thrilled beyond measure. Finally, finally the contamination would be entirely cleaned up.

Now that NASA has released its Draft Environmental Impact Report, I am even more emphatic that NASA must follow the AOC to the letter, period. The DEIS truly shows the large amount of contamination NASA has created close to our community. The AOC requires it all be cleaned up, and we expect you to live up to your commitments to do so.

Significant amounts of that pollution are located under the rocket test stands. The AOC requires it all be cleaned up, so talk of leaving the rusting test stands in place would seem to violate the requirement of cleaning up the contamination beneath them. You can't remove the contamination under them without getting them out of the way. I am also pleased that the AOC provides sufficient protection for endangered species and Native American artifacts.

There is a reason that vast majority of the community that commented when the AOCs were proposed, 3,700 in fact, supported the AOCs. And that is because we know it is the only way we can truly be protected from SSFL's harmful toxins.

We who live in the Knolls have waited long enough. I want NASA to fully comply with all of its obligations under the AOC.

Sincerely,

David Martin

From: [Rebecca Hopkins](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Susana Knolls Resident Comment on SSFL Environmental Impact Statement
Date: Tuesday, October 01, 2013 3:18:42 AM

Dear Mr. Elliott,

I live in the Santa Susana Knolls, just beneath the Santa Susana Field Lab (SSFL). I have the distinct pleasure of living in a uniquely beautiful community, and the distinct displeasure of knowing that SSFL, with its witches brew of chemically hazardous contaminants, is nearby.

I long for the day that SSFL is cleaned up. I thought I might finally be able to envision that day in 2010, when NASA signed the Agreement on Consent (AOC) with the State of California to cleanup its property at SSFL to background levels. I was thrilled beyond measure. Finally, finally the contamination would be entirely cleaned up.

Now that NASA has released its Draft Environmental Impact Report, I am even more emphatic that NASA must follow the AOC to the letter, period. The DEIS truly shows the large amount of contamination NASA has created close to our community. The AOC requires it all be cleaned up, and we expect you to live up to your commitments to do so.

Significant amounts of that pollution are located under the rocket test stands. The AOC requires it all be cleaned up, so talk of leaving the rusting test stands in place would seem to violate the requirement of cleaning up the contamination beneath them. You can't remove the contamination under them without getting them out of the way. I am also pleased that the AOC provides sufficient protection for endangered species and Native American artifacts.

There is a reason that vast majority of the community that commented when the AOCs were proposed, 3,700 in fact, supported the AOCs. And that is because we know it is the only way we can truly be protected from SSFL's harmful toxins.

We who live in the Knolls have waited long enough. I want NASA to fully comply with all of its obligations under the AOC.

Sincerely,

Rebecca Hopkins

From: [Alec Uzemeck](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: SSFL NASA EIS Comments
Date: Saturday, August 31, 2013 11:29:52 AM
Attachments: [Uzemeck NAS DEIS.doc](#)

Attached are my comments regarding the NASA SSFL Draft Environment Impact Statement.

Alec Uzemeck

Comments on the NASA Draft Environmental Impact Statement
Alec Uzemeck 8/19/2013

The DEIS only provides a “no action plan” and one other plan that cleans to background. It is rumored that state and federal pressure drove this selection and no scientific review or analysis of other alternate plans was made.

NEPA requires that the Decision Maker be fully informed on all aspects of EIS and further should be informed of all alternate cleanup plans including those that are to be rejected along with an explanation for each rejection. The DEIS discusses the alternate cleanup plans but does not include any metrics to allow comparison of the plans or their attributes. Items such as risk, cost, schedule or disposal volumes are not provided. The reasoning for the rejection of alternates is not provided other than to refer to the AOC agreement that was forced on NASA by the politicians. The metrics need to be included and full rejection reasons need to be shown.

Future land use is not factored in so that cleanup requirements under the various alternate plans cannot be compared and only two plans are shown, cleanup to background or the do nothing alternate plan. Future land use is an important aspect of USEPA cleanup evaluations that matches the cleanup against the eventual land use. Thus land that would be used for parks would have less stringent cleanup requirements than land that would be used for homes. The AOC requirement that the NASA property be cleaned to background applies the most stringent cleanup for property that now is proposed for future park use. Park use would have much less demanding cleanup criteria.

Backfill should be fully discussed in the DEIS. The DEIS states that soil to a two-foot depth will be considered permanently contaminated and removed. Additionally, soil beneath that level may be removed and cleaned and then reinstalled however none of the proposed soil remediation methods have been tried and proven to clean to the levels required by the AOC. In the event that the soil remediation fails the backfill will have to be found from another source and significant delays will occur while looking for soil that complies with the stringent AOC standards. This entire process has a high probability of difficulties and the DEIS does not discuss any worst-case scenario or any plans for a corrective action to maintain the process and schedule.

The EIS further states that only one third of the soil will be returned to the site and does not provide any explanation why this reduced amount will suffice. Will there be areas without topsoil and biota? Will there be sufficient soil to minimize flooding and silt runoff?

The traffic analysis underestimates the number of truck trips. In the DEIS plan there would be trucks carrying away contaminated soil and those trucks coming back empty. But additional trucks would be bringing back remediated soil or backfill and leaving empty. It is possible that some truck trips may be eliminated if the trucks leaving with debris could be used to bring back backfill however that close coordination of events is unlikely as previously discussed under the subject of backfill.

The DEIS did not discuss another factor to the trucking problem and that is that Boeing and DOE will also be conducting excavation and trucking to remove the contaminated soil. The DEIS says that NASA will operate on a schedule beginning at 7:00 AM to 7:00 PM. If all of the RP's excavation occurs simultaneously the roads from the site will be jammed or operating in extended hours thus creating a further hardship on the surrounding communities. This also assumes that sufficient trucks and drivers are

available to meet the 2017 completion date. I recommend that NASA and DTSC discuss extending the completion schedule perhaps to 2020 so as to not overload the necessary transportation and roads.

The archeology, architecture and biology are not sufficiently discussed in the DEIS and are not clearly described in the AOC. NASA and DTSC need to develop specific directions in these subjects before the DEIS goes forward. For example the AOC's speaks about protecting artifacts and the question arises is the Burro Flats cave considered an artifact or will it be removed?

The DEIS does not present the full information for the NASA site and assumes that the Best Management Practices will mitigate all of the cleanup negatives while many of these BMP's have not been tested or proven. The BMP discussion does not contemplate failure and no failure scenarios or recovery plans have been presented and the effects of the cleanup in an accelerated/ catch up recovery mode have not been discussed. The narrative regarding cultural items is confusing since it appears that more information is required from DTSC and from specialists.

From: [Robert Brostoff](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: SSFL NASA DEIS Comment
Date: Wednesday, August 28, 2013 12:47:09 PM

I am in total disagreement with the conclusion that NASA will adhere to the AOC which requires cleanup of the NASA property at SSFL to background levels. It makes no sense whatsoever to clean up that property to such a level when it is highly unlikely that the property will likely be used as some kind of open space, such as a wildlife preserve or park. No one will live there, and therefore, no one will be exposed to contamination by toxic substances to the extent that it might be injurious to human health. The idea that it needs to be cleaned up to background is a major waste of public funds and destruction of natural habitat for many wild animals, plants, and trees. Not to mention the health risks for people who live, work, and play, along the roads that will be used by the diesel trucks to cart away the "contaminated" soil.

There is no scientific evidence that any persons have been affected, off site, by any contamination that exists there. The review of cancer clusters *Cancers in the Urban Environment* by Dr. Thomas M. Mack, July, 2004, found no scientific evidence for cancer clusters around SSFL that would lead to SSFL as the source.

NASA has made a decision that there are only two choices with the cleanup, the AOC agreement or nothing at all. No consideration has been given to intermediate possibilities. This decision, it is rumored, has been arrived at through political pressure from Congress and the State Legislature. Political ideologies should not override scientific analysis.

Lastly, if, despite the sentiments of many residents surrounding SSFL as to the level of cleanup, this enormous task of removing thousands of yards of soil does go ahead, there needs to be included in the final EIS report an explanation of how the roads that will be used and severely damaged, by NASA's own statements, will be repaired. This should not be the responsibility of local government. It's not a local government project. This damage should be the responsibility of the owners of the SSFL property from which the soil is removed, ie, Boeing, NASAS, and DOE.

Sincerely,
Robert Brostoff
Resident of West Hills, CA.

From: ldh@dslextreme.com
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: SSFL heritage
Date: Friday, August 30, 2013 11:43:32 AM

I would like to express my feelings about the future destruction of facilities at SSFL.

I worked on the hill from 1952 -1966. I spent many hours testing components and running engines and although it was at times hazardous it was a very rewarding time in a young engineers career. I ran the J-2 fuel pump at CTL V and ran H-1 engines at Canyon as well as some work at CTL 2. All of these facilities have been torn down and lost forever.

I was on a tour of the hill a few months ago and was sorry to see that all of the facilities where I had worked have been destroyed. We accomplished things which will never be repeated and which allowed the US to spring ahead in the space race. Most of the people don't realize what was accomplished up there and some of the sacrifices we made to make it happen. I'm sick and tired of all the people working the system and filing law suits etc.

My reason for writing this is to plead for preserving something that will allow the public and future generations to see an example of what was done. I think one of the large engine test stands must be preserved as a museum piece and some type of facility be maintained to accomplish this. I'm sure most of the people who worked up there feel the same as I do and all of us would gladly donate some time to get this accomplished. I really feel that this is a national monument and some part of it should be preserved.

I missed the public meetings but hope this gets to the right people to show that some of us are interested in preserving at least part of the area for future generations.

Thank you.
Lyle Hibbs

From: [Tim Cojocnean](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: SSFL EIS comments
Date: Monday, September 30, 2013 1:13:41 PM

Dear Mr. Elliott,

The EIS is deeply flawed, creating the impression that some lower level personnel at NASA are trying to sabotage the cleanup agreement entered into by their agency. For a science agency, the EIS is peculiarly non-scientific, seeming more a piece of propaganda than science.

It is supposed to examine the environmental impacts of cleaning the site up versus No Action, i.e., not remediating all that pollution NASA created. But the EIS is virtually silent about the latter, the big issue, all the contamination. Instead, the EIS tries to hype a far more secondary matter, how many truck trips it would take to clean the site up.

But the fundamental issue is all the pollution your agency created by decades of poor practices. The EIS is virtually totally silent about those impacts. The EIS says there can be negative impacts on groundwater and surface water if there were cleanup, fairly bizarre. But it says virtually nothing about the environmental impacts of having groundwater, a beneficial resource, with vast amounts of TCE, perchlorate, and other toxic materials. The no action alternative would leave that beneficial resource environmentally destroyed for generations but you say virtually nothing about that.

Surface water is constantly being contaminated by the soil contamination at the site, so that when rain falls it carries off the contaminants offsite, at levels the Water Board deems unsafe. The EIS hypes nutty claims about impacts on surface water if you clean up, but is essentially silent about the impacts of leaving that contamination to keep migrating offsite in surface runoff if the No Action alternative were adopted.

The EIS makes hyped claims about impacts on biological resources if you have to clean up the contamination. This is great exaggeration because much of the contamination is in the already degraded areas where NASA built its structures that released the contamination. But you should focus on the revegetation and restoration necessary to restore the degraded land. Instead, you hype the effect of cleanup. But you are silent about the environmental damage done to biological resources by all the contamination you released, and are essentially silent about the continued negative impacts that would result if you broke your commitments to clean up pursuant to the Administrative Order on Consent (AOC).

NASA should go back and do an honest EIS, one that addresses in detail the environmental damage it created at the site by all the pollution, the benefits of cleaning it up, and the significant negative impacts were you to choose the No Action alternative and just walk away from your cleanup obligations.

Sincerely,
Timothy Cojocnean

From: [Susan Clark](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: SSFL EIS -- LIVE UP TO CLEANUP AGREEMENT!
Date: Tuesday, October 01, 2013 3:10:23 PM

Dear Mr. Elliott,

Through decades of sloppy environmental practices, NASA badly contaminated its part of the Santa Susana Field Laboratory with very toxic materials, some of which have been migrating off the property. After years of dragging its feet on cleanup, in 2010 NASA executed a binding cleanup agreement with the State of California, promising to clean up all the contamination to background.

NASA has now published a Draft Environmental Impact Statement on the cleanup, portions of which have created concern that some within the agency are working at cross purposes to the agreement NASA is pledged to carry out.

I write to call on NASA to live up to its commitments in the 2010 cleanup agreement, totally and without any efforts to wriggle out of it.

Sincerely,

Susan Clark

From: [Christine Rowe](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Cc: [Elliott, Allen \(MSFC-AS01\)](#); [Fellows, Merrilee \(HQ-LD000\)](#)
Date: Tuesday, September 10, 2013 12:27:57 AM

Dear Mr. Elliott,

This link was shared on FACEBOOK by CAL EPA

<http://www.pe.com/local-news/local-news-headlines/article1179550.ece>

NASA and DTSC with the assistance of the California Department of Health and agencies such as the SCAQMD need to access the health risk of the trucks for demolition and remediation on my community.

Can this risk assessment be done before any demolition of the NASA structures begins? We need a risk based cleanup - please.

Respectfully,

Christine L. Rowe

California Environmental Protection Agency shared a link.
7 hours ago

Press-Enterprise: Pollution and politics in a special report on southern California air quality.

	<p>SPECIAL REPORT: Air of Risk www.pe.com</p> <p>A Special Report on Inland Southern California Air Quality from environmental reporter David Danelski, produced in part with a grant from the Lucile Packard Foundation for Children'</p>
---	---