

From: [James Kher](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Local Resident Comments on NASA's SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Tuesday, October 01, 2013 11:25:25 PM

Dear Mr. Elliott,

As a resident living in the area near the Santa Susana Field Laboratory (SSFL), I am deeply concerned that the site is fully cleaned up. I support the agreement that was made in 2010, when NASA agreed to clean up its property at SSFL to background levels of contaminants, meaning that it would clean up ALL the contamination that it found. This is what the community had wanted all along!

NASA's portion of SSFL is extremely contaminated with TCE, perchlorate, PCBs, dioxins and heavy metals - all of which can have serious health impacts for anyone who is exposed. And, the contamination is prone to migrate from the site, putting all of us who live nearby at risk.

So, it is very important for me to comment on the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) that NASA recently published. NASA must absolutely uphold all of the commitments it has made to the State of California and to our community in the 2010 agreement to clean up SSFL to background.

This cleanup agreement (Agreement on Consent or AOC) has sensible provisions for the protection of endangered species and Native American artifacts. It does not, however, allow NASA to evade cleaning up contamination by trying to avoid demolishing the crumbling rocket test stands, which is where much of the pollution is located. It's not possible to clean up beneath those test stands without getting them out of the way first. And the AOC commits NASA to cleaning up all the toxic material in the soil, including around and beneath those test stands and other structures.

If the contamination at SSFL is not fully cleaned up, it will continue to threaten us locals every time the wind blows or it rains, causing the toxic materials to migrate offsite to neighboring areas.

So, do the right thing. Stop trying to resist fulfilling what NASA promised to do. Fulfill your obligations under the AOC to the letter, fully complying with all of its provisions to clean up the Santa Susana Field Laboratory to background levels. Those of us who live near the site are the ones who will be affected most.

Sincerely,

James Kher

From: [Ryan Moormsn](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Local Resident Comments on NASA's SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Monday, September 30, 2013 12:01:18 PM

Dear Mr. Elliott,

As a resident living in the area near the Santa Susana Field Laboratory (SSFL), I am deeply concerned that the site is fully cleaned up. I support the agreement that was made in 2010, when NASA agreed to clean up its property at SSFL to background levels of contaminants, meaning that it would clean up ALL the contamination that it found. This is what the community had wanted all along!

NASA's portion of SSFL is extremely contaminated with TCE, perchlorate, PCBs, dioxins and heavy metals - all of which can have serious health impacts for anyone who is exposed. And, the contamination is prone to migrate from the site, putting all of us who live nearby at risk.

So, it is very important for me to comment on the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) that NASA recently published. NASA must absolutely uphold all of the commitments it has made to the State of California and to our community in the 2010 agreement to clean up SSFL to background.

This cleanup agreement (Agreement on Consent or AOC) has sensible provisions for the protection of endangered species and Native American artifacts. It does not, however, allow NASA to evade cleaning up contamination by trying to avoid demolishing the crumbling rocket test stands, which is where much of the pollution is located. It's not possible to clean up beneath those test stands without getting them out of the way first. And the AOC commits NASA to cleaning up all the toxic material in the soil, including around and beneath those test stands and other structures.

If the contamination at SSFL is not fully cleaned up, it will continue to threaten us locals every time the wind blows or it rains, causing the toxic materials to migrate offsite to neighboring areas.

So, do the right thing. Stop trying to resist fulfilling what NASA promised to do. Fulfill your obligations under the AOC to the letter, fully complying with all of its provisions to clean up the Santa Susana Field Laboratory to background levels. Those of us who live near the site are the ones who will be affected most.

Sincerely,

Ryan Moormsn

From: [Marlene MacAulay](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Local Resident Comments on NASA's SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Monday, September 30, 2013 2:44:58 PM

Dear Mr. Elliott,

As a resident living in the area near the Santa Susana Field Laboratory (SSFL), I am deeply concerned that the site is fully cleaned up. I support the agreement that was made in 2010, when NASA agreed to clean up its property at SSFL to background levels of contaminants, meaning that it would clean up ALL the contamination that it found. This is what the community had wanted all along!

NASA's portion of SSFL is extremely contaminated with TCE, perchlorate, PCBs, dioxins and heavy metals - all of which can have serious health impacts for anyone who is exposed. And, the contamination is prone to migrate from the site, putting all of us who live nearby at risk.

So, it is very important for me to comment on the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) that NASA recently published. NASA must absolutely uphold all of the commitments it has made to the State of California and to our community in the 2010 agreement to clean up SSFL to background.

This cleanup agreement (Agreement on Consent or AOC) has sensible provisions for the protection of endangered species and Native American artifacts. It does not, however, allow NASA to evade cleaning up contamination by trying to avoid demolishing the crumbling rocket test stands, which is where much of the pollution is located. It's not possible to clean up beneath those test stands without getting them out of the way first. And the AOC commits NASA to cleaning up all the toxic material in the soil, including around and beneath those test stands and other structures.

If the contamination at SSFL is not fully cleaned up, it will continue to threaten us locals every time the wind blows or it rains, causing the toxic materials to migrate offsite to neighboring areas.

So, do the right thing. Stop trying to resist fulfilling what NASA promised to do. Fulfill your obligations under the AOC to the letter, fully complying with all of its provisions to clean up the Santa Susana Field Laboratory to background levels. Those of us who live near the site are the ones who will be affected most.

Sincerely,

Marlene MacAulay

From: [Laila Moretti](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Local Resident Comments on NASA's SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Monday, September 30, 2013 2:51:30 PM

Dear Mr. Elliott,

As a resident living in the area near the Santa Susana Field Laboratory (SSFL), I am deeply concerned that the site is fully cleaned up. I support the agreement that was made in 2010, when NASA agreed to clean up its property at SSFL to background levels of contaminants, meaning that it would clean up ALL the contamination that it found. This is what the community had wanted all along!

NASA's portion of SSFL is extremely contaminated with TCE, perchlorate, PCBs, dioxins and heavy metals - all of which can have serious health impacts for anyone who is exposed. And, the contamination is prone to migrate from the site, putting all of us who live nearby at risk.

So, it is very important for me to comment on the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) that NASA recently published. NASA must absolutely uphold all of the commitments it has made to the State of California and to our community in the 2010 agreement to clean up SSFL to background.

This cleanup agreement (Agreement on Consent or AOC) has sensible provisions for the protection of endangered species and Native American artifacts. It does not, however, allow NASA to evade cleaning up contamination by trying to avoid demolishing the crumbling rocket test stands, which is where much of the pollution is located. It's not possible to clean up beneath those test stands without getting them out of the way first. And the AOC commits NASA to cleaning up all the toxic material in the soil, including around and beneath those test stands and other structures.

If the contamination at SSFL is not fully cleaned up, it will continue to threaten us locals every time the wind blows or it rains, causing the toxic materials to migrate offsite to neighboring areas.

So, do the right thing. Stop trying to resist fulfilling what NASA promised to do. Fulfill your obligations under the AOC to the letter, fully complying with all of its provisions to clean up the Santa Susana Field Laboratory to background levels. Those of us who live near the site are the ones who will be affected most.

Sincerely,

Laila Moretti

From: [Katharina Feldman](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Local Resident Comments on NASA's SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Monday, September 30, 2013 2:51:49 PM

Dear Mr. Elliott,

As a resident living in the area near the Santa Susana Field Laboratory (SSFL), I am deeply concerned that the site is fully cleaned up. I support the agreement that was made in 2010, when NASA agreed to clean up its property at SSFL to background levels of contaminants, meaning that it would clean up ALL the contamination that it found. This is what the community had wanted all along!

NASA's portion of SSFL is extremely contaminated with TCE, perchlorate, PCBs, dioxins and heavy metals - all of which can have serious health impacts for anyone who is exposed. And, the contamination is prone to migrate from the site, putting all of us who live nearby at risk.

So, it is very important for me to comment on the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) that NASA recently published. NASA must absolutely uphold all of the commitments it has made to the State of California and to our community in the 2010 agreement to clean up SSFL to background.

This cleanup agreement (Agreement on Consent or AOC) has sensible provisions for the protection of endangered species and Native American artifacts. It does not, however, allow NASA to evade cleaning up contamination by trying to avoid demolishing the crumbling rocket test stands, which is where much of the pollution is located. It's not possible to clean up beneath those test stands without getting them out of the way first. And the AOC commits NASA to cleaning up all the toxic material in the soil, including around and beneath those test stands and other structures.

If the contamination at SSFL is not fully cleaned up, it will continue to threaten us locals every time the wind blows or it rains, causing the toxic materials to migrate offsite to neighboring areas.

So, do the right thing. Stop trying to resist fulfilling what NASA promised to do. Fulfill your obligations under the AOC to the letter, fully complying with all of its provisions to clean up the Santa Susana Field Laboratory to background levels. Those of us who live near the site are the ones who will be affected most.

Sincerely,

Katharina Feldman

From: [Debbie Cohen-Sitt](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Local Resident Comments on NASA's SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Monday, September 30, 2013 2:55:27 PM

Dear Mr. Elliott,

As a resident living in the area near the Santa Susana Field Laboratory (SSFL), I am deeply concerned that the site is fully cleaned up. I support the agreement that was made in 2010, when NASA agreed to clean up its property at SSFL to background levels of contaminants, meaning that it would clean up ALL the contamination that it found. This is what the community had wanted all along!

NASA's portion of SSFL is extremely contaminated with TCE, perchlorate, PCBs, dioxins and heavy metals - all of which can have serious health impacts for anyone who is exposed. And, the contamination is prone to migrate from the site, putting all of us who live nearby at risk.

So, it is very important for me to comment on the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) that NASA recently published. NASA must absolutely uphold all of the commitments it has made to the State of California and to our community in the 2010 agreement to clean up SSFL to background.

This cleanup agreement (Agreement on Consent or AOC) has sensible provisions for the protection of endangered species and Native American artifacts. It does not, however, allow NASA to evade cleaning up contamination by trying to avoid demolishing the crumbling rocket test stands, which is where much of the pollution is located. It's not possible to clean up beneath those test stands without getting them out of the way first. And the AOC commits NASA to cleaning up all the toxic material in the soil, including around and beneath those test stands and other structures.

If the contamination at SSFL is not fully cleaned up, it will continue to threaten us locals every time the wind blows or it rains, causing the toxic materials to migrate offsite to neighboring areas.

So, do the right thing. Stop trying to resist fulfilling what NASA promised to do. Fulfill your obligations under the AOC to the letter, fully complying with all of its provisions to clean up the Santa Susana Field Laboratory to background levels. Those of us who live near the site are the ones who will be affected most.

Sincerely,

Debbie Cohen-Sitt

From: [Lorlee murray](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Local Resident Comments on NASA's SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Monday, September 30, 2013 2:58:12 PM

Dear Mr. Elliott,

As a resident living in the area near the Santa Susana Field Laboratory (SSFL), I am deeply concerned that the site is fully cleaned up. I support the agreement that was made in 2010, when NASA agreed to clean up its property at SSFL to background levels of contaminants, meaning that it would clean up ALL the contamination that it found. This is what the community had wanted all along!

NASA's portion of SSFL is extremely contaminated with TCE, perchlorate, PCBs, dioxins and heavy metals - all of which can have serious health impacts for anyone who is exposed. And, the contamination is prone to migrate from the site, putting all of us who live nearby at risk.

So, it is very important for me to comment on the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) that NASA recently published. NASA must absolutely uphold all of the commitments it has made to the State of California and to our community in the 2010 agreement to clean up SSFL to background.

This cleanup agreement (Agreement on Consent or AOC) has sensible provisions for the protection of endangered species and Native American artifacts. It does not, however, allow NASA to evade cleaning up contamination by trying to avoid demolishing the crumbling rocket test stands, which is where much of the pollution is located. It's not possible to clean up beneath those test stands without getting them out of the way first. And the AOC commits NASA to cleaning up all the toxic material in the soil, including around and beneath those test stands and other structures.

If the contamination at SSFL is not fully cleaned up, it will continue to threaten us locals every time the wind blows or it rains, causing the toxic materials to migrate offsite to neighboring areas.

So, do the right thing. Stop trying to resist fulfilling what NASA promised to do. Fulfill your obligations under the AOC to the letter, fully complying with all of its provisions to clean up the Santa Susana Field Laboratory to background levels. Those of us who live near the site are the ones who will be affected most.

Sincerely,

Lorlee murray

From: [Christina McCarty](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Local Resident Comments on NASA's SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Monday, September 30, 2013 3:00:59 PM

Dear Mr. Elliott,

As a resident living in the area near the Santa Susana Field Laboratory (SSFL), I am deeply concerned that the site is fully cleaned up. I support the agreement that was made in 2010, when NASA agreed to clean up its property at SSFL to background levels of contaminants, meaning that it would clean up ALL the contamination that it found. This is what the community had wanted all along!

NASA's portion of SSFL is extremely contaminated with TCE, perchlorate, PCBs, dioxins and heavy metals - all of which can have serious health impacts for anyone who is exposed. And, the contamination is prone to migrate from the site, putting all of us who live nearby at risk.

So, it is very important for me to comment on the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) that NASA recently published. NASA must absolutely uphold all of the commitments it has made to the State of California and to our community in the 2010 agreement to clean up SSFL to background.

This cleanup agreement (Agreement on Consent or AOC) has sensible provisions for the protection of endangered species and Native American artifacts. It does not, however, allow NASA to evade cleaning up contamination by trying to avoid demolishing the crumbling rocket test stands, which is where much of the pollution is located. It's not possible to clean up beneath those test stands without getting them out of the way first. And the AOC commits NASA to cleaning up all the toxic material in the soil, including around and beneath those test stands and other structures.

If the contamination at SSFL is not fully cleaned up, it will continue to threaten us locals every time the wind blows or it rains, causing the toxic materials to migrate offsite to neighboring areas.

So, do the right thing. Stop trying to resist fulfilling what NASA promised to do. Fulfill your obligations under the AOC to the letter, fully complying with all of its provisions to clean up the Santa Susana Field Laboratory to background levels. Those of us who live near the site are the ones who will be affected most.

Sincerely,

Christina McCarty

From: [Polly Braiwick](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Local Resident Comments on NASA's SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Monday, September 30, 2013 5:25:27 PM

Dear Mr. Elliott,

As a resident living in the area near the Santa Susana Field Laboratory (SSFL), I am deeply concerned that the site is fully cleaned up. I support the agreement that was made in 2010, when NASA agreed to clean up its property at SSFL to background levels of contaminants, meaning that it would clean up ALL the contamination that it found. This is what the community had wanted all along!

NASA's portion of SSFL is extremely contaminated with TCE, perchlorate, PCBs, dioxins and heavy metals - all of which can have serious health impacts for anyone who is exposed. And, the contamination is prone to migrate from the site, putting all of us who live nearby at risk.

So, it is very important for me to comment on the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) that NASA recently published. NASA must absolutely uphold all of the commitments it has made to the State of California and to our community in the 2010 agreement to clean up SSFL to background.

This cleanup agreement (Agreement on Consent or AOC) has sensible provisions for the protection of endangered species and Native American artifacts. It does not, however, allow NASA to evade cleaning up contamination by trying to avoid demolishing the crumbling rocket test stands, which is where much of the pollution is located. It's not possible to clean up beneath those test stands without getting them out of the way first. And the AOC commits NASA to cleaning up all the toxic material in the soil, including around and beneath those test stands and other structures.

If the contamination at SSFL is not fully cleaned up, it will continue to threaten us locals every time the wind blows or it rains, causing the toxic materials to migrate offsite to neighboring areas.

So, do the right thing. Stop trying to resist fulfilling what NASA promised to do. Fulfill your obligations under the AOC to the letter, fully complying with all of its provisions to clean up the Santa Susana Field Laboratory to background levels. Those of us who live near the site are the ones who will be affected most.

Sincerely,

Polly Braiwick

From: [LaVerne Shelton Hill](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Local Resident Comments on NASA's SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Monday, September 30, 2013 6:22:06 PM

Dear Mr. Elliott,

As a resident living in the area near the Santa Susana Field Laboratory (SSFL) for 26 years, I am deeply concerned that the site is fully cleaned up. I support the agreement that was made in 2010, when NASA agreed to clean up its property at SSFL to background levels of contaminants, meaning that it would clean up ALL the contamination that it found. This is what the community had wanted all along!

NASA's portion of SSFL is extremely contaminated with TCE, perchlorate, PCBs, dioxins and heavy metals - all of which can have serious health impacts for anyone who is exposed. And, the contamination is prone to migrate from the site, putting all of us who live nearby at risk.

So, it is very important for me to comment on the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) that NASA recently published. NASA must absolutely uphold all of the commitments it has made to the State of California and to our community in the 2010 agreement to clean up SSFL to background.

This cleanup agreement (Agreement on Consent or AOC) has sensible provisions for the protection of endangered species and Native American artifacts. It does not, however, allow NASA to evade cleaning up contamination by trying to avoid demolishing the crumbling rocket test stands, which is where much of the pollution is located. It's not possible to clean up beneath those test stands without getting them out of the way first. And the AOC commits NASA to cleaning up all the toxic material in the soil, including around and beneath those test stands and other structures.

If the contamination at SSFL is not fully cleaned up, it will continue to threaten us locals every time the wind blows or it rains, causing the toxic materials to migrate offsite to neighboring areas.

So, do the right thing. Stop trying to resist fulfilling what NASA promised to do. Fulfill your obligations under the AOC to the letter, fully complying with all of its provisions to clean up the Santa Susana Field Laboratory to background levels. Those of us who live near the site are the ones who will be affected most.

Sincerely,

LaVerne Shelton Hill

From: [Jamie Mosley](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Local Resident Comments on NASA's SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Monday, September 30, 2013 6:37:53 PM

Dear Mr. Elliott,

As a resident living in the area near the Santa Susana Field Laboratory (SSFL), I am deeply concerned that the site is fully cleaned up. I support the agreement that was made in 2010, when NASA agreed to clean up its property at SSFL to background levels of contaminants, meaning that it would clean up ALL the contamination that it found. This is what the community had wanted all along!

NASA's portion of SSFL is extremely contaminated with TCE, perchlorate, PCBs, dioxins and heavy metals - all of which can have serious health impacts for anyone who is exposed. And, the contamination is prone to migrate from the site, putting all of us who live nearby at risk.

So, it is very important for me to comment on the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) that NASA recently published. NASA must absolutely uphold all of the commitments it has made to the State of California and to our community in the 2010 agreement to clean up SSFL to background.

This cleanup agreement (Agreement on Consent or AOC) has sensible provisions for the protection of endangered species and Native American artifacts. It does not, however, allow NASA to evade cleaning up contamination by trying to avoid demolishing the crumbling rocket test stands, which is where much of the pollution is located. It's not possible to clean up beneath those test stands without getting them out of the way first. And the AOC commits NASA to cleaning up all the toxic material in the soil, including around and beneath those test stands and other structures.

If the contamination at SSFL is not fully cleaned up, it will continue to threaten us locals every time the wind blows or it rains, causing the toxic materials to migrate offsite to neighboring areas.

So, do the right thing. Stop trying to resist fulfilling what NASA promised to do. Fulfill your obligations under the AOC to the letter, fully complying with all of its provisions to clean up the Santa Susana Field Laboratory to background levels. Those of us who live near the site are the ones who will be affected most.

Sincerely,

Jamie Mosley

From: [Melissa Orozco](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Local Resident Comments on NASA's SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Monday, September 30, 2013 9:08:56 PM

Dear Mr. Elliott,

As a resident living in the area near the Santa Susana Field Laboratory (SSFL), I am deeply concerned that the site is fully cleaned up. I support the agreement that was made in 2010, when NASA agreed to clean up its property at SSFL to background levels of contaminants, meaning that it would clean up ALL the contamination that it found. This is what the community had wanted all along!

NASA's portion of SSFL is extremely contaminated with TCE, perchlorate, PCBs, dioxins and heavy metals - all of which can have serious health impacts for anyone who is exposed. And, the contamination is prone to migrate from the site, putting all of us who live nearby at risk.

So, it is very important for me to comment on the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) that NASA recently published. NASA must absolutely uphold all of the commitments it has made to the State of California and to our community in the 2010 agreement to clean up SSFL to background.

This cleanup agreement (Agreement on Consent or AOC) has sensible provisions for the protection of endangered species and Native American artifacts. It does not, however, allow NASA to evade cleaning up contamination by trying to avoid demolishing the crumbling rocket test stands, which is where much of the pollution is located. It's not possible to clean up beneath those test stands without getting them out of the way first. And the AOC commits NASA to cleaning up all the toxic material in the soil, including around and beneath those test stands and other structures.

If the contamination at SSFL is not fully cleaned up, it will continue to threaten us locals every time the wind blows or it rains, causing the toxic materials to migrate offsite to neighboring areas.

So, do the right thing. Stop trying to resist fulfilling what NASA promised to do. Fulfill your obligations under the AOC to the letter, fully complying with all of its provisions to clean up the Santa Susana Field Laboratory to background levels. Those of us who live near the site are the ones who will be affected most.

Sincerely,

Melissa Orozco

From: [Coleen Kirnan](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Local Resident Comments on NASA's SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Monday, September 30, 2013 10:10:51 PM

Dear Mr. Elliott,

As a resident living in the area near the Santa Susana Field Laboratory (SSFL), I am deeply concerned that the site is fully cleaned up. I support the agreement that was made in 2010, when NASA agreed to clean up its property at SSFL to background levels of contaminants, meaning that it would clean up ALL the contamination that it found. This is what the community had wanted all along!

NASA's portion of SSFL is extremely contaminated with TCE, perchlorate, PCBs, dioxins and heavy metals - all of which can have serious health impacts for anyone who is exposed. And, the contamination is prone to migrate from the site, putting all of us who live nearby at risk.

So, it is very important for me to comment on the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) that NASA recently published. NASA must absolutely uphold all of the commitments it has made to the State of California and to our community in the 2010 agreement to clean up SSFL to background.

This cleanup agreement (Agreement on Consent or AOC) has sensible provisions for the protection of endangered species and Native American artifacts. It does not, however, allow NASA to evade cleaning up contamination by trying to avoid demolishing the crumbling rocket test stands, which is where much of the pollution is located. It's not possible to clean up beneath those test stands without getting them out of the way first. And the AOC commits NASA to cleaning up all the toxic material in the soil, including around and beneath those test stands and other structures.

If the contamination at SSFL is not fully cleaned up, it will continue to threaten us locals every time the wind blows or it rains, causing the toxic materials to migrate offsite to neighboring areas.

So, do the right thing. Stop trying to resist fulfilling what NASA promised to do. Fulfill your obligations under the AOC to the letter, fully complying with all of its provisions to clean up the Santa Susana Field Laboratory to background levels. Those of us who live near the site are the ones who will be affected most.

Sincerely,

Coleen Kirnan

From: [Mary Wilson](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Local Resident Comments on NASA's SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Tuesday, October 01, 2013 9:33:18 AM

Dear Mr. Elliott,

As a resident living in the area near the Santa Susana Field Laboratory (SSFL), I am deeply concerned that the site is fully cleaned up. I support the agreement that was made in 2010, when NASA agreed to clean up its property at SSFL to background levels of contaminants, meaning that it would clean up ALL the contamination that it found. This is what the community had wanted all along!

NASA's portion of SSFL is extremely contaminated with TCE, perchlorate, PCBs, dioxins and heavy metals - all of which can have serious health impacts for anyone who is exposed. And, the contamination is prone to migrate from the site, putting all of us who live nearby at risk.

So, it is very important for me to comment on the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) that NASA recently published. NASA must absolutely uphold all of the commitments it has made to the State of California and to our community in the 2010 agreement to clean up SSFL to background.

This cleanup agreement (Agreement on Consent or AOC) has sensible provisions for the protection of endangered species and Native American artifacts. It does not, however, allow NASA to evade cleaning up contamination by trying to avoid demolishing the crumbling rocket test stands, which is where much of the pollution is located. It's not possible to clean up beneath those test stands without getting them out of the way first. And the AOC commits NASA to cleaning up all the toxic material in the soil, including around and beneath those test stands and other structures.

If the contamination at SSFL is not fully cleaned up, it will continue to threaten us locals every time the wind blows or it rains, causing the toxic materials to migrate offsite to neighboring areas.

So, do the right thing. Stop trying to resist fulfilling what NASA promised to do. Fulfill your obligations under the AOC to the letter, fully complying with all of its provisions to clean up the Santa Susana Field Laboratory to background levels. Those of us who live near the site are the ones who will be affected most.

Sincerely,

Mary Wilson

From: [Anita Khermandalian](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Local Resident Comments on NASA's SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Tuesday, October 01, 2013 11:18:56 AM

Dear Mr. Elliott,

As a resident living in the area near the Santa Susana Field Laboratory (SSFL), I am deeply concerned that the site is fully cleaned up. I support the agreement that was made in 2010, when NASA agreed to clean up its property at SSFL to background levels of contaminants, meaning that it would clean up ALL the contamination that it found. This is what the community had wanted all along!

NASA's portion of SSFL is extremely contaminated with TCE, perchlorate, PCBs, dioxins and heavy metals - all of which can have serious health impacts for anyone who is exposed. And, the contamination is prone to migrate from the site, putting all of us who live nearby at risk.

So, it is very important for me to comment on the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) that NASA recently published. NASA must absolutely uphold all of the commitments it has made to the State of California and to our community in the 2010 agreement to clean up SSFL to background.

This cleanup agreement (Agreement on Consent or AOC) has sensible provisions for the protection of endangered species and Native American artifacts. It does not, however, allow NASA to evade cleaning up contamination by trying to avoid demolishing the crumbling rocket test stands, which is where much of the pollution is located. It's not possible to clean up beneath those test stands without getting them out of the way first. And the AOC commits NASA to cleaning up all the toxic material in the soil, including around and beneath those test stands and other structures.

If the contamination at SSFL is not fully cleaned up, it will continue to threaten us locals every time the wind blows or it rains, causing the toxic materials to migrate offsite to neighboring areas.

So, do the right thing. Stop trying to resist fulfilling what NASA promised to do. Fulfill your obligations under the AOC to the letter, fully complying with all of its provisions to clean up the Santa Susana Field Laboratory to background levels. Those of us who live near the site are the ones who will be affected most.

Sincerely,

Anita Khermandalian

From: [Steve Khermandalian](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Local Resident Comments on NASA's SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Tuesday, October 01, 2013 11:20:37 AM

Dear Mr. Elliott,

As a resident living in the area near the Santa Susana Field Laboratory (SSFL), I am deeply concerned that the site is fully cleaned up. I support the agreement that was made in 2010, when NASA agreed to clean up its property at SSFL to background levels of contaminants, meaning that it would clean up ALL the contamination that it found. This is what the community had wanted all along!

NASA's portion of SSFL is extremely contaminated with TCE, perchlorate, PCBs, dioxins and heavy metals - all of which can have serious health impacts for anyone who is exposed. And, the contamination is prone to migrate from the site, putting all of us who live nearby at risk.

So, it is very important for me to comment on the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) that NASA recently published. NASA must absolutely uphold all of the commitments it has made to the State of California and to our community in the 2010 agreement to clean up SSFL to background.

This cleanup agreement (Agreement on Consent or AOC) has sensible provisions for the protection of endangered species and Native American artifacts. It does not, however, allow NASA to evade cleaning up contamination by trying to avoid demolishing the crumbling rocket test stands, which is where much of the pollution is located. It's not possible to clean up beneath those test stands without getting them out of the way first. And the AOC commits NASA to cleaning up all the toxic material in the soil, including around and beneath those test stands and other structures.

If the contamination at SSFL is not fully cleaned up, it will continue to threaten us locals every time the wind blows or it rains, causing the toxic materials to migrate offsite to neighboring areas.

So, do the right thing. Stop trying to resist fulfilling what NASA promised to do. Fulfill your obligations under the AOC to the letter, fully complying with all of its provisions to clean up the Santa Susana Field Laboratory to background levels. Those of us who live near the site are the ones who will be affected most.

Sincerely,

Steve Khermandalian

From: [Christina McCarty](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Local Resident Comments on NASA's SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Tuesday, October 01, 2013 2:35:35 PM

Dear Mr. Elliott,

As a resident living in the area near the Santa Susana Field Laboratory (SSFL), I am deeply concerned that the site is fully cleaned up. I support the agreement that was made in 2010, when NASA agreed to clean up its property at SSFL to background levels of contaminants, meaning that it would clean up ALL the contamination that it found. This is what the community had wanted all along!

NASA's portion of SSFL is extremely contaminated with TCE, perchlorate, PCBs, dioxins and heavy metals - all of which can have serious health impacts for anyone who is exposed. And, the contamination is prone to migrate from the site, putting all of us who live nearby at risk.

So, it is very important for me to comment on the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) that NASA recently published. NASA must absolutely uphold all of the commitments it has made to the State of California and to our community in the 2010 agreement to clean up SSFL to background.

This cleanup agreement (Agreement on Consent or AOC) has sensible provisions for the protection of endangered species and Native American artifacts. It does not, however, allow NASA to evade cleaning up contamination by trying to avoid demolishing the crumbling rocket test stands, which is where much of the pollution is located. It's not possible to clean up beneath those test stands without getting them out of the way first. And the AOC commits NASA to cleaning up all the toxic material in the soil, including around and beneath those test stands and other structures.

If the contamination at SSFL is not fully cleaned up, it will continue to threaten us locals every time the wind blows or it rains, causing the toxic materials to migrate offsite to neighboring areas.

So, do the right thing. Stop trying to resist fulfilling what NASA promised to do. Fulfill your obligations under the AOC to the letter, fully complying with all of its provisions to clean up the Santa Susana Field Laboratory to background levels. Those of us who live near the site are the ones who will be affected most.

Sincerely,

Christina McCarty

From: [Coleen Friedmann](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Local Resident Comments on NASA's SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Tuesday, October 01, 2013 4:18:29 PM

Dear Mr. Elliott,

As a resident living in the area near the Santa Susana Field Laboratory (SSFL), I am deeply concerned that the site is fully cleaned up. I support the agreement that was made in 2010, when NASA agreed to clean up its property at SSFL to background levels of contaminants, meaning that it would clean up ALL the contamination that it found. This is what the community had wanted all along!

NASA's portion of SSFL is extremely contaminated with TCE, perchlorate, PCBs, dioxins and heavy metals - all of which can have serious health impacts for anyone who is exposed. And, the contamination is prone to migrate from the site, putting all of us who live nearby at risk.

So, it is very important for me to comment on the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) that NASA recently published. NASA must absolutely uphold all of the commitments it has made to the State of California and to our community in the 2010 agreement to clean up SSFL to background.

This cleanup agreement (Agreement on Consent or AOC) has sensible provisions for the protection of endangered species and Native American artifacts. It does not, however, allow NASA to evade cleaning up contamination by trying to avoid demolishing the crumbling rocket test stands, which is where much of the pollution is located. It's not possible to clean up beneath those test stands without getting them out of the way first. And the AOC commits NASA to cleaning up all the toxic material in the soil, including around and beneath those test stands and other structures.

If the contamination at SSFL is not fully cleaned up, it will continue to threaten us locals every time the wind blows or it rains, causing the toxic materials to migrate offsite to neighboring areas.

So, do the right thing. Stop trying to resist fulfilling what NASA promised to do. Fulfill your obligations under the AOC to the letter, fully complying with all of its provisions to clean up the Santa Susana Field Laboratory to background levels. Those of us who live near the site are the ones who will be affected most.

Sincerely,

Coleen Friedmann

From: [Lewin Minter](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Local Resident Comments on NASA's SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Tuesday, October 01, 2013 4:43:24 PM

Dear Mr. Elliott,

As a resident living in the area near the Santa Susana Field Laboratory (SSFL), I am deeply concerned that the site is fully cleaned up. I support the agreement that was made in 2010, when NASA agreed to clean up its property at SSFL to background levels of contaminants, meaning that it would clean up ALL the contamination that it found. This is what the community had wanted all along!

NASA's portion of SSFL is extremely contaminated with TCE, perchlorate, PCBs, dioxins and heavy metals - all of which can have serious health impacts for anyone who is exposed. And, the contamination is prone to migrate from the site, putting all of us who live nearby at risk.

So, it is very important for me to comment on the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) that NASA recently published. NASA must absolutely uphold all of the commitments it has made to the State of California and to our community in the 2010 agreement to clean up SSFL to background.

This cleanup agreement (Agreement on Consent or AOC) has sensible provisions for the protection of endangered species and Native American artifacts. It does not, however, allow NASA to evade cleaning up contamination by trying to avoid demolishing the crumbling rocket test stands, which is where much of the pollution is located. It's not possible to clean up beneath those test stands without getting them out of the way first. And the AOC commits NASA to cleaning up all the toxic material in the soil, including around and beneath those test stands and other structures.

If the contamination at SSFL is not fully cleaned up, it will continue to threaten us locals every time the wind blows or it rains, causing the toxic materials to migrate offsite to neighboring areas.

So, do the right thing. Stop trying to resist fulfilling what NASA promised to do. Fulfill your obligations under the AOC to the letter, fully complying with all of its provisions to clean up the Santa Susana Field Laboratory to background levels. Those of us who live near the site are the ones who will be affected most.

Sincerely,

Lewin Minter

From: [Stephanie Frasco](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Local Resident Comments on NASA's SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Tuesday, October 01, 2013 5:40:13 PM

Dear Mr. Elliott,

As a resident living in the area near the Santa Susana Field Laboratory (SSFL), I am deeply concerned that the site is fully cleaned up. I support the agreement that was made in 2010, when NASA agreed to clean up its property at SSFL to background levels of contaminants, meaning that it would clean up ALL the contamination that it found. This is what the community had wanted all along!

NASA's portion of SSFL is extremely contaminated with TCE, perchlorate, PCBs, dioxins and heavy metals - all of which can have serious health impacts for anyone who is exposed. And, the contamination is prone to migrate from the site, putting all of us who live nearby at risk.

So, it is very important for me to comment on the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) that NASA recently published. NASA must absolutely uphold all of the commitments it has made to the State of California and to our community in the 2010 agreement to clean up SSFL to background.

This cleanup agreement (Agreement on Consent or AOC) has sensible provisions for the protection of endangered species and Native American artifacts. It does not, however, allow NASA to evade cleaning up contamination by trying to avoid demolishing the crumbling rocket test stands, which is where much of the pollution is located. It's not possible to clean up beneath those test stands without getting them out of the way first. And the AOC commits NASA to cleaning up all the toxic material in the soil, including around and beneath those test stands and other structures.

If the contamination at SSFL is not fully cleaned up, it will continue to threaten us locals every time the wind blows or it rains, causing the toxic materials to migrate offsite to neighboring areas.

So, do the right thing. Stop trying to resist fulfilling what NASA promised to do. Fulfill your obligations under the AOC to the letter, fully complying with all of its provisions to clean up the Santa Susana Field Laboratory to background levels. Those of us who live near the site are the ones who will be affected most.

Sincerely,

Stephanie Frasco

From: [Ronda Apodaca](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Local Resident Comments on NASA's SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Tuesday, October 01, 2013 5:45:59 PM

Dear Mr. Elliott,

As a resident living in the area near the Santa Susana Field Laboratory (SSFL), I am deeply concerned that the site is fully cleaned up. I support the agreement that was made in 2010, when NASA agreed to clean up its property at SSFL to background levels of contaminants, meaning that it would clean up ALL the contamination that it found. This is what the community had wanted all along!

NASA's portion of SSFL is extremely contaminated with TCE, perchlorate, PCBs, dioxins and heavy metals - all of which can have serious health impacts for anyone who is exposed. And, the contamination is prone to migrate from the site, putting all of us who live nearby at risk.

So, it is very important for me to comment on the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) that NASA recently published. NASA must absolutely uphold all of the commitments it has made to the State of California and to our community in the 2010 agreement to clean up SSFL to background.

This cleanup agreement (Agreement on Consent or AOC) has sensible provisions for the protection of endangered species and Native American artifacts. It does not, however, allow NASA to evade cleaning up contamination by trying to avoid demolishing the crumbling rocket test stands, which is where much of the pollution is located. It's not possible to clean up beneath those test stands without getting them out of the way first. And the AOC commits NASA to cleaning up all the toxic material in the soil, including around and beneath those test stands and other structures.

If the contamination at SSFL is not fully cleaned up, it will continue to threaten us locals every time the wind blows or it rains, causing the toxic materials to migrate offsite to neighboring areas.

So, do the right thing. Stop trying to resist fulfilling what NASA promised to do. Fulfill your obligations under the AOC to the letter, fully complying with all of its provisions to clean up the Santa Susana Field Laboratory to background levels. Those of us who live near the site are the ones who will be affected most.

Sincerely,

Ronda Apodaca

From: [Diane Crosley](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Local Resident Comments on NASA's SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Tuesday, October 01, 2013 6:24:44 PM

Dear Mr. Elliott,

As a resident living in the area near the Santa Susana Field Laboratory (SSFL), I am deeply concerned that the site is fully cleaned up. I support the agreement that was made in 2010, when NASA agreed to clean up its property at SSFL to background levels of contaminants, meaning that it would clean up ALL the contamination that it found. This is what the community had wanted all along!

NASA's portion of SSFL is extremely contaminated with TCE, perchlorate, PCBs, dioxins and heavy metals - all of which can have serious health impacts for anyone who is exposed. And, the contamination is prone to migrate from the site, putting all of us who live nearby at risk.

So, it is very important for me to comment on the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) that NASA recently published. NASA must absolutely uphold all of the commitments it has made to the State of California and to our community in the 2010 agreement to clean up SSFL to background.

This cleanup agreement (Agreement on Consent or AOC) has sensible provisions for the protection of endangered species and Native American artifacts. It does not, however, allow NASA to evade cleaning up contamination by trying to avoid demolishing the crumbling rocket test stands, which is where much of the pollution is located. It's not possible to clean up beneath those test stands without getting them out of the way first. And the AOC commits NASA to cleaning up all the toxic material in the soil, including around and beneath those test stands and other structures.

If the contamination at SSFL is not fully cleaned up, it will continue to threaten us locals every time the wind blows or it rains, causing the toxic materials to migrate offsite to neighboring areas.

So, do the right thing. Stop trying to resist fulfilling what NASA promised to do. Fulfill your obligations under the AOC to the letter, fully complying with all of its provisions to clean up the Santa Susana Field Laboratory to background levels. Those of us who live near the site are the ones who will be affected most.

Sincerely,

Diane Crosley

From: [Suzanne Gillhaus](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Local Resident Comments on NASA's SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Tuesday, October 01, 2013 7:08:39 PM

Dear Mr. Elliott,

As a resident living in the area near the Santa Susana Field Laboratory (SSFL), I am deeply concerned that the site is fully cleaned up. I support the agreement that was made in 2010, when NASA agreed to clean up its property at SSFL to background levels of contaminants, meaning that it would clean up ALL the contamination that it found. This is what the community had wanted all along!

NASA's portion of SSFL is extremely contaminated with TCE, perchlorate, PCBs, dioxins and heavy metals - all of which can have serious health impacts for anyone who is exposed. And, the contamination is prone to migrate from the site, putting all of us who live nearby at risk.

So, it is very important for me to comment on the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) that NASA recently published. NASA must absolutely uphold all of the commitments it has made to the State of California and to our community in the 2010 agreement to clean up SSFL to background.

This cleanup agreement (Agreement on Consent or AOC) has sensible provisions for the protection of endangered species and Native American artifacts. It does not, however, allow NASA to evade cleaning up contamination by trying to avoid demolishing the crumbling rocket test stands, which is where much of the pollution is located. It's not possible to clean up beneath those test stands without getting them out of the way first. And the AOC commits NASA to cleaning up all the toxic material in the soil, including around and beneath those test stands and other structures.

If the contamination at SSFL is not fully cleaned up, it will continue to threaten us locals every time the wind blows or it rains, causing the toxic materials to migrate offsite to neighboring areas.

So, do the right thing. Stop trying to resist fulfilling what NASA promised to do. Fulfill your obligations under the AOC to the letter, fully complying with all of its provisions to clean up the Santa Susana Field Laboratory to background levels. Those of us who live near the site are the ones who will be affected most.

Sincerely,

Suzanne Gillhaus

From: [Deena Parry](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Local Resident Comments on NASA's SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Tuesday, October 01, 2013 7:33:49 PM

Dear Mr. Elliott,

As a resident living in the area near the Santa Susana Field Laboratory (SSFL), I am deeply concerned that the site is fully cleaned up. I support the agreement that was made in 2010, when NASA agreed to clean up its property at SSFL to background levels of contaminants, meaning that it would clean up ALL the contamination that it found. This is what the community had wanted all along!

NASA's portion of SSFL is extremely contaminated with TCE, perchlorate, PCBs, dioxins and heavy metals - all of which can have serious health impacts for anyone who is exposed. And, the contamination is prone to migrate from the site, putting all of us who live nearby at risk.

So, it is very important for me to comment on the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) that NASA recently published. NASA must absolutely uphold all of the commitments it has made to the State of California and to our community in the 2010 agreement to clean up SSFL to background.

This cleanup agreement (Agreement on Consent or AOC) has sensible provisions for the protection of endangered species and Native American artifacts. It does not, however, allow NASA to evade cleaning up contamination by trying to avoid demolishing the crumbling rocket test stands, which is where much of the pollution is located. It's not possible to clean up beneath those test stands without getting them out of the way first. And the AOC commits NASA to cleaning up all the toxic material in the soil, including around and beneath those test stands and other structures.

If the contamination at SSFL is not fully cleaned up, it will continue to threaten us locals every time the wind blows or it rains, causing the toxic materials to migrate offsite to neighboring areas.

So, do the right thing. Stop trying to resist fulfilling what NASA promised to do. Fulfill your obligations under the AOC to the letter, fully complying with all of its provisions to clean up the Santa Susana Field Laboratory to background levels. Those of us who live near the site are the ones who will be affected most.

Sincerely,

Deena Parry

From: [Anita Khermandalian](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Local Resident Comments on NASA's SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Tuesday, October 01, 2013 8:23:07 PM

Dear Mr. Elliott,

As a resident living in the area near the Santa Susana Field Laboratory (SSFL), I am deeply concerned that the site is fully cleaned up. I support the agreement that was made in 2010, when NASA agreed to clean up its property at SSFL to background levels of contaminants, meaning that it would clean up ALL the contamination that it found. This is what the community had wanted all along!

NASA's portion of SSFL is extremely contaminated with TCE, perchlorate, PCBs, dioxins and heavy metals - all of which can have serious health impacts for anyone who is exposed. And, the contamination is prone to migrate from the site, putting all of us who live nearby at risk.

So, it is very important for me to comment on the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) that NASA recently published. NASA must absolutely uphold all of the commitments it has made to the State of California and to our community in the 2010 agreement to clean up SSFL to background.

This cleanup agreement (Agreement on Consent or AOC) has sensible provisions for the protection of endangered species and Native American artifacts. It does not, however, allow NASA to evade cleaning up contamination by trying to avoid demolishing the crumbling rocket test stands, which is where much of the pollution is located. It's not possible to clean up beneath those test stands without getting them out of the way first. And the AOC commits NASA to cleaning up all the toxic material in the soil, including around and beneath those test stands and other structures.

If the contamination at SSFL is not fully cleaned up, it will continue to threaten us locals every time the wind blows or it rains, causing the toxic materials to migrate offsite to neighboring areas.

So, do the right thing. Stop trying to resist fulfilling what NASA promised to do. Fulfill your obligations under the AOC to the letter, fully complying with all of its provisions to clean up the Santa Susana Field Laboratory to background levels. Those of us who live near the site are the ones who will be affected most.

Sincerely,

Anita Khermandalian

From: [Ofra Abadi](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Local Resident Comments on NASA's SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Tuesday, October 01, 2013 8:32:42 PM

Dear Mr. Elliott,

As a resident living in the area near the Santa Susana Field Laboratory (SSFL), I am deeply concerned that the site is fully cleaned up. I support the agreement that was made in 2010, when NASA agreed to clean up its property at SSFL to background levels of contaminants, meaning that it would clean up ALL the contamination that it found. This is what the community had wanted all along!

NASA's portion of SSFL is extremely contaminated with TCE, perchlorate, PCBs, dioxins and heavy metals - all of which can have serious health impacts for anyone who is exposed. And, the contamination is prone to migrate from the site, putting all of us who live nearby at risk.

So, it is very important for me to comment on the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) that NASA recently published. NASA must absolutely uphold all of the commitments it has made to the State of California and to our community in the 2010 agreement to clean up SSFL to background.

This cleanup agreement (Agreement on Consent or AOC) has sensible provisions for the protection of endangered species and Native American artifacts. It does not, however, allow NASA to evade cleaning up contamination by trying to avoid demolishing the crumbling rocket test stands, which is where much of the pollution is located. It's not possible to clean up beneath those test stands without getting them out of the way first. And the AOC commits NASA to cleaning up all the toxic material in the soil, including around and beneath those test stands and other structures.

If the contamination at SSFL is not fully cleaned up, it will continue to threaten us locals every time the wind blows or it rains, causing the toxic materials to migrate offsite to neighboring areas.

So, do the right thing. Stop trying to resist fulfilling what NASA promised to do. Fulfill your obligations under the AOC to the letter, fully complying with all of its provisions to clean up the Santa Susana Field Laboratory to background levels. Those of us who live near the site are the ones who will be affected most.

Sincerely,

Ofra Abadi

From: [Pamela Demirdjian](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Local Resident Comments on NASA's SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Tuesday, October 01, 2013 9:19:03 PM

Dear Mr. Elliott,

As a resident living in the area near the Santa Susana Field Laboratory (SSFL), I am deeply concerned that the site is fully cleaned up. I support the agreement that was made in 2010, when NASA agreed to clean up its property at SSFL to background levels of contaminants, meaning that it would clean up ALL the contamination that it found. This is what the community had wanted all along!

NASA's portion of SSFL is extremely contaminated with TCE, perchlorate, PCBs, dioxins and heavy metals - all of which can have serious health impacts for anyone who is exposed. And, the contamination is prone to migrate from the site, putting all of us who live nearby at risk.

So, it is very important for me to comment on the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) that NASA recently published. NASA must absolutely uphold all of the commitments it has made to the State of California and to our community in the 2010 agreement to clean up SSFL to background.

This cleanup agreement (Agreement on Consent or AOC) has sensible provisions for the protection of endangered species and Native American artifacts. It does not, however, allow NASA to evade cleaning up contamination by trying to avoid demolishing the crumbling rocket test stands, which is where much of the pollution is located. It's not possible to clean up beneath those test stands without getting them out of the way first. And the AOC commits NASA to cleaning up all the toxic material in the soil, including around and beneath those test stands and other structures.

If the contamination at SSFL is not fully cleaned up, it will continue to threaten us locals every time the wind blows or it rains, causing the toxic materials to migrate offsite to neighboring areas.

So, do the right thing. Stop trying to resist fulfilling what NASA promised to do. Fulfill your obligations under the AOC to the letter, fully complying with all of its provisions to clean up the Santa Susana Field Laboratory to background levels. Those of us who live near the site are the ones who will be affected most.

Sincerely,

Pamela Demirdjian

From: [Pattie Montgomery](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Local Resident Comments on NASA's SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Tuesday, October 01, 2013 10:45:15 PM

Dear Mr. Elliott,

As a resident living in the area near the Santa Susana Field Laboratory (SSFL), I am deeply concerned that the site is fully cleaned up. I support the agreement that was made in 2010, when NASA agreed to clean up its property at SSFL to background levels of contaminants, meaning that it would clean up ALL the contamination that it found. This is what the community had wanted all along!

NASA's portion of SSFL is extremely contaminated with TCE, perchlorate, PCBs, dioxins and heavy metals - all of which can have serious health impacts for anyone who is exposed. And, the contamination is prone to migrate from the site, putting all of us who live nearby at risk.

So, it is very important for me to comment on the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) that NASA recently published. NASA must absolutely uphold all of the commitments it has made to the State of California and to our community in the 2010 agreement to clean up SSFL to background.

This cleanup agreement (Agreement on Consent or AOC) has sensible provisions for the protection of endangered species and Native American artifacts. It does not, however, allow NASA to evade cleaning up contamination by trying to avoid demolishing the crumbling rocket test stands, which is where much of the pollution is located. It's not possible to clean up beneath those test stands without getting them out of the way first. And the AOC commits NASA to cleaning up all the toxic material in the soil, including around and beneath those test stands and other structures.

If the contamination at SSFL is not fully cleaned up, it will continue to threaten us locals every time the wind blows or it rains, causing the toxic materials to migrate offsite to neighboring areas.

So, do the right thing. Stop trying to resist fulfilling what NASA promised to do. Fulfill your obligations under the AOC to the letter, fully complying with all of its provisions to clean up the Santa Susana Field Laboratory to background levels. Those of us who live near the site are the ones who will be affected most.

Sincerely,

Pattie Montgomery

From: [Marina Shahbazian](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Local Resident Comments on NASA's SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Tuesday, October 01, 2013 11:10:31 PM

Dear Mr. Elliott,

As a resident living in the area near the Santa Susana Field Laboratory (SSFL), I am deeply concerned that the site is fully cleaned up. I support the agreement that was made in 2010, when NASA agreed to clean up its property at SSFL to background levels of contaminants, meaning that it would clean up ALL the contamination that it found. This is what the community had wanted all along!

NASA's portion of SSFL is extremely contaminated with TCE, perchlorate, PCBs, dioxins and heavy metals - all of which can have serious health impacts for anyone who is exposed. And, the contamination is prone to migrate from the site, putting all of us who live nearby at risk.

So, it is very important for me to comment on the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) that NASA recently published. NASA must absolutely uphold all of the commitments it has made to the State of California and to our community in the 2010 agreement to clean up SSFL to background.

This cleanup agreement (Agreement on Consent or AOC) has sensible provisions for the protection of endangered species and Native American artifacts. It does not, however, allow NASA to evade cleaning up contamination by trying to avoid demolishing the crumbling rocket test stands, which is where much of the pollution is located. It's not possible to clean up beneath those test stands without getting them out of the way first. And the AOC commits NASA to cleaning up all the toxic material in the soil, including around and beneath those test stands and other structures.

If the contamination at SSFL is not fully cleaned up, it will continue to threaten us locals every time the wind blows or it rains, causing the toxic materials to migrate offsite to neighboring areas.

So, do the right thing. Stop trying to resist fulfilling what NASA promised to do. Fulfill your obligations under the AOC to the letter, fully complying with all of its provisions to clean up the Santa Susana Field Laboratory to background levels. Those of us who live near the site are the ones who will be affected most.

Sincerely,

Marina Shahbazian

From: [Lida Shahbazian](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Local Resident Comments on NASA's SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Tuesday, October 01, 2013 11:22:47 PM

Dear Mr. Elliott,

As a resident living in the area near the Santa Susana Field Laboratory (SSFL), I am deeply concerned that the site is fully cleaned up. I support the agreement that was made in 2010, when NASA agreed to clean up its property at SSFL to background levels of contaminants, meaning that it would clean up ALL the contamination that it found. This is what the community had wanted all along!

NASA's portion of SSFL is extremely contaminated with TCE, perchlorate, PCBs, dioxins and heavy metals - all of which can have serious health impacts for anyone who is exposed. And, the contamination is prone to migrate from the site, putting all of us who live nearby at risk.

So, it is very important for me to comment on the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) that NASA recently published. NASA must absolutely uphold all of the commitments it has made to the State of California and to our community in the 2010 agreement to clean up SSFL to background.

This cleanup agreement (Agreement on Consent or AOC) has sensible provisions for the protection of endangered species and Native American artifacts. It does not, however, allow NASA to evade cleaning up contamination by trying to avoid demolishing the crumbling rocket test stands, which is where much of the pollution is located. It's not possible to clean up beneath those test stands without getting them out of the way first. And the AOC commits NASA to cleaning up all the toxic material in the soil, including around and beneath those test stands and other structures.

If the contamination at SSFL is not fully cleaned up, it will continue to threaten us locals every time the wind blows or it rains, causing the toxic materials to migrate offsite to neighboring areas.

So, do the right thing. Stop trying to resist fulfilling what NASA promised to do. Fulfill your obligations under the AOC to the letter, fully complying with all of its provisions to clean up the Santa Susana Field Laboratory to background levels. Those of us who live near the site are the ones who will be affected most.

Sincerely,

Lida Shahbazian

From: [Lida Shahbazian](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Local Resident Comments on NASA's SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Tuesday, October 01, 2013 11:24:04 PM

Dear Mr. Elliott,

As a resident living in the area near the Santa Susana Field Laboratory (SSFL), I am deeply concerned that the site is fully cleaned up. I support the agreement that was made in 2010, when NASA agreed to clean up its property at SSFL to background levels of contaminants, meaning that it would clean up ALL the contamination that it found. This is what the community had wanted all along!

NASA's portion of SSFL is extremely contaminated with TCE, perchlorate, PCBs, dioxins and heavy metals - all of which can have serious health impacts for anyone who is exposed. And, the contamination is prone to migrate from the site, putting all of us who live nearby at risk.

So, it is very important for me to comment on the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) that NASA recently published. NASA must absolutely uphold all of the commitments it has made to the State of California and to our community in the 2010 agreement to clean up SSFL to background.

This cleanup agreement (Agreement on Consent or AOC) has sensible provisions for the protection of endangered species and Native American artifacts. It does not, however, allow NASA to evade cleaning up contamination by trying to avoid demolishing the crumbling rocket test stands, which is where much of the pollution is located. It's not possible to clean up beneath those test stands without getting them out of the way first. And the AOC commits NASA to cleaning up all the toxic material in the soil, including around and beneath those test stands and other structures.

If the contamination at SSFL is not fully cleaned up, it will continue to threaten us locals every time the wind blows or it rains, causing the toxic materials to migrate offsite to neighboring areas.

So, do the right thing. Stop trying to resist fulfilling what NASA promised to do. Fulfill your obligations under the AOC to the letter, fully complying with all of its provisions to clean up the Santa Susana Field Laboratory to background levels. Those of us who live near the site are the ones who will be affected most.

Sincerely,

Lida Shahbazian

From: [Christine Rowe](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#); [Elliott, Allen \(MSFC-AS01\)](#); [Fellows, Merrilee \(HQ-LD000\)](#); [ZORBA, PETER D. \(HQ-LP040\)](#); [GROMAN, JENNIFER A. \(HQ-LD020\)](#)
Subject: NPDES Permits, NEPA, and Section 106
Date: Wednesday, September 11, 2013 6:47:12 AM

Dear Mr. Elliott,

I have asked many times why there was no CEQA analysis for the ISRA action.

While I do understand that NASA was under Boeing's NPDES permit,

please read the following document.

It is my understanding that Boeing's permit is up for renewal next year. NASA may want to take these requirements below into consideration.

Respectfully submitted.

Christine L. Rowe
NASA SSFL Section 106 Consulting Party

http://cfpub.epa.gov/npdes/fedlaws.cfm?program_id=45

National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES)

[Recent Additions](#) | [Contact Us](#) Search NPDES: 

[EPA Home](#) > [OW Home](#) > [OWM Home](#) > [NPDES Home](#) > [NPDES Permit Program Basics](#) > Other Federal Laws

Applications

[eNOI](#)

[Permitted Universe and Backlog Reduction](#)

[State Program Information](#)

[Statutes and Regulations](#)

[Tools for Permit Writers](#)

[Water Quality and Technology-Based Permitting](#)

[Integrated Municipal Plans](#)

[Emerging Topics](#)

Other Federal Laws

NOTICE: All the links on this page are external links and link to non-EPA sites. Links to non-EPA sites do not imply any official EPA endorsement of, or responsibility for, the opinions, ideas, data or products presented at those locations, or guarantee the validity of the information provided. Links to non-EPA servers are provided solely as a pointer to information on topics related to environmental protection that may be useful to EPA staff and the public.

Other laws, besides the Clean Water Act, may apply to the NPDES permit program. The following links are provided to help you learn more about some of these laws that may affect NPDES implementation. Because these laws are implemented by other Federal agencies,

NPDES Permit Program Basics Information

[Recent Additions](#)

[FAQs](#)

[Publications](#)

[Regulations](#)

[Training & Meetings](#)

[Links](#)

[Contacts](#)

many of the links provided below are to Web sites outside of EPA. EPA is not responsible for the information provided on those Web sites. A brief discussion of how some of those laws relate to the NPDES program is also found at in the NPDES regulations at 40 CFR 122.49.

1. [Endangered Species Act \(ESA\)](#), 16 U.S.C. 1531 et seq. - The ESA was enacted to protect and conserve endangered and threatened species and critical habitat. The [Fish and Wildlife Service \(FWS\)](#) in the Department of the Interior and the [National Marine Fisheries Service \(NMFS\)](#) in the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (within the Department of Commerce) share responsibility for administration of the ESA.

Section 7 of the ESA requires that Federal agencies consult with the Services to ensure that any projects authorized, funded, or carried out by them are not likely to jeopardize the continued existence of any endangered species or threatened species, or result in the destruction or adverse modification of critical habitat of such species. The [ESA section 7 regulations](#) are found at 50 CFR part 402.

2. [National Environmental Policy Act \(NEPA\)](#), 42 U.S.C. 4321 et seq. - NEPA requires that agencies conduct environmental impact reviews ("Environmental Assessments" and "Environmental Impact Statements") for major Federal actions significantly affecting the quality of the human environment. The President's [Council on Environmental Quality](#) coordinates federal environmental efforts to comply with NEPA.. Within EPA, the Office of Federal Activities under the Office of Enforcement and Compliance Assurance (OECA) is responsible for EPA's implementation of NEPA. EPA's NEPA regulations are found at 40 CFR part 6. With respect to NPDES permits, section 511 of the Clean Water Act establishes that only EPA-issued permits to "new sources" (dischargers subject to New Source Performance Standards) are subject to NEPA's environmental review procedures prior to permit issuance. States may have their own state law versions of NEPA.

3. [National Historic Preservation Act \(NHPA\)](#), 16 U.S.C. 470 et seq. - Section 106 of the Act and implementing regulations ([36 CFR part 800](#)) require the Regional Administrator, before issuing a license (permit), to adopt measures



You will need Adobe Reader to view some of the files on this page. Files are best viewed in Internet Explorer and Adobe 8.0 or higher. See [EPA's PDF page](#) to learn more.

when feasible to mitigate potential adverse effects of the licensed activity and properties listed or eligible for listing in the National Register of Historic Places. The Act's requirements are to be implemented in cooperation with State Historic Preservation Officers and upon notice to, and when appropriate, in consultation with the [Advisory Council on Historic Preservation](#). The Advisory Council provides national oversight for the NHPA.

4. [Coastal Zone Management Act \(CZMA\)](#), 16 U.S.C. 1451 et seq. - CZMA was enacted to protect the Nation's coastal zone and is implemented through State-Federal partnerships. Section 307(c) of CZMA prohibits the issuance of NPDES permits for activities affecting land or water use in coastal zones unless the permit applicant certifies that the proposed activity complies with the State Coastal Zone Management Program. CZMA overseen by the [Office of Ocean and Coastal Resource Management](#) which is part of the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, within the Department of Commerce. CZMA's implementing regulations are found at [15 CFR part 930](#).

5. The Wild and Scenic Rivers Act, 16 U.S.C. 1273 et seq. - Section 7 of the Act prohibits the Regional Administrator from assisting by license or otherwise the construction of any water resources project that would have a direct, adverse effect on the values for which a national wild and scenic river was established.

6. Fish and Wildlife Coordination Act, 16 U.S.C. 661 et seq. - This Act requires that the Regional Administrator, before issuing a permit proposing or authorizing the impoundment (with certain exemptions), diversion, or other control or modification of any body of water, consult with the United States Fish and Wildlife Service, Department of the Interior, and the appropriate State agency exercising jurisdiction over wildlife resources to conserve those resources.

7. Essential Fish Habitat Provisions (EFH) of the Magnuson-Stevens Act. EFH promotes the protection of essential fish habitat in the review of projects conducted under Federal permits, licenses, or other authorities that affect or have the potential to affect such habitat. EFH requires that EPA consult with the National Marine Fisheries Service for any EPA-issued



permits which may adversely affect essential fish habitat identified under the Magnuson-Stevens Act. The EFH consultation interim final regulations were promulgated on December 19, 1997. They are codified at 50 CFR 600. A electronic copy of the Federal Register Notice for this rule can be found on the [NMFS Sustainable Fisheries Website](#).



[Office of Water](#) | [Office of Wastewater Management](#) | [Disclaimer](#) | [Search EPA](#)

[EPA Home](#) | [Privacy and Security Notice](#) | [Contact Us](#)

Last updated on December 05, 2012 2:49 PM

URL: <http://cfpub.epa.gov/npdes/fedlaws.cfm>

From: [Lyn Harris Hicks](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Need Your Help!!
Date: Wednesday, October 02, 2013 2:22:35 AM

To Program Director Allen Elliott:

From Lyn Harris Hicks, Government Relations Advocate for Southern California
Chapters of the US national United Nations Association of the World Federation of United Nations
Associations

Members and leaders of our San Fernando Valley Chapter if UNA have suffered for half a century of
waiting, and waiting, and waiting for clean-up of the lethal remnants of the partial melt-down. That
delay is unconscionable.

Now, there is a rumor that the 2010 agreements may be violated. Please speak out for compassion to
overrule profits. Please protect our families in the Simi and Northridge of our San Fernando Valley.

Lyn Harris Hicks

From: [Eric Estrin](mailto:Eric.Estrin@msfc.nasa.gov)
To: MSFC-SSFL-EIS
Cc: Barbara.Boxer@boxer.senate.gov; grant.cope@boxer.senate.gov; bettina.poirier@boxer.senate.gov; dianne.feinstein@feinstein.senate.gov; [Molly O'Brien@feinstein.senate.gov](mailto:Molly.O'Brien@feinstein.senate.gov); Elizabeth.Fenton@sen.ca.gov; William.Craven@sen.ca.gov; louise.rishoff@sen.ca.gov; damon.wing@ventura.org; linda.parks@ventura.org; Kelly.ThomasP@epa.gov; DRaphael@dtsc.ca.gov
Subject: NASA: Don't break your word on SSFL cleanup!
Date: Tuesday, October 01, 2013 4:34:55 PM

Dear Mr. Elliott,

My family and I live a few miles from the Santa Susana Field Lab. We have been concerned for years by the studies indicating elevated rates of certain cancers the closer one lives to the site -- both for ourselves and for the exposed workers at SSFL. We have been deeply troubled also by all we have learned of the immense pollution at the site, much of which is NASA's responsibility, and some of which has been migrating offsite. And we were very disappointed by the years of foot-dragging by NASA (and others) during which it strenuously resisted meeting its responsibilities to clean up the toxic stew it had created in the midst of our communities.

We were therefore exceedingly pleased, as were so many of our neighbors, when NASA signed the Administrative Order on Consent (AOC), a binding agreement to clean up the area to background. There were great hopes that the resistance to remedying the environmental disaster NASA had created in our neighborhood was finally over and that soon we would be protected.

But now NASA has issued a draft Environmental Impact Statement that looks like it was written by people trying to blow up the deal. The same people who failed in their duties to manage the property in an environmentally responsible way, who allowed vast quantities of toxic materials to just be dumped in the soil, pollute the groundwater, and illegally leave the property in groundwater and surface water, seem to be trying to subvert the agreement their agency solemnly executed to undo the damage.

The draft EIS raises the question: Is NASA incapable of keeping its word? Is it truly a science agency or, as suggested by the draft EIS, is it yet another cheesy political operation willing to twist the facts into a pretzel in order to try to squirm out of commitments it made to rectify the huge environmental damage it has created?

One would think that an Environmental Impact Statement on cleaning up toxic contamination -- indeed, one that includes the option of taking "no action" for cleanup -- would carefully and in detail document the injury to the environment that decades of NASA sloppiness created and the continued serious environmental impact that would occur if no action were taken. Yet the EIS is almost completely silent on the matter. Instead, it shamelessly tries to scare people about, of all things, trucks! Trucks have been going in and out of that site for decades, dragging in huge rocket engines and vast quantities of rocket fuel, as well as irradiated nuclear fuel for the reactors. Huge numbers of cars have gone in and out during those decades of operation. Not a peep from NASA then; if truck and car traffic were so horrible, the facility should never have been allowed to operate. The EIS doesn't disclose how much traffic has already occurred, but exaggerates (by its own admission, takes a "worst case" approach to) the numbers of trucks. In fact, if dispersed over several available routes, we are talking at most about a few trucks an hour, trivial in the scheme of things. But NASA

shamelessly tries to inflame fears of trucks, while remaining silent about what the public really fears -- the toxic waste NASA has dumped throughout the property that must get cleaned up in order to protect those of us living nearby.

There is essentially no analysis, no disclosure, of the environmental impacts of the pollutants. NASA claims serious impacts if it cleans up the groundwater or surface water, for example, but says almost nothing about having polluted a vast aquifer with horrible contaminants and the impact that has had and will continue to have if NASA walks away from its cleanup obligations. NASA claims serious impacts to biological resources if it cleans up the pollution, but completely downplays the impacts on the natural environment of all that contamination and the effects if the AOC is breached and the contaminants left intact. This seems like climate science denial rather than the kind of science one expects from NASA.

NASA destroyed an aquifer by dumping half a million gallons of TCE into it. Shouldn't one disclose and analyze that, and make clear the vast damage that would continue if NASA refuses to clean up the aquifer? NASA dumped vast quantities of highly toxic materials into the soil as well. But instead of disclosing and analyzing that environmental insult, the agency deals with the vastly more trivial impact of trucks taking the waste to a licensed disposal site, as though one were shipping Kleenex or children's toys. This pollution has to be removed. If you are concerned about trucks, use natural gas or electric ones, or take the shipments to a rail spur, all of which options you have refused to address. It seems clear someone at NASA is trying to push to break the AOC. The EIS is an absurd document, not addressing the real environmental impacts of "no action" and hyping trivial matters.

For example, the EIS makes a big deal regarding the 0.65 acres at the Burro Flats cave, which contains some cave paintings, implying that cleaning up to the AOC requirements could damage the paintings. But the AOC expressly exempts recognized Native American artifacts from the cleanup to background requirement. NASA knows that yet nonetheless tries to suggest the AOC could damage the cave paintings. Such conduct is really beneath what one should expect from a federal agency.

The EIS should thoroughly examine the environmental impacts of all the pollution NASA created, but it fails to do that, trying instead to scare people with false assertions about trucks and Native American cave paintings. The EIS should identify mitigations -- additional truck routes, natural gas or electric trucks, use of rail spurs, dispersing shipments over several routes, and serious revegetation plans for land NASA has already scraped away to build its test stands in the first place -- yet it doesn't do any of this. Instead, it plays games, suggesting leaving the test stands in place, for example, when NASA knows perfectly well that is where the contamination is, and you can't clean it up if you can't get to it.

The EIS is shameful. Someone is dishonestly manipulating what is supposed to examine environmental impacts of NASA's polluting of that site into instead an argument for why NASA should be allowed to walk away from the great majority, or all, of the contamination it created. If NASA were to do an EIS before having started at SSFL, proposing to strip the vegetation away to build rocket test stands, buildings, fuel storage, etc., and to dump a million gallons of TCE in the ground and vast quantities of PCBs, dioxins, perchlorate, VOCs, heavy metals, etc., contaminating soil, groundwater, surface water, and air, it would show immense environmental impacts that would be very hard to justify. But NASA's EIS is on whether to clean up all the contamination or to walk away from all the contamination, and there is no serious analysis of what the latter would do. It's like seeing a doctor who tells you

that if you choose to try to control your diabetes you are going to have watch your diet, increase your exercise and maybe take insulin, and how much of a drag that would be, without mentioning that if you don't do those things you can go blind, have limbs amputated, have a much higher risk of dying from a heart attack, etc.

Live up to the commitments made in the AOC. Clean it up to background, as promised. No more games.

Sincerely,

Eric Estrin

cc: Senators Boxer and Feinstein
Congresswoman Brownley
Senator Pavley
Ventura County Supervisor Parks
DTSC Director Raphael
Tom Kelly, EPA

From: [beverly buehner](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: NASA, Clean up your own mess now!
Date: Saturday, September 28, 2013 4:03:23 PM

Dear Mr. Elliot

Over many decades, NASA's poor environmental practices resulted in widespread contamination of the Santa Susana Field Laboratory near Los Angeles. Dioxins, perchlorate, trichloroethylene, heavy metals, Polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs), and other hazardous materials pollute the soil and groundwater at the site, and some have migrated offsite. In 2010, NASA entered into a legally binding agreement with the state of California to clean up all the contamination that could be found.

Now, NASA has published a Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the cleanup that suggests they may be trying to break its commitment. I strongly urge NASA to completely live up to its obligations, and carry out in full the thorough cleanup required by the 2010 agreement. NASA contaminated the site; NASA promised to clean it up completely; NASA should be true to its word.

beverly buehner

90409

From: [Christine Rowe](#)
To: [Fellows, Merrilee \(HQ-LD000\)](#); [Elliott, Allen \(MSFC-AS01\)](#); [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: NASA EIS
Date: Monday, September 02, 2013 7:34:33 PM

Dear Ms. Fellows,

I just checked the NASA SSFL website. I believe that at previous NASA EIS Scoping? meetings, there was someone recording our oral comments?

I was looking for a document that shows all of the oral and written comments that were submitted during that comment period. Does such a document exist?

Is NASA obligated to create such a document?

Is NASA obligated to make it public - or can it be made public without a FOIA request?

Thank you.

Respectfully,

Christine L. Rowe

From: [Bruce Rowe](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#); [Elliott, Allen \(MSFC-AS01\)](#); [Fellows, Merrilee \(HQ-LD000\)](#)
Subject: NASA Draft Environmental Impact Statement
Date: Monday, September 30, 2013 3:27:49 AM

Allen Elliott

SSFL Project Director

NASA MSFC AS01, Building 4494

Huntsville, AL 35812

RE: NASA Draft Environmental Impact Statement

Dear Mr. Elliott,

It appears to me that the cleanup of the Santa Susana Field Lab (SSFL) is being based on a political agenda created by antinuclear activists and not on any logical, practical, or scientific thinking. I am addressing the radiological contamination of the site. At the meeting that I attended on the NASA Draft Environmental Impact Statement, NASA personnel made it clear to those in attendance that NASA did not do any nuclear research in their areas of the SSFL site. However, in my opinion, that is not the general understanding of most people in the community who believe the whole SSFL site is highly radiologically contaminated.

The AOCs are not based on any health risk based factors. There is no evidence that people off site or people currently who are onsite are getting cancers from exposures to radiation on the site. If "hot" areas are found, they should be cleaned up. Are there any "hot" areas in the NASA administered property of the SSFL site?

The entire site should be cleaned up to the standards for an end use. However, by removing the amount of soil and therefore vegetation called for in the AOCs, an enormous amount of damage will be done and the risk to the surrounding community will most likely be much greater than the risk presented by the site if the site was cleaned up to a suburban residential standard. The AOC "cure" will or might lead to:

1. Increased pollution from the trucks used to carry away soil, vegetation, and the structures. This pollution would come from the fuel emissions from the trucks, the rubber from tires, the materials from brake linings, and so on. There would also be materials (dust) coming off the trucks from its cargo unless strict containment measures were taken to prevent that from happening. These air borne pollutants would increase the health risk to the community.
2. The trucks could also present traffic problems and increase the chance of traffic accidents.

3. Adherence to the AOCs would have a negative effect on cultural resources. The removal of all the test stands and associated structures would remove the opportunity for further generations to see, in situ, some of the remarkable technological achievements that were made during the Cold War generation which were used to protect the United States against attacks from foreign countries which also lead to the United States winning primacy in the space race. The Santa Susana Field Lab was primary and unique in this part of U.S. history.
4. The removal of the top two feet of top soil is also sure to destroy archeological information about the Native American presence in the area.
5. The destruction of the natural habitat will adversely affect the wildlife in the area in obvious ways. Of course, it will simply remove potential habitats. It will remove a part of the wildlife corridor that is important for the migration, nesting, and reproduction of the animals that use this area.
6. Removal of so much soil will also remove watershed and open up the area to flooding.

I believe that support for the AOCs is driven by propaganda and lobbying by antinuclear groups. It is my opinion that many of the most vocal members of these groups who have been participating in this cleanup – some for decades - have little to no scientific credentials or understanding of the current condition of the site. They often base their scare tactics on old and out dated reports that have no relevance to conditions that exist today. They create and propagate myths about what happened in the past and use anecdotal stories with no scientific relevance at all. They use dogmatic ideas based on a political, not a health and safety, agenda. Therefore, I believe that the AOCs should be rejected and a new agreement should be negotiated that is based on the real health risk of the site in relationship to an end use. By not basing the clean up on an end use, more damage will be done to the area in question than needs to be done and the surrounding community will be exposed to increased health and safety risks.

Sincerely,

Bruce M. Rowe

Emeritus Professor of Anthropology

Los Angeles Pierce College

September 29, 2013

From: [Christine Rowe](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Cc: [Elliott, Allen \(MSFC-AS01\)](#); [ZORBA, PETER D. \(HQ-LP040\)](#); [Fellows, Merrilee \(HQ-LD000\)](#)
Subject: NASA DEIS
Date: Tuesday, August 06, 2013 3:17:54 AM

Dear Mr. Elliott,

It was my intention to try to read the NASA DEIS and respond to it in a timely manner.

Late on Monday, August 5th, 2013, I received a GOOGLE ALERT regarding potential litigation against DTSC and the California Department of Health regarding the demolition of structures by Boeing in AREA IV of the Santa Susana Field Laboratory.

This alert, and subsequent documents that I have read, have forced me to take my eye off the NASA DEIS, and to focus on comments to DTSC, CDPH, and others as a response to this proposed litigation.

One of the complaints in this document has been that Boeing has been allowed to remove structures on their property without a complete EIR of the site. I believe that NASA employees have heard me ask that question for many years, and that you may have been copied on emails when I have asked why structures have been removed without community input. Those responses from DTSC have stated that building demolition falls under the County of Ventura and not under DTSC's control.

I respectfully request an extension of time should I not have the opportunity to complete written comments on the NASA DEIS by the deadline on the federal notice.

As in the past, my sleep schedule is not compatible with the schedules of people that may be 3 hours ahead. I would like the opportunity to speak to you late in the afternoon regarding the NASA DEIS and my plans for submission. Can you please indicate what days that you may be free in the late afternoon, and how late I could call you on PST time.

Thank you.

Respectfully,

Christine L. Rowe
NASA Section 106 Consultant and Santa Susana technical stakeholder

From: [Christine Rowe](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Cc: [Elliott, Allen \(MSFC-AS01\)](#); [Fellows, Merrilee \(HQ-LD000\)](#)
Subject: NASA DEIS Maps for schools and truck routes - point of clarification
Date: Sunday, September 29, 2013 11:02:34 PM

Dear Mr. Elliott,

On my previous comment related to schools and parks as indicated in Figure 3.12 - 3, I would like to make a clarification.

There are schools and parks that I listed in my previous comments that are missing on that map such as the New Community Jewish High School.

I would like to make it clear that by comparing the map in that figure mentioned above, with maps on Figures 4.5 -1, 4.5 - 2, and 4.5 - 3; there are many more school locations listed - but there are still some schools and parks missing. However those later maps related to schools and parks are more reflective of the actual school locations, and those figures do show Pierce College which is within 1 - 2 miles of the Topanga route.

I respectfully request that you recalculate your numbers for impacted schools based on some real research. Have your consultants contact these schools and find out how many students walk to school, how many are bused, how many bike, and how many are driven. If there are more cars, then that will impact your numbers for intersections your LOS as per Table 4.5 - 2.

Are you aware that there is a bike plan for Los Angeles that will also be impacted by your trucks? http://www.bicyclela.org/maps_main.htm

Respectfully submitted,

Christine L. Rowe

From: [Cindi Gortner](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Cc: [Barbara_Boxer@boxer.senate.gov](#); [grant_cope@epw.senate.gov](#); [bettina_poirier@epw.senate.gov](#); [Trevor_daley@feinstein.senate.gov](#); [Molly_O'Brien@feinstein.senate.gov](#); [Elizabeth.Fenton@SEN.CA.GOV](#); [William.Craven@SEN.CA.GOV](#); [louise.rishoff@sen.ca.gov](#); [nicole.bernson@lacity.org](#); [councilmember_englander@lacity.org](#); [damon.wing@ventura.org](#); [linda.parks@ventura.org](#); [bsaltsman@lacobos.org](#); [zev@bos.lacounty.org](#); [jdegonia@lacobos.org](#); [Kelly.ThomasP@epa.gov](#); [matt.dababneh@mail.house.gov](#)
Subject: NASA DEIS Comments - Live up to the cleanup agreement!
Date: Tuesday, October 01, 2013 11:30:34 PM

Dear Mr. Elliott,

I have both attended one of the NASA Community meetings on the DEIS and read through the document. As a very concerned local resident only a few miles from the site, I find it misleading and offensive that the DEIS focuses almost entirely on purported negative impacts of cleaning up the contamination rather than the beneficial environmental effects and health and safety benefits to those of us who live close by. Although NASA has repeatedly stated their commitment to the AOCs, the DEIS is a misleading document which suggests otherwise.

It is imperative that NASA keep its obligation to a cleanup to background as agreed to with the state of California in 2010.

I have three major complaints with the DEIS:

- 1) It is misleading in that it doesn't include the environmental hazards if the site is not cleaned up as promised. The document uses scare tactics to frighten people about the minor effects of the cleanup (e.g., trucks) without a discussion about the hazardous nature of the chemicals on the site. That is simply unethical. There is significant evidence from various studies of increased cancers in the nearby communities associated with closeness to SSFL. The contamination at SSFL is widespread, migrating, and composed of very toxic materials. Yet the EIS almost entirely ignores the environmental impact from having so thoroughly despoiled the land and water by all this pollution and the significant negative impact on the environment and all of us who live nearby if NASA were to default on its obligations under the AOC and leave much or all of the contamination not cleaned up.
- 2) NASA promised the Council on Environmental Quality (CEQ) and the Department of Toxic Substances Control (CEQ) that it would not include alternatives that would breach the AOC it signed, with the exception of the standard "No Action" alternative. The draft EIS breaches that, including in several other alternatives, all of which are barred by the AOC and all of which would involve NASA walking away from most of the contamination it created in our area without cleaning it up. This raises questions whether NASA's word is worth anything. NASA should remove those sections.
- 3) The hypocrisy of NASA playing the role of "environmental protector" of wildlife and so forth is disturbing. How can a government agency pollute hundreds of acres of land with terribly toxic chemicals and then put forth arguments against cleaning it up in the

name of protecting the environment? If NASA cared about the environment, they wouldn't have caused the contamination in the first place. The environment is POLLUTED at NASA's own doing, damaging the natural environment at SSFL terribly. The right thing now to do is to clean it up to protect the people and the wildlife.

Before I outline my specific comments on the DEIS, I want to incorporate an additional point. For more than 20 years the community has relied on the SSFL Inter-Agency Workgroup for providing residents an honest and unbiased source of information. It includes community representatives who truly are representative of the community's desire for full cleanup and support of the AOCs. Recently, a controversial "Community Advisory Group" has been created at the instigation of Boeing (see <http://www.enviroreporter.com/2012/12/operation-astroturf/all/1/>). Boeing has been lobbying against the AOCs and to be relieved of most of its cleanup obligations. The CAG is widely viewed as an "astroturf group," (i.e., fake grassroots, instigated by the polluter). It is comprised of former Boeing employees, contractors, aerospace workers, cleanup opponents, and even a lawyer for the Santa Ynez Chumash casino interests, which many fear aims to acquire the SSFL land, block cleanup, and establish a casino there. 3700 comments were received when the draft AOCs were out for public comment in 2010. All but about 15 strongly supported the AOCs. That tiny minority has constituted itself as a CAG to continue its efforts, in parallel with Boeing, to somehow block most of the contamination from having to be cleaned up. But as the numbers make clear, it doesn't represent the community, is a tiny minority, and is basically a surrogate for Boeing's efforts to frustrate the cleanup. I presume this will be shown once again in this comment period, with the great majority of the comments supporting the AOC and urging full compliance with it.

An EIS by design should focus on how to mitigate the environmental impacts of cleaning up to background. Instead, NASA focuses on arguments against the cleanup it had promised, without mention of the dire need for the action and the positive impacts of undoing all the decades of contamination NASA created. It is wrong to put this document forward to people without detailed information about the types of chemicals on the site, what quantities they are in, how they are hazardous, where they have been found to be offsite (water, soil, made airborne in past fires when contaminated vegetation burned, etc.) and so forth. However, the vast majority of the people that I have talked to when given a CHOICE between leaving chemical contamination and the quite minor impacts stated in the EIS about a few trucks an hour will select a cleanup to background.

A few specific comments include:

The AOC already has provisions if the Fish and Wildlife Service were to make findings requiring certain actions to protect endangered species. The EIS appears to imply it would like to hide behind issues of plants, etc. and not clean up contamination at the site. Instead it should focus on how it will restore and revegetate the land it has so thoroughly damaged over the past decades once the cleanup is complete.

There should be absolutely no discussion about NOT cleaning up under the test stands. We all know the test stands are where the chemicals were primarily used. It's absurd to not cleanup

that area.

The AOC provides an exception to the cleanup to background requirement for soil immediately nearby the Burro Flats cave paintings. Suggesting that the cave paintings can be damaged when NASA knows the AOC protects them is quite dishonest.

There is no mention of any of the studies regarding how the contamination has affected humans. The UCLA School of Public Health studies found the contamination at the site resulted in excess cancers among the workers. The study by the federal Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry (ATSDR) found elevated rates of cancers of the bladder, thyroid, and blood and lymph systems in people living offsite and associated with proximity to the site. The study for ATSDR by Professor Yoram Cohen and his UCLA team showed pollution from the site migrated offsite at levels in excess of EPA acceptable limits.

I do not care at all about the increased level of trucks going off site. Traffic is already bad, and this increase is completely trivial. But there are things NASA could nonetheless do to mitigate the truck traffic, such as natural gas or electric trucks or taking the shipments to a rail spur, and dispersing the trips over several routes, but NASA refuses to even consider them in the EIS. This tips NASA's hand, as it appears it isn't interested in doing a thoughtful EIS but rather trying to find excuses to get out of the AOC it signed.

NASA – do the right thing and live up to your promises. We who live near the site deserve better than the biased DEIS you put forth. Cleanup to background as you promised to do under the AOC and stop misleading the community.

Sincerely,

Cindi Gortner, local resident and concerned parent

From: [Christine Rowe](#)
To: [MSEC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Cc: [Elliott, Allen \(MSEC-AS01\); Fellows, Merrilee \(HO-LD000\)](#)
Subject: NASA DEIS ACTION ALERT
Date: Sunday, September 29, 2013 9:08:58 AM

Dear Mr. Elliott,

As the result of finding the action alert on FACEBOOK, I decided to do a GOOGLE search to find out what else I could find. Not only did I find that the Los Angeles City Council had voted to support the cleanup to the AOCs (I had no knowledge of this action since I no longer read Council files). But I then found this other action alert.

Did Congressmember Brownley have NASA appear before her committee on September 20th, 2013, and ask NASA to promise to clean up to the AOC level? Can you please send me the transcripts of what NASA said to this committee?

Where is the transparency by NASA to tell the rest of the community that it is taking this action in Congress?

Why is there a DEIS process if the cleanup standard is predetermined by Congress?

Please see below.

Christine L. Rowe
disappointed West Hills resident

There are multiple action alerts on the link below:

<http://org2.democracyinaction.org/o/5393/p/dia/action/public/index>

http://org2.democracyinaction.org/o/5393/p/dia/action/public/index.sjs?action_KEY=15447

"

Urgent Action Needed Now To Assure Cleanup of Contaminated Ventura County Rocket Test Site

Your help is needed now on a critical environmental and public health issue.



The most contaminated place in Ventura County is the Santa Susana Field Laboratory, where reactors and rockets were tested. One atomic reactor suffered a partial meltdown there in 1959; there were tens of thousands of rocket tests. Radioactive and chemical contamination resulted.

For decades, the fight to get the site cleaned up has raged. Finally, we got a breakthrough: in 2010, the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) and NASA, which operated key parts of the site, agreed to a cleanup to background levels of contaminants. In short, if they detect contamination from their activities, they will clean it up. This is what the community has sought for so long.

The Ventura County Democrats strongly supported the cleanup agreements (Administrative Orders on Consent or AOCs) as did elected officials such as Julia Brownley, Fran Pavley, Barbara Boxer, and thousands of local residents.

NASA has now published a Draft Environmental Impact Statement about the cleanup for public comment. There appear to be some within NASA who would like to break the agreement NASA signed. We need to make sure that doesn't happen.

Because of these concerns, Congresswoman Julia Brownley on September 20 questioned NASA on the matter at a hearing of the House Science and Technology Committee. She got NASA to repeatedly state, "NASA is committed to fulfilling our obligations under the AOC." We need to weigh in now to make sure that NASA indeed does what it promised.

ACTION NEEDED: PLEASE send in a comment NOW urging that NASA fully live up to the cleanup agreement they executed. (Comments are due no later than October 1.) You can send the sample message below or edit it or otherwise put it in your own words (generally best at the beginning of the email.) If you do personalize your message, we encourage you to customize the e-mail subject line too.

Sample E-mail

E-mails will be sent to:"

From: [Christine Rowe](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Cc: [Elliott, Allen \(MSFC-AS01\)](#); [Fellows, Merrilee \(HQ-LD000\)](#)
Subject: NASA DEIS
Date: Saturday, August 17, 2013 8:55:48 AM

Dear Mr. Elliott,

This is the first opportunity that I have had to review the hard copy that was sent to me of the DEIS for the Santa Susana Field Laboratory.

I have a couple of questions please:

1) I did see that you had included some of the alternatives that would not meet the AOC in this document. Will there be further information in the whole document to reflect those scenarios? Because from what I have seen so far, it appears that all structures would be removed, the archaeological sites could be impacted, and all vegetation and therefore all habitat would be removed?

2) You refer to a conveyor system that would meet up with a train. That would require eminent domain. It is my understanding that Simi Valley does not have a policy of eminent domain. Have you consulted their City Manager on this issue?

3) Eminent domain requires its own Environmental Impact Studies. This is what my nephew does for a living - accesses the cost of the property and purchases for the U.S. Government to gain the right of way. I believe this is at least a five year process according to him.

4) Mobile Source Air Toxics - this action only refers to NASA's contribution to the air quality = not the cumulative impact of the remediation of the whole SSFL site. I therefore think that the total potential emissions for all three parties needs to be addressed at the same time.

Please advise where you can.

Thank you.

Chris Rowe

From: [margery brown](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: NASA DEIS draft COMMENTS
Date: Saturday, September 28, 2013 6:51:04 PM

I would like to thank NASA for an understandable Draft EIS, and especially for the Executive Summary. And, while the NASA property is a relatively small part of the 2850 acres of the Santa Susana Field Laboratory, it is absolutely huge in importance, and the AOC agreements that NASA signed in 2010 involve a cleanup of any toxic chemicals or radionuclides to BACKGROUND, without any consideration or analysis of risk levels. Both Los Angeles and Ventura County officials have approved of the AOC agreement and expect NASA to abide by it's provisions.

Reading the entire DEIS, I have unfortunately come to the conclusion that NASA appears to be leaning in the direction of breaking it's AOC agreements, as can be seen in many parts of the DEIS. NASA appears to be very skilled in presenting, or not presenting statements and material that could be, to the average reader, both somewhat subtle, but, also very manipulative.

Both at the two recent meetings regarding the DEIS that were held at Corporate Pointe, and also in the DEIS itself, it was quite obvious that NASA is really anxious to effectively frighten the stakeholders by constantly talking about all of those hundreds and hundreds of trucks that will surely be a menace to school children, even though the schools are undoubtedly quite capable of formulating plans to prevent injuries to the students, possibly by keeping their street crossing guards on duty for longer periods of time.

COMMENTS

1. TEST STANDS

ALL of those test stands need to be demolished, but the DEIS seems to leave one of them standing for "historic" purposes. There are 500,000 gallons of TCE in the ground underneath those rusty test stands and the evaporating fumes from the TCE are harmful. Any test stand left standing can also be very dangerous to a child trying to climb up on one, who is liable to become history himself...or herself. One can picture the future lawsuits and wonder who will be liable for a serious injury or death to a victim. The test stands have already served their historic purpose and ALL of them need to be removed so that a complete cleanup of the soil can be accomplished.

2. ALTERNATIVES "ELIMINATED"

The DEIS, in Section II states that NASA only needs to discuss the AOC"s and NO ACTION, alternatives....but then goes on, incredibly, to present three other alternative standards for cleanup...Suburban Residential, Commercial and Recreational, complete with a fairly lengthy explanation and a chart showing yards of soil that would need to be removed...truck trips and etc. It appears that "Recreational" probably means a subtle attempt to describe an Open Space standard, which would leave almost 90% of the contamination in the soil....saving a ton of money, of course.

And just exactly WHY does NASA tell the reader that they have "eliminated" the above additional standard calculations....and then proceed on to describe them anyway? Why, especially since Sen. Barbara Boxer and the Council On Environmental Quality had

banned NASA from doing this particular thing? It certainly does appear that NASA has broken it's commitment to the aforementioned and important parties, and that it is quite manipulatively letting us know that it is planning on walking away from the AOC's.....sooner or later, and also telling us that NASA cannot be trusted to carry out it's commitments! It also lets the stakeholders know that they can stop worrying about having to dodge so many NASA trucks on the roads and near the schools. This *supposedly* "eliminated section needs to be ELIMINATED

3. CONTAMINATION AND CANCER

There is very little mention of the contaminants, either chemical or radioactive, that are present in great quantities in the SSFL soil or groundwater. This lack of information appears to be an attempt to minimize this kind of danger to human beings in order to possibly support a lower standard of cleanup than that of Background. Former workers on the site, and neighbors living close to it have contracted cancer from those contaminants, but the DEIS omits the studies that have been done regarding this too often fatal disease.

4. ENDANGERED PLANTS AND ANIMALS

It is amazing and disconcerting to note that the whole DEIS is much more concerned with the welfare and fate of the California Tar Plant and the Red Legged Frog, that it is with the human beings who have been its victims, over the years. The same could be said of the SSFL plants, in general...but yet, there is no described plan to replace those that would be necessarily be destroyed during the cleanup.

If this is kind of concern and reporting is an attempt to minimize the harm done by the NASA portion of the SSFL, it, again, appears to be intended to support the impression that NASA is about to break it's AOC agreement to clean up to background by making the whole NASA portion of the SSFL seem to be an endangered species. And, while endangered plants, wildlife and etc. are certainly an environmental concern, the welfare of human beings needs to come FIRST!

5. CULTURAL ARTIFACTS

While the DEIS does not describe how much soil would need to be removed around the Burrow's Flats, there are exceptions listed in the DEIS that would spare or prevent harm to this site, and possibly others. In other cases, if bones or skulls were to be unearthed, they would be removed to some other place than the SSFL. But, in any event, the welfare of human beings, past, present and future needs to be the primary consideration.

6. USE OF TRAIN CARS TO REMOVE CONTAMINATED SOIL

The NASA DEIS does an extremely very poor job of describing supposed problems surrounding the possibility of using empty railroad cars to remove contaminants, instead of all of those scary trucks. We are not told what possible routes could be used, or why a conveyor belt would be necessary. We are not told just which land owners would need to give their permission for a conveyor belt, or if any of them have even been contacted. There are just a few off-hand paragraphs as to why using train cars cannot be done...in orthr words,

pretty much just dismissed out of hand.

How about improving a mountain road, and hauling the removed soil on trucks over to a rail road spur line? Has that even been considered? Instead, the impression is that NASA prefers to keep on hyping up the dangers of all of those hundreds of trucks on our city streets, in order to hopefully get the cleanup standard lowered.

SUMMARY AND ASSESSMENT

The DEIS, as it stands, appears to be an interesting combination of NASA appearing to present all of the needed facts, combined with manipulative and not so subtle attempts to greatly feature a number pieces, of information, while ignoring others that are necessary and important to an EIS that is purporting, supposedly, to describe a cleanup to background. This AOC agreement was signed by NASA, in good faith, but the impression is inescapable that the agency has some other plans, like getting itself out from under this agreement and lowering the cleanup background possibly even to whatever this "recreational" standard is. And, it is probably just another description of an Open Space Agreement. **THIS IS NOT ACCEPTABLE !!!!**

NASA....You contaminated this property, and, YOU MUST NOW CLEAN IT UP TO BACKGROUND !!!!!!!

Margery Brown
22500-8 Jeffrey Mark Ct.,
Chatsworth, CA 91311-0175

From: [margery brown](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: NASA DEIS draft COMMENTS
Date: Saturday, September 28, 2013 5:02:32 PM

I would like to thank NASA for an understandable Draft EIS, and especially for the Executive Summary.

From: [Richard Cusano](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Local Simi Valley Resident Comments on NASA's SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Saturday, September 28, 2013 5:51:09 PM

Dear Mr. Elliott,

As a resident living in the area near the Santa Susana Field Laboratory (SSFL), I am deeply concerned, for the health of my family, that the site is fully cleaned up. I support the agreement that was made in 2010, when NASA agreed to clean up its property at SSFL to background levels of contaminants, meaning that it would clean up ALL the contamination that it found. This is what the community had wanted all along!

NASA's portion of SSFL is extremely contaminated with TCE, perchlorate, PCBs, dioxins and heavy metals - all of which can have serious health impacts for anyone who is exposed. And, the contamination is prone to migrate from the site, putting all of us who live nearby at risk.

So, it is very important for me to comment on the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) that NASA recently published. NASA must absolutely uphold all of the commitments it has made to the State of California and to our community in the 2010 agreement to clean up SSFL to background.

This cleanup agreement (Agreement on Consent or AOC) has sensible provisions for the protection of endangered species and Native American artifacts. It does not, however, allow NASA to evade cleaning up contamination by trying to avoid demolishing the crumbling rocket test stands, which is where much of the pollution is located. It's not possible to clean up beneath those test stands without getting them out of the way first. And the AOC commits NASA to cleaning up all the toxic material in the soil, including around and beneath those test stands and other structures.

If the contamination at SSFL is not fully cleaned up, it will continue to threaten us locals every time the wind blows or it rains, causing the toxic materials to migrate offsite to neighboring areas.

So, do the right thing. Stop trying to resist fulfilling what NASA promised to do. Fulfill your obligations under the AOC to the letter, fully complying with all of its provisions to clean up the Santa Susana Field Laboratory to background levels. Those of us who live near the site are the ones who will be affected most.

Sincerely,

Richard Cusano

From: [linda estrin](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Local Resident Comments on NASA's SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Wednesday, October 02, 2013 1:04:19 AM

Dear Mr. Elliott,

As a resident living in the area near the Santa Susana Field Laboratory (SSFL), I am deeply concerned that the site is fully cleaned up. I support the agreement that was made in 2010, when NASA agreed to clean up its property at SSFL to background levels of contaminants, meaning that it would clean up ALL the contamination that it found. This is what the community had wanted all along!

NASA's portion of SSFL is extremely contaminated with TCE, perchlorate, PCBs, dioxins and heavy metals - all of which can have serious health impacts for anyone who is exposed. And, the contamination is prone to migrate from the site, putting all of us who live nearby at risk.

So, it is very important for me to comment on the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) that NASA recently published. NASA must absolutely uphold all of the commitments it has made to the State of California and to our community in the 2010 agreement to clean up SSFL to background.

This cleanup agreement (Agreement on Consent or AOC) has sensible provisions for the protection of endangered species and Native American artifacts. It does not, however, allow NASA to evade cleaning up contamination by trying to avoid demolishing the crumbling rocket test stands, which is where much of the pollution is located. It's not possible to clean up beneath those test stands without getting them out of the way first. And the AOC commits NASA to cleaning up all the toxic material in the soil, including around and beneath those test stands and other structures.

If the contamination at SSFL is not fully cleaned up, it will continue to threaten us locals every time the wind blows or it rains, causing the toxic materials to migrate offsite to neighboring areas.

So, do the right thing. Stop trying to resist fulfilling what NASA promised to do. Fulfill your obligations under the AOC to the letter, fully complying with all of its provisions to clean up the Santa Susana Field Laboratory to background levels. Those of us who live near the site are the ones who will be affected most.

Sincerely,

linda estrin

From: [Devyn Gortner](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Local Resident Comments on NASA's SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Friday, September 27, 2013 4:46:31 PM

Dear Mr. Elliott,

As a resident living in the area near the Santa Susana Field Laboratory (SSFL), I am deeply concerned that the site is fully cleaned up. I support the agreement that was made in 2010, when NASA agreed to clean up its property at SSFL to background levels of contaminants, meaning that it would clean up ALL the contamination that it found. This is what the community had wanted all along!

NASA's portion of SSFL is extremely contaminated with TCE, perchlorate, PCBs, dioxins and heavy metals - all of which can have serious health impacts for anyone who is exposed. And, the contamination is prone to migrate from the site, putting all of us who live nearby at risk.

So, it is very important for me to comment on the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) that NASA recently published. NASA must absolutely uphold all of the commitments it has made to the State of California and to our community in the 2010 agreement to clean up SSFL to background.

This cleanup agreement (Agreement on Consent or AOC) has sensible provisions for the protection of endangered species and Native American artifacts. It does not, however, allow NASA to evade cleaning up contamination by trying to avoid demolishing the crumbling rocket test stands, which is where much of the pollution is located. It's not possible to clean up beneath those test stands without getting them out of the way first. And the AOC commits NASA to cleaning up all the toxic material in the soil, including around and beneath those test stands and other structures.

If the contamination at SSFL is not fully cleaned up, it will continue to threaten us locals every time the wind blows or it rains, causing the toxic materials to migrate offsite to neighboring areas.

So, do the right thing. Stop trying to resist fulfilling what NASA promised to do. Fulfill your obligations under the AOC to the letter, fully complying with all of its provisions to clean up the Santa Susana Field Laboratory to background levels. Those of us who live near the site are the ones who will be affected most.

Sincerely,

Devyn Gortner

From: [John Southwick](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Local Resident Comments on NASA's SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Friday, September 27, 2013 11:25:58 PM

Dear Mr. Elliott,

As a resident living in the area near the Santa Susana Field Laboratory (SSFL), I am deeply concerned that the site is fully cleaned up. I support the agreement that was made in 2010, when NASA agreed to clean up its property at SSFL to background levels of contaminants, meaning that it would clean up ALL the contamination that it found. This is what the community had wanted all along!

NASA's portion of SSFL is extremely contaminated with TCE, perchlorate, PCBs, dioxins and heavy metals - all of which can have serious health impacts for anyone who is exposed. And, the contamination is prone to migrate from the site, putting all of us who live nearby at risk.

So, it is very important for me to comment on the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) that NASA recently published. NASA must absolutely uphold all of the commitments it has made to the State of California and to our community in the 2010 agreement to clean up SSFL to background.

This cleanup agreement (Agreement on Consent or AOC) has sensible provisions for the protection of endangered species and Native American artifacts. It does not, however, allow NASA to evade cleaning up contamination by trying to avoid demolishing the crumbling rocket test stands, which is where much of the pollution is located. It's not possible to clean up beneath those test stands without getting them out of the way first. And the AOC commits NASA to cleaning up all the toxic material in the soil, including around and beneath those test stands and other structures.

If the contamination at SSFL is not fully cleaned up, it will continue to threaten us locals every time the wind blows or it rains, causing the toxic materials to migrate offsite to neighboring areas.

So, do the right thing. Stop trying to resist fulfilling what NASA promised to do. Fulfill your obligations under the AOC to the letter, fully complying with all of its provisions to clean up the Santa Susana Field Laboratory to background levels. Those of us who live near the site are the ones who will be affected most.

Sincerely,

John Southwick

From: [Sheila Irwin](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Local Resident Comments on NASA's SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Saturday, September 28, 2013 12:15:31 AM

Dear Mr. Elliott,

As a resident living in the area near the Santa Susana Field Laboratory (SSFL), I am deeply concerned that the site is fully cleaned up. I support the agreement that was made in 2010, when NASA agreed to clean up its property at SSFL to background levels of contaminants, meaning that it would clean up ALL the contamination that it found. This is what the community had wanted all along!

NASA's portion of SSFL is extremely contaminated with TCE, perchlorate, PCBs, dioxins and heavy metals - all of which can have serious health impacts for anyone who is exposed. And, the contamination is prone to migrate from the site, putting all of us who live nearby at risk.

So, it is very important for me to comment on the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) that NASA recently published. NASA must absolutely uphold all of the commitments it has made to the State of California and to our community in the 2010 agreement to clean up SSFL to background.

This cleanup agreement (Agreement on Consent or AOC) has sensible provisions for the protection of endangered species and Native American artifacts. It does not, however, allow NASA to evade cleaning up contamination by trying to avoid demolishing the crumbling rocket test stands, which is where much of the pollution is located. It's not possible to clean up beneath those test stands without getting them out of the way first. And the AOC commits NASA to cleaning up all the toxic material in the soil, including around and beneath those test stands and other structures.

If the contamination at SSFL is not fully cleaned up, it will continue to threaten us locals every time the wind blows or it rains, causing the toxic materials to migrate offsite to neighboring areas.

So, do the right thing. Stop trying to resist fulfilling what NASA promised to do. Fulfill your obligations under the AOC to the letter, fully complying with all of its provisions to clean up the Santa Susana Field Laboratory to background levels. Those of us who live near the site are the ones who will be affected most.

Sincerely,

Sheila Irwin

From: [Vida Jatulis](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Local Resident Comments on NASA's SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Saturday, September 28, 2013 4:17:44 PM

Dear Mr. Elliott,

As a resident living in the area near the Santa Susana Field Laboratory (SSFL), I am deeply concerned that the site is fully cleaned up. I support the agreement that was made in 2010, when NASA agreed to clean up its property at SSFL to background levels of contaminants, meaning that it would clean up ALL the contamination that it found. This is what the community had wanted all along!

NASA's portion of SSFL is extremely contaminated with TCE, perchlorate, PCBs, dioxins and heavy metals - all of which can have serious health impacts for anyone who is exposed. And, the contamination is prone to migrate from the site, putting all of us who live nearby at risk.

So, it is very important for me to comment on the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) that NASA recently published. NASA must absolutely uphold all of the commitments it has made to the State of California and to our community in the 2010 agreement to clean up SSFL to background.

This cleanup agreement (Agreement on Consent or AOC) has sensible provisions for the protection of endangered species and Native American artifacts. It does not, however, allow NASA to evade cleaning up contamination by trying to avoid demolishing the crumbling rocket test stands, which is where much of the pollution is located. It's not possible to clean up beneath those test stands without getting them out of the way first. And the AOC commits NASA to cleaning up all the toxic material in the soil, including around and beneath those test stands and other structures.

If the contamination at SSFL is not fully cleaned up, it will continue to threaten us locals every time the wind blows or it rains, causing the toxic materials to migrate offsite to neighboring areas.

So, do the right thing. Stop trying to resist fulfilling what NASA promised to do. Fulfill your obligations under the AOC to the letter, fully complying with all of its provisions to clean up the Santa Susana Field Laboratory to background levels. Those of us who live near the site are the ones who will be affected most.

Sincerely,

Vida Jatulis

From: [kris mae](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Local Resident Comments on NASA's SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Saturday, September 28, 2013 4:26:38 PM

Dear Mr. Elliott,

As a resident living in the area near the Santa Susana Field Laboratory (SSFL), I am deeply concerned that the site is fully cleaned up. I support the agreement that was made in 2010, when NASA agreed to clean up its property at SSFL to background levels of contaminants, meaning that it would clean up ALL the contamination that it found. This is what the community had wanted all along!

NASA's portion of SSFL is extremely contaminated with TCE, perchlorate, PCBs, dioxins and heavy metals - all of which can have serious health impacts for anyone who is exposed. And, the contamination is prone to migrate from the site, putting all of us who live nearby at risk.

So, it is very important for me to comment on the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) that NASA recently published. NASA must absolutely uphold all of the commitments it has made to the State of California and to our community in the 2010 agreement to clean up SSFL to background.

This cleanup agreement (Agreement on Consent or AOC) has sensible provisions for the protection of endangered species and Native American artifacts. It does not, however, allow NASA to evade cleaning up contamination by trying to avoid demolishing the crumbling rocket test stands, which is where much of the pollution is located. It's not possible to clean up beneath those test stands without getting them out of the way first. And the AOC commits NASA to cleaning up all the toxic material in the soil, including around and beneath those test stands and other structures.

If the contamination at SSFL is not fully cleaned up, it will continue to threaten us locals every time the wind blows or it rains, causing the toxic materials to migrate offsite to neighboring areas.

So, do the right thing. Stop trying to resist fulfilling what NASA promised to do. Fulfill your obligations under the AOC to the letter, fully complying with all of its provisions to clean up the Santa Susana Field Laboratory to background levels. Those of us who live near the site are the ones who will be affected most.

Sincerely,

kris mae

From: [Amy Browne](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Local Resident Comments on NASA's SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Saturday, September 28, 2013 4:49:57 PM

Dear Mr. Elliott,

As a resident living in the area near the Santa Susana Field Laboratory (SSFL), I am deeply concerned that the site is fully cleaned up. I support the agreement that was made in 2010, when NASA agreed to clean up its property at SSFL to background levels of contaminants, meaning that it would clean up ALL the contamination that it found. This is what the community had wanted all along!

NASA's portion of SSFL is extremely contaminated with TCE, perchlorate, PCBs, dioxins and heavy metals - all of which can have serious health impacts for anyone who is exposed. And, the contamination is prone to migrate from the site, putting all of us who live nearby at risk.

So, it is very important for me to comment on the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) that NASA recently published. NASA must absolutely uphold all of the commitments it has made to the State of California and to our community in the 2010 agreement to clean up SSFL to background.

This cleanup agreement (Agreement on Consent or AOC) has sensible provisions for the protection of endangered species and Native American artifacts. It does not, however, allow NASA to evade cleaning up contamination by trying to avoid demolishing the crumbling rocket test stands, which is where much of the pollution is located. It's not possible to clean up beneath those test stands without getting them out of the way first. And the AOC commits NASA to cleaning up all the toxic material in the soil, including around and beneath those test stands and other structures.

If the contamination at SSFL is not fully cleaned up, it will continue to threaten us locals every time the wind blows or it rains, causing the toxic materials to migrate offsite to neighboring areas.

So, do the right thing. Stop trying to resist fulfilling what NASA promised to do. Fulfill your obligations under the AOC to the letter, fully complying with all of its provisions to clean up the Santa Susana Field Laboratory to background levels. Those of us who live near the site are the ones who will be affected most.

Sincerely,

Amy Browne

From: [caroline Aslanianc](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Local Resident Comments on NASA's SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Saturday, September 28, 2013 6:31:44 PM

Dear Mr. Elliott,

As a resident living in the area near the Santa Susana Field Laboratory (SSFL), I am deeply concerned that the site is fully cleaned up. I support the agreement that was made in 2010, when NASA agreed to clean up its property at SSFL to background levels of contaminants, meaning that it would clean up ALL the contamination that it found. This is what the community had wanted all along!

NASA's portion of SSFL is extremely contaminated with TCE, perchlorate, PCBs, dioxins and heavy metals - all of which can have serious health impacts for anyone who is exposed. And, the contamination is prone to migrate from the site, putting all of us who live nearby at risk.

So, it is very important for me to comment on the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) that NASA recently published. NASA must absolutely uphold all of the commitments it has made to the State of California and to our community in the 2010 agreement to clean up SSFL to background.

This cleanup agreement (Agreement on Consent or AOC) has sensible provisions for the protection of endangered species and Native American artifacts. It does not, however, allow NASA to evade cleaning up contamination by trying to avoid demolishing the crumbling rocket test stands, which is where much of the pollution is located. It's not possible to clean up beneath those test stands without getting them out of the way first. And the AOC commits NASA to cleaning up all the toxic material in the soil, including around and beneath those test stands and other structures.

If the contamination at SSFL is not fully cleaned up, it will continue to threaten us locals every time the wind blows or it rains, causing the toxic materials to migrate offsite to neighboring areas.

So, do the right thing. Stop trying to resist fulfilling what NASA promised to do. Fulfill your obligations under the AOC to the letter, fully complying with all of its provisions to clean up the Santa Susana Field Laboratory to background levels. Those of us who live near the site are the ones who will be affected most.

Sincerely,

caroline Aslanianc

From: [Kris Bertrand](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Local Resident Comments on NASA's SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Saturday, September 28, 2013 6:45:18 PM

Dear Mr. Elliott,

As a resident living in the area near the Santa Susana Field Laboratory (SSFL), I am deeply concerned that the site is fully cleaned up. I support the agreement that was made in 2010, when NASA agreed to clean up its property at SSFL to background levels of contaminants, meaning that it would clean up ALL the contamination that it found. This is what the community had wanted all along!

NASA's portion of SSFL is extremely contaminated with TCE, perchlorate, PCBs, dioxins and heavy metals - all of which can have serious health impacts for anyone who is exposed. And, the contamination is prone to migrate from the site, putting all of us who live nearby at risk.

So, it is very important for me to comment on the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) that NASA recently published. NASA must absolutely uphold all of the commitments it has made to the State of California and to our community in the 2010 agreement to clean up SSFL to background.

This cleanup agreement (Agreement on Consent or AOC) has sensible provisions for the protection of endangered species and Native American artifacts. It does not, however, allow NASA to evade cleaning up contamination by trying to avoid demolishing the crumbling rocket test stands, which is where much of the pollution is located. It's not possible to clean up beneath those test stands without getting them out of the way first. And the AOC commits NASA to cleaning up all the toxic material in the soil, including around and beneath those test stands and other structures.

If the contamination at SSFL is not fully cleaned up, it will continue to threaten us locals every time the wind blows or it rains, causing the toxic materials to migrate offsite to neighboring areas.

So, do the right thing. Stop trying to resist fulfilling what NASA promised to do. Fulfill your obligations under the AOC to the letter, fully complying with all of its provisions to clean up the Santa Susana Field Laboratory to background levels. Those of us who live near the site are the ones who will be affected most.

Sincerely,

Kris Bertrand

From: [Cassandra Fowble](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Local Resident Comments on NASA's SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Saturday, September 28, 2013 7:39:06 PM

Dear Mr. Elliott,

As a resident living in Simi Valley, CA, near the Santa Susana Field Laboratory (SSFL), I am deeply concerned that the site is fully cleaned up. I support the agreement that was made in 2010, when NASA agreed to clean up its property at SSFL to background levels of contaminants, meaning that it would clean up ALL the contamination that it found. This is a necessity to protect the health of our community.

NASA's portion of SSFL is extremely contaminated with TCE, perchlorate, PCBs, dioxins and heavy metals - all of which can have serious health impacts for anyone who is exposed. And, the contamination is prone to migrate from the site, putting all of us who live nearby at risk. This is not acceptable.

So, it is very important for me to comment on the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) that NASA recently published. NASA must absolutely uphold all of the commitments it has made to the State of California and to our community in the 2010 agreement to clean up SSFL to background. Anything less is totally unfair to our community.

This cleanup agreement (Agreement on Consent or AOC) has sensible provisions for the protection of endangered species and Native American artifacts. It does not, however, allow NASA to evade cleaning up contamination by trying to avoid demolishing the crumbling rocket test stands, which is where much of the pollution is located. It's not possible to clean up beneath those test stands without getting them out of the way first. And the AOC commits NASA to cleaning up all the toxic material in the soil, including around and beneath those test stands and other structures.

If the contamination at SSFL is not fully cleaned up, it will continue to threaten us locals every time the wind blows or it rains, causing the toxic materials to migrate offsite to neighboring areas.

So, do the right thing. Stop trying to resist fulfilling what NASA promised to do. Fulfill your obligations under the AOC to the letter, fully complying with all of its provisions to clean up the Santa Susana Field Laboratory to background levels. Those of us who live near the site are the ones who will be affected most.

Sincerely,

Cassandra Fowble

From: [Imelda Tio](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Local Resident Comments on NASA's SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Sunday, September 29, 2013 1:56:14 PM

Dear Mr. Elliott,

As a resident living in the area near the Santa Susana Field Laboratory (SSFL), I am deeply concerned that the site is fully cleaned up. I support the agreement that was made in 2010, when NASA agreed to clean up its property at SSFL to background levels of contaminants, meaning that it would clean up ALL the contamination that it found. This is what the community had wanted all along!

NASA's portion of SSFL is extremely contaminated with TCE, perchlorate, PCBs, dioxins and heavy metals - all of which can have serious health impacts for anyone who is exposed. And, the contamination is prone to migrate from the site, putting all of us who live nearby at risk.

So, it is very important for me to comment on the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) that NASA recently published. NASA must absolutely uphold all of the commitments it has made to the State of California and to our community in the 2010 agreement to clean up SSFL to background.

This cleanup agreement (Agreement on Consent or AOC) has sensible provisions for the protection of endangered species and Native American artifacts. It does not, however, allow NASA to evade cleaning up contamination by trying to avoid demolishing the crumbling rocket test stands, which is where much of the pollution is located. It's not possible to clean up beneath those test stands without getting them out of the way first. And the AOC commits NASA to cleaning up all the toxic material in the soil, including around and beneath those test stands and other structures.

If the contamination at SSFL is not fully cleaned up, it will continue to threaten us locals every time the wind blows or it rains, causing the toxic materials to migrate offsite to neighboring areas.

So, do the right thing. Stop trying to resist fulfilling what NASA promised to do. Fulfill your obligations under the AOC to the letter, fully complying with all of its provisions to clean up the Santa Susana Field Laboratory to background levels. Those of us who live near the site are the ones who will be affected most.

Sincerely,

Imelda Tio

From: [Julie Dunlap](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Local Resident Comments on NASA's SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Sunday, September 29, 2013 2:37:30 PM

Dear Mr. Elliott,

As a resident living in the area near the Santa Susana Field Laboratory (SSFL), I am deeply concerned that the site is fully cleaned up. I support the agreement that was made in 2010, when NASA agreed to clean up its property at SSFL to background levels of contaminants, meaning that it would clean up ALL the contamination that it found. This is what the community had wanted all along!

NASA's portion of SSFL is extremely contaminated with TCE, perchlorate, PCBs, dioxins and heavy metals - all of which can have serious health impacts for anyone who is exposed. And, the contamination is prone to migrate from the site, putting all of us who live nearby at risk.

So, it is very important for me to comment on the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) that NASA recently published. NASA must absolutely uphold all of the commitments it has made to the State of California and to our community in the 2010 agreement to clean up SSFL to background.

This cleanup agreement (Agreement on Consent or AOC) has sensible provisions for the protection of endangered species and Native American artifacts. It does not, however, allow NASA to evade cleaning up contamination by trying to avoid demolishing the crumbling rocket test stands, which is where much of the pollution is located. It's not possible to clean up beneath those test stands without getting them out of the way first. And the AOC commits NASA to cleaning up all the toxic material in the soil, including around and beneath those test stands and other structures.

If the contamination at SSFL is not fully cleaned up, it will continue to threaten us locals every time the wind blows or it rains, causing the toxic materials to migrate offsite to neighboring areas.

So, do the right thing. Stop trying to resist fulfilling what NASA promised to do. Fulfill your obligations under the AOC to the letter, fully complying with all of its provisions to clean up the Santa Susana Field Laboratory to background levels. Those of us who live near the site are the ones who will be affected most.

Sincerely,

Julie Dunlap

From: [Sandra Ritvo](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Local Resident Comments on NASA's SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Sunday, September 29, 2013 6:02:09 PM

Dear Mr. Elliott,

As a resident living in the area near the Santa Susana Field Laboratory (SSFL), I am deeply concerned that the site is fully cleaned up. I support the agreement that was made in 2010, when NASA agreed to clean up its property at SSFL to background levels of contaminants, meaning that it would clean up ALL the contamination that it found. This is what the community had wanted all along!

NASA's portion of SSFL is extremely contaminated with TCE, perchlorate, PCBs, dioxins and heavy metals - all of which can have serious health impacts for anyone who is exposed. And, the contamination is prone to migrate from the site, putting all of us who live nearby at risk.

So, it is very important for me to comment on the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) that NASA recently published. NASA must absolutely uphold all of the commitments it has made to the State of California and to our community in the 2010 agreement to clean up SSFL to background.

This cleanup agreement (Agreement on Consent or AOC) has sensible provisions for the protection of endangered species and Native American artifacts. It does not, however, allow NASA to evade cleaning up contamination by trying to avoid demolishing the crumbling rocket test stands, which is where much of the pollution is located. It's not possible to clean up beneath those test stands without getting them out of the way first. And the AOC commits NASA to cleaning up all the toxic material in the soil, including around and beneath those test stands and other structures.

If the contamination at SSFL is not fully cleaned up, it will continue to threaten us locals every time the wind blows or it rains, causing the toxic materials to migrate offsite to neighboring areas.

So, do the right thing. Stop trying to resist fulfilling what NASA promised to do. Fulfill your obligations under the AOC to the letter, fully complying with all of its provisions to clean up the Santa Susana Field Laboratory to background levels. Those of us who live near the site are the ones who will be affected most.

Sincerely,

Sandra Ritvo

From: [Rev. Jennifer Witten](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Local Resident Comments on NASA's SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Sunday, September 29, 2013 11:30:24 PM

Dear Mr. Elliott,

As a resident living in the area near the Santa Susana Field Laboratory (SSFL), I am deeply concerned that the site is fully cleaned up. I support the agreement that was made in 2010, when NASA agreed to clean up its property at SSFL to background levels of contaminants, meaning that it would clean up ALL the contamination that it found. This is what the community had wanted all along!

NASA's portion of SSFL is extremely contaminated with TCE, perchlorate, PCBs, dioxins and heavy metals - all of which can have serious health impacts for anyone who is exposed. And, the contamination is prone to migrate from the site, putting all of us who live nearby at risk.

So, it is very important for me to comment on the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) that NASA recently published. NASA must absolutely uphold all of the commitments it has made to the State of California and to our community in the 2010 agreement to clean up SSFL to background.

This cleanup agreement (Agreement on Consent or AOC) has sensible provisions for the protection of endangered species and Native American artifacts. It does not, however, allow NASA to evade cleaning up contamination by trying to avoid demolishing the crumbling rocket test stands, which is where much of the pollution is located. It's not possible to clean up beneath those test stands without getting them out of the way first. And the AOC commits NASA to cleaning up all the toxic material in the soil, including around and beneath those test stands and other structures.

If the contamination at SSFL is not fully cleaned up, it will continue to threaten us locals every time the wind blows or it rains, causing the toxic materials to migrate offsite to neighboring areas.

So, do the right thing. Stop trying to resist fulfilling what NASA promised to do. Fulfill your obligations under the AOC to the letter, fully complying with all of its provisions to clean up the Santa Susana Field Laboratory to background levels. Those of us who live near the site are the ones who will be affected most.

Sincerely,

Rev. Jennifer Witten

From: [Statia Foresti](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Local Resident Comments on NASA's SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Monday, September 30, 2013 8:36:34 AM

Dear Mr. Elliott,

As a resident living in the area near the Santa Susana Field Laboratory (SSFL), I am deeply concerned that the site is fully cleaned up. I support the agreement that was made in 2010, when NASA agreed to clean up its property at SSFL to background levels of contaminants, meaning that it would clean up ALL the contamination that it found. This is what the community had wanted all along!

NASA's portion of SSFL is extremely contaminated with TCE, perchlorate, PCBs, dioxins and heavy metals - all of which can have serious health impacts for anyone who is exposed. And, the contamination is prone to migrate from the site, putting all of us who live nearby at risk.

So, it is very important for me to comment on the Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) that NASA recently published. NASA must absolutely uphold all of the commitments it has made to the State of California and to our community in the 2010 agreement to clean up SSFL to background.

This cleanup agreement (Agreement on Consent or AOC) has sensible provisions for the protection of endangered species and Native American artifacts. It does not, however, allow NASA to evade cleaning up contamination by trying to avoid demolishing the crumbling rocket test stands, which is where much of the pollution is located. It's not possible to clean up beneath those test stands without getting them out of the way first. And the AOC commits NASA to cleaning up all the toxic material in the soil, including around and beneath those test stands and other structures.

If the contamination at SSFL is not fully cleaned up, it will continue to threaten us locals every time the wind blows or it rains, causing the toxic materials to migrate offsite to neighboring areas.

So, do the right thing. Stop trying to resist fulfilling what NASA promised to do. Fulfill your obligations under the AOC to the letter, fully complying with all of its provisions to clean up the Santa Susana Field Laboratory to background levels. Those of us who live near the site are the ones who will be affected most.

Sincerely,

Statia Foresti

From: [Jana Howington](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Opposition of Santa Susana clean-up plan...
Date: Wednesday, September 11, 2013 12:54:13 PM

Dear Mr. Elliot,

I am a huge supporter of NASA and all its amazing accomplishments. However, I'm writing this in stiff opposition to the proposed clean-up plan of the Santa Susana Field Lab. I live adjacent to the old lab and travel daily on Topanga Blvd, the main artery for proposed removal of lab debris. This boulevard is already past maximum vehicle capacity and extremely congested. Proposed heavy truck traffic would result in catastrophic disruption of travel in this area, not to mention diesel truck pollution, hauling toxic debris and noise. Besides, our roads are already in disrepair due to underfunding by the City of LA, and this would totally destroy the roadbeds.

I beseech you to please halt this proposed clean-up activity until a more suitable means of hauling tons of toxic waste can be devised. One suggestion worth considering is by rail, since there is already rail tracks in the immediate vicinity.

I trust you will look into this and make the right decision.

Sincerely,

Jana Howington
22365 Golden Canyon Circle
Chatsworth, CA 91311

From: [LEON CHENG](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Re: Comment on SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Tuesday, October 01, 2013 10:10:52 PM

Over decades, NASA contaminated the Santa Susana Field Lab with dioxins, PCBs, perchlorate, heavy metals, TCE and other volatile organic compounds. Hundreds of thousands of people live nearby. A federal study showed that people living closer to the site have higher rates of thyroid, bladder, blood and lymph cancers than people living further away.

In 2010, NASA signed a legally binding agreement with California to clean up all contamination that could be detected. But Boeing, NASA's contractor at the site and owner of most of the site, has been pushing to block full cleanup of most of the site. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement recently released by NASA that barely mentions the contamination raises questions about whether NASA is trying to break out of the cleanup agreement it signed.

It is critical that NASA live up to the commitments it made in the 2010 cleanup agreement. I strongly urge NASA to meet its responsibilities regarding the toxic contamination it created. Do what you promised to do--fully clean up the site.

LEON CHENG

From: [Kenneth Chan](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Re: Comment on SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Wednesday, October 02, 2013 4:28:46 PM

Over decades, NASA contaminated the Santa Susana Field Lab with dioxins, PCBs, perchlorate, heavy metals, TCE and other volatile organic compounds. Hundreds of thousands of people live nearby. A federal study showed that people living closer to the site have higher rates of thyroid, bladder, blood and lymph cancers than people living further away.

In 2010, NASA signed a legally binding agreement with California to clean up all contamination that could be detected. But Boeing, NASA's contractor at the site and owner of most of the site, has been pushing to block full cleanup of most of the site. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement recently released by NASA that barely mentions the contamination raises questions about whether NASA is trying to break out of the cleanup agreement it signed.

It is critical that NASA live up to the commitments it made in the 2010 cleanup agreement. I strongly urge NASA to meet its responsibilities regarding the toxic contamination it created. Do what you promised to do--fully clean up the site.

Kenneth Chan

From: [Barbara Andre](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Re: Comment on SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Wednesday, October 02, 2013 5:18:57 PM

Over decades, NASA contaminated the Santa Susana Field Lab with dioxins, PCBs, perchlorate, heavy metals, TCE and other volatile organic compounds. Hundreds of thousands of people live nearby. A federal study showed that people living closer to the site have higher rates of thyroid, bladder, blood and lymph cancers than people living further away.

In 2010, NASA signed a legally binding agreement with California to clean up all contamination that could be detected. But Boeing, NASA's contractor at the site and owner of most of the site, has been pushing to block full cleanup of most of the site. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement recently released by NASA that barely mentions the contamination raises questions about whether NASA is trying to break out of the cleanup agreement it signed.

It is critical that NASA live up to the commitments it made in the 2010 cleanup agreement. I strongly urge NASA to meet its responsibilities regarding the toxic contamination it created. Do what you promised to do--fully clean up the site.

Barbara Andre

From: [Patricia Atencio](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Re: Comment on SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Friday, October 04, 2013 6:50:50 AM

Over decades, NASA contaminated the Santa Susana Field Lab with dioxins, PCBs, perchlorate, heavy metals, TCE and other volatile organic compounds. Hundreds of thousands of people live nearby. A federal study showed that people living closer to the site have higher rates of thyroid, bladder, blood and lymph cancers than people living further away.

In 2010, NASA signed a legally binding agreement with California to clean up all contamination that could be detected. But Boeing, NASA's contractor at the site and owner of most of the site, has been pushing to block full cleanup of most of the site. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement recently released by NASA that barely mentions the contamination raises questions about whether NASA is trying to break out of the cleanup agreement it signed.

It is critical that NASA live up to the commitments it made in the 2010 cleanup agreement. I strongly urge NASA to meet its responsibilities regarding the toxic contamination it created. Do what you promised to do--fully clean up the site.

Patricia Atencio

From: [Elizabeth Crumm](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Re: Comment on SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Friday, October 04, 2013 11:34:03 PM

Over decades, NASA contaminated the Santa Susana Field Lab with dioxins, PCBs, perchlorate, heavy metals, TCE and other volatile organic compounds. Hundreds of thousands of people live nearby. A federal study showed that people living closer to the site have higher rates of thyroid, bladder, blood and lymph cancers than people living further away.

In 2010, NASA signed a legally binding agreement with California to clean up all contamination that could be detected. But Boeing, NASA's contractor at the site and owner of most of the site, has been pushing to block full cleanup of most of the site. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement recently released by NASA that barely mentions the contamination raises questions about whether NASA is trying to break out of the cleanup agreement it signed.

It is critical that NASA live up to the commitments it made in the 2010 cleanup agreement. I strongly urge NASA to meet its responsibilities regarding the toxic contamination it created. Do what you promised to do--fully clean up the site.

Elizabeth Crumm

From: [R Belsher](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Re: Comment on SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Saturday, October 05, 2013 4:21:25 AM

Over decades, NASA contaminated the Santa Susana Field Lab with dioxins, PCBs, perchlorate, heavy metals, TCE and other volatile organic compounds. Hundreds of thousands of people live nearby. A federal study showed that people living closer to the site have higher rates of thyroid, bladder, blood and lymph cancers than people living further away.

In 2010, NASA signed a legally binding agreement with California to clean up all contamination that could be detected. But Boeing, NASA's contractor at the site and owner of most of the site, has been pushing to block full cleanup of most of the site. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement recently released by NASA that barely mentions the contamination raises questions about whether NASA is trying to break out of the cleanup agreement it signed.

It is critical that NASA live up to the commitments it made in the 2010 cleanup agreement. I strongly urge NASA to meet its responsibilities regarding the toxic contamination it created. Do what you promised to do--fully clean up the site.

R Belsher

From: [Janet Lai](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Re: Comment on SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Sunday, October 06, 2013 10:46:25 PM

Over decades, NASA contaminated the Santa Susana Field Lab with dioxins, PCBs, perchlorate, heavy metals, TCE and other volatile organic compounds. Hundreds of thousands of people live nearby. A federal study showed that people living closer to the site have higher rates of thyroid, bladder, blood and lymph cancers than people living further away.

In 2010, NASA signed a legally binding agreement with California to clean up all contamination that could be detected. But Boeing, NASA's contractor at the site and owner of most of the site, has been pushing to block full cleanup of most of the site. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement recently released by NASA that barely mentions the contamination raises questions about whether NASA is trying to break out of the cleanup agreement it signed.

It is critical that NASA live up to the commitments it made in the 2010 cleanup agreement. I strongly urge NASA to meet its responsibilities regarding the toxic contamination it created. Do what you promised to do--fully clean up the site.

Janet Lai

From: [KATHRYN DEBRA](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Re: Comment on SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Monday, October 07, 2013 7:43:41 PM

Over decades, NASA contaminated the Santa Susana Field Lab with dioxins, PCBs, perchlorate, heavy metals, TCE and other volatile organic compounds. Hundreds of thousands of people live nearby. A federal study showed that people living closer to the site have higher rates of thyroid, bladder, blood and lymph cancers than people living further away.

I lived nearby in Chatsworth Lake for 6 years, and one can read in the LADWP annual water quality reports that in that area the ground water contamination with elements from jet fuel, etc. is higher.

Please keep all commitments to clean up this site. It's also an area with lots of open spaces that are some of the only corridors for wildlife to roam and create sustainable populations. All forms of life in that area deserve and require the removal of the contaminants to the best of our abilities.

In 2010, NASA signed a legally binding agreement with California to clean up all contamination that could be detected. But Boeing, NASA's contractor at the site and owner of most of the site, has been pushing to block full cleanup of most of the site. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement recently released by NASA that barely mentions the contamination raises questions about whether NASA is trying to break out of the cleanup agreement it signed.

It is critical that NASA live up to the commitments it made in the 2010 cleanup agreement. I strongly urge NASA to meet its responsibilities regarding the toxic contamination it created. Do what you promised to do--fully clean up the site.

KATHRYN DEBRA

From: [Marie Goewert](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Re: Comment on SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Tuesday, October 08, 2013 8:45:14 PM

Over decades, NASA contaminated the Santa Susana Field Lab with dioxins, PCBs, perchlorate, heavy metals, TCE and other volatile organic compounds. Hundreds of thousands of people live nearby. A federal study showed that people living closer to the site have higher rates of thyroid, bladder, blood and lymph cancers than people living further away.

In 2010, NASA signed a legally binding agreement with California to clean up all contamination that could be detected. But Boeing, NASA's contractor at the site and owner of most of the site, has been pushing to block full cleanup of most of the site. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement recently released by NASA that barely mentions the contamination raises questions about whether NASA is trying to break out of the cleanup agreement it signed.

It is critical that NASA live up to the commitments it made in the 2010 cleanup agreement. I strongly urge NASA to meet its responsibilities regarding the toxic contamination it created. Do what you promised to do--fully clean up the site.

Marie Goewert

From: [Cl Le](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Re: Comment on SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Thursday, October 10, 2013 3:37:44 PM

Over decades, NASA contaminated the Santa Susana Field Lab with dioxins, PCBs, perchlorate, heavy metals, TCE and other volatile organic compounds. Hundreds of thousands of people live nearby. A federal study showed that people living closer to the site have higher rates of thyroid, bladder, blood and lymph cancers than people living further away.

In 2010, NASA signed a legally binding agreement with California to clean up all contamination that could be detected. But Boeing, NASA's contractor at the site and owner of most of the site, has been pushing to block full cleanup of most of the site. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement recently released by NASA that barely mentions the contamination raises questions about whether NASA is trying to break out of the cleanup agreement it signed.

It is critical that NASA live up to the commitments it made in the 2010 cleanup agreement. I strongly urge NASA to meet its responsibilities regarding the toxic contamination it created. Do what you promised to do--fully clean up the site.

Cl Le

From: [John Crow](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Re: Comment on SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Thursday, October 10, 2013 5:23:44 PM

Over decades, NASA contaminated the Santa Susana Field Lab with dioxins, PCBs, perchlorate, heavy metals, TCE and other volatile organic compounds. Hundreds of thousands of people live nearby. A federal study showed that people living closer to the site have higher rates of thyroid, bladder, blood and lymph cancers than people living further away.

In 2010, NASA signed a legally binding agreement with California to clean up all contamination that could be detected. But Boeing, NASA's contractor at the site and owner of most of the site, has been pushing to block full cleanup of most of the site. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement recently released by NASA that barely mentions the contamination raises questions about whether NASA is trying to break out of the cleanup agreement it signed.

It is critical that NASA live up to the commitments it made in the 2010 cleanup agreement. I strongly urge NASA to meet its responsibilities regarding the toxic contamination it created. Do what you promised to do--fully clean up the site.

John Crow

From: [Rebecca McDonough](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Re: Comment on SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Friday, October 11, 2013 6:26:26 PM

Over decades, NASA contaminated the Santa Susana Field Lab with dioxins, PCBs, perchlorate, heavy metals, TCE and other volatile organic compounds. Hundreds of thousands of people live nearby. A federal study showed that people living closer to the site have higher rates of thyroid, bladder, blood and lymph cancers than people living further away.

In 2010, NASA signed a legally binding agreement with California to clean up all contamination that could be detected. But Boeing, NASA's contractor at the site and owner of most of the site, has been pushing to block full cleanup of most of the site. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement recently released by NASA that barely mentions the contamination raises questions about whether NASA is trying to break out of the cleanup agreement it signed.

It is critical that NASA live up to the commitments it made in the 2010 cleanup agreement. I strongly urge NASA to meet its responsibilities regarding the toxic contamination it created. Do what you promised to do--fully clean up the site.

Rebecca McDonough

From: [JAYSON WOO](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Re: Comment on SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Saturday, October 12, 2013 12:46:42 AM

Over decades, NASA contaminated the Santa Susana Field Lab with dioxins, PCBs, perchlorate, heavy metals, TCE and other volatile organic compounds. Hundreds of thousands of people live nearby. A federal study showed that people living closer to the site have higher rates of thyroid, bladder, blood and lymph cancers than people living further away.

In 2010, NASA signed a legally binding agreement with California to clean up all contamination that could be detected. But Boeing, NASA's contractor at the site and owner of most of the site, has been pushing to block full cleanup of most of the site. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement recently released by NASA that barely mentions the contamination raises questions about whether NASA is trying to break out of the cleanup agreement it signed.

It is critical that NASA live up to the commitments it made in the 2010 cleanup agreement. I strongly urge NASA to meet its responsibilities regarding the toxic contamination it created. Do what you promised to do--fully clean up the site.

JAYSON WOO

From: [Madeline Shapiro](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Re: Comment on SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Saturday, October 12, 2013 11:58:29 AM

Over decades, NASA contaminated the Santa Susana Field Lab with dioxins, PCBs, perchlorate, heavy metals, TCE and other volatile organic compounds. Hundreds of thousands of people live nearby. A federal study showed that people living closer to the site have higher rates of thyroid, bladder, blood and lymph cancers than people living further away.

In 2010, NASA signed a legally binding agreement with California to clean up all contamination that could be detected. But Boeing, NASA's contractor at the site and owner of most of the site, has been pushing to block full cleanup of most of the site. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement recently released by NASA that barely mentions the contamination raises questions about whether NASA is trying to break out of the cleanup agreement it signed.

It is critical that NASA live up to the commitments it made in the 2010 cleanup agreement. I strongly urge NASA to meet its responsibilities regarding the toxic contamination it created. Do what you promised to do--fully clean up the site.

Madeline Shapiro

From: [Brian Flores](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Re: Comment on SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Sunday, October 13, 2013 3:54:00 PM

Over decades, NASA contaminated the Santa Susana Field Lab with dioxins, PCBs, perchlorate, heavy metals, TCE and other volatile organic compounds. Hundreds of thousands of people live nearby. A federal study showed that people living closer to the site have higher rates of thyroid, bladder, blood and lymph cancers than people living further away.

In 2010, NASA signed a legally binding agreement with California to clean up all contamination that could be detected. But Boeing, NASA's contractor at the site and owner of most of the site, has been pushing to block full cleanup of most of the site. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement recently released by NASA that barely mentions the contamination raises questions about whether NASA is trying to break out of the cleanup agreement it signed.

It is critical that NASA live up to the commitments it made in the 2010 cleanup agreement. I strongly urge NASA to meet its responsibilities regarding the toxic contamination it created. Do what you promised to do--fully clean up the site.

Brian Flores

From: [linda.petrulias](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Re: Comment on SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Sunday, October 13, 2013 6:28:14 PM

Over decades, NASA contaminated the Santa Susana Field Lab with dioxins, PCBs, perchlorate, heavy metals, TCE and other volatile organic compounds. Hundreds of thousands of people live nearby. A federal study showed that people living closer to the site have higher rates of thyroid, bladder, blood and lymph cancers than people living further away.

In 2010, NASA signed a legally binding agreement with California to clean up all contamination that could be detected. But Boeing, NASA's contractor at the site and owner of most of the site, has been pushing to block full cleanup of most of the site. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement recently released by NASA that barely mentions the contamination raises questions about whether NASA is trying to break out of the cleanup agreement it signed.

It is critical that NASA live up to the commitments it made in the 2010 cleanup agreement. I strongly urge NASA to meet its responsibilities regarding the toxic contamination it created. Do what you promised to do--fully clean up the site.

linda.petrulias

From: [Betsy Wolf-Graves](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Re: Comment on SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Saturday, October 19, 2013 9:26:08 PM

Over decades, NASA contaminated the Santa Susana Field Lab with dioxins, PCBs, perchlorate, heavy metals, TCE and other volatile organic compounds. Hundreds of thousands of people live nearby. A federal study showed that people living closer to the site have higher rates of thyroid, bladder, blood and lymph cancers than people living further away.

In 2010, NASA signed a legally binding agreement with California to clean up all contamination that could be detected. But Boeing, NASA's contractor at the site and owner of most of the site, has been pushing to block full cleanup of most of the site. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement recently released by NASA that barely mentions the contamination raises questions about whether NASA is trying to break out of the cleanup agreement it signed.

It is critical that NASA live up to the commitments it made in the 2010 cleanup agreement. I strongly urge NASA to meet its responsibilities regarding the toxic contamination it created. Do what you promised to do--fully clean up the site.

Betsy Wolf-Graves

From: [Leo Ashton](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Re: Comment on SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Sunday, October 20, 2013 12:02:18 AM

Over decades, NASA contaminated the Santa Susana Field Lab with dioxins, PCBs, perchlorate, heavy metals, TCE and other volatile organic compounds. Hundreds of thousands of people live nearby. A federal study showed that people living closer to the site have higher rates of thyroid, bladder, blood and lymph cancers than people living further away.

In 2010, NASA signed a legally binding agreement with California to clean up all contamination that could be detected. But Boeing, NASA's contractor at the site and owner of most of the site, has been pushing to block full cleanup of most of the site. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement recently released by NASA that barely mentions the contamination raises questions about whether NASA is trying to break out of the cleanup agreement it signed.

It is critical that NASA live up to the commitments it made in the 2010 cleanup agreement. I strongly urge NASA to meet its responsibilities regarding the toxic contamination it created. Do what you promised to do--fully clean up the site.

Leo Ashton

From: [Mark Golembiewski](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Re: Comment on SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Tuesday, October 22, 2013 9:41:11 PM

Over decades, NASA contaminated the Santa Susana Field Lab with dioxins, PCBs, perchlorate, heavy metals, TCE and other volatile organic compounds. Hundreds of thousands of people live nearby. A federal study showed that people living closer to the site have higher rates of thyroid, bladder, blood and lymph cancers than people living further away.

In 2010, NASA signed a legally binding agreement with California to clean up all contamination that could be detected. But Boeing, NASA's contractor at the site and owner of most of the site, has been pushing to block full cleanup of most of the site. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement recently released by NASA that barely mentions the contamination raises questions about whether NASA is trying to break out of the cleanup agreement it signed.

It is critical that NASA live up to the commitments it made in the 2010 cleanup agreement. I strongly urge NASA to meet its responsibilities regarding the toxic contamination it created. Do what you promised to do--fully clean up the site.

Mark Golembiewski

From: [Rebecca Barker](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Re: Comment on SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Wednesday, October 23, 2013 1:57:35 PM

Over decades, NASA contaminated the Santa Susana Field Lab with dioxins, PCBs, perchlorate, heavy metals, TCE and other volatile organic compounds. Hundreds of thousands of people live nearby. A federal study showed that people living closer to the site have higher rates of thyroid, bladder, blood and lymph cancers than people living further away.

In 2010, NASA signed a legally binding agreement with California to clean up all contamination that could be detected. But Boeing, NASA's contractor at the site and owner of most of the site, has been pushing to block full cleanup of most of the site. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement recently released by NASA that barely mentions the contamination raises questions about whether NASA is trying to break out of the cleanup agreement it signed.

It is critical that NASA live up to the commitments it made in the 2010 cleanup agreement. I strongly urge NASA to meet its responsibilities regarding the toxic contamination it created. Do what you promised to do--fully clean up the site.

Rebecca Barker

From: [THOMAS MCTEER](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Re: Comment on SSFL Draft EIS "Save Our Earth"
Date: Wednesday, September 25, 2013 4:59:40 PM

They can send a man to the moon, but they can't clean up their mess. Over decades, NASA contaminated the Santa Susana Field Lab with dioxins, PCBs, perchlorate, heavy metals, TCE and other volatile organic compounds. Hundreds of thousands of people live nearby. A federal study showed that people living closer to the site have higher rates of thyroid, bladder, blood and lymph cancers than people living further away.

In 2010, NASA signed a legally binding agreement with California to clean up all contamination that could be detected. But Boeing, NASA's contractor at the site and owner of most of the site, has been pushing to block full cleanup of most of the site. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement recently released by NASA that barely mentions the contamination raises questions about whether NASA is trying to break out of the cleanup agreement it signed.

It is critical that NASA live up to the commitments it made in the 2010 cleanup agreement. I strongly urge NASA to meet its responsibilities regarding the toxic contamination it created. Do what you promised to do--fully clean up the site.

THOMAS MCTEER

From: [Derek Sinutko](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Re: Comment on SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Sunday, September 29, 2013 4:11:23 PM

For decades, NASA contaminated the Santa Susana Field Lab with dioxins, PCBs, perchlorate, heavy metals, TCE, and other volatile organic compounds. Now, hundreds of thousands of people live nearby and a federal study showed that people living closer to the site have higher rates of thyroid, bladder, blood, and lymph cancers than people living further away.

In 2010, NASA signed a legally binding agreement with California to clean up all contamination that could be detected. But Boeing, NASA's contractor at the site and owner of most of the site, has been pushing to block full cleanup of most of the site. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement recently released by NASA, which makes very limited mention of the contamination, raises questions about whether NASA is trying to retreat from the cleanup agreement it signed.

It is critical that NASA live up to the commitments it made in the 2010 cleanup agreement. I strongly urge NASA to meet its responsibilities regarding the toxic contamination it created. It must fully clean the site.

Derek Sinutko

From: [JOHN TOTINO](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Re: Comment on SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Thursday, September 26, 2013 9:13:17 PM

Over decades, NASA contaminated the Santa Susana Field Lab with dioxins, PCBs, perchlorate, heavy metals, TCE and other volatile organic compounds. Hundreds of thousands of people live nearby. A federal study showed that people living closer to the site have higher rates of thyroid, bladder, blood and lymph cancers than people living further away.

In 2010, NASA signed a legally binding agreement with California to clean up all contamination that could be detected. But Boeing, NASA's contractor at the site and owner of most of the site, has been pushing to block full cleanup of most of the site. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement recently released by NASA that barely mentions the contamination raises questions about whether NASA is trying to break out of the cleanup agreement it signed.

It is critical that NASA live up to the commitments it made in the 2010 cleanup agreement. I strongly urge NASA to meet its responsibilities regarding the toxic contamination it created. Do what you promised to do--fully clean up the site.

JOHN TOTINO

From: [taylor teegarden](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Re: Citizen Comment on SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Wednesday, September 25, 2013 6:12:34 PM

The trouble with space exploration is it's a dirty business, only with stars in everybody's eyes, it's hard to see.

But the folks out near NASA's field lab in Santa Susana are seeing blood. As in blood cells. Ditto thyroid, bladder and lymph cells. The contamination wrought over decades by NASA projects has resulted in dioxins, PCBs, perchlorate, heavy metals, TCE and other volatile organic compounds poisoning people and causing numerous cancers among the local population.

It was supposed to have been cleaned up, but Boeing, NASA's contractor at the site and owner of most of the site, has been pushing to block full cleanup of most of the site. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement recently released by NASA barely mentions the contamination, but it does raise questions about whether NASA is trying to break out of the cleanup agreement it signed.

If NASA isn't willing to live up to the commitments it made in the 2010 cleanup agreement, I suggest that all your employees -- as terms of employment -- be required to live in the toxic soup you so easily pass on to your neighbors.

I insist that NASA meet its responsibilities regarding the toxic contamination it created. Do what you promised to do--fully clean up the site or face the increasing ire of taxpayers required to suffer the consequences of your immoral deeds .

taylor teegarden

From: [Nancy L Kidd](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS; GROMAN, JENNIFER A. \(HQ-LD020\)](#)
Subject: Public Comments for SSFL DEIS
Date: Wednesday, September 25, 2013 9:07:03 PM
Importance: High

Dear NASA,

1) Modify significantly the spurious and very curious NASA proposed DEIS offering only: no action or egregious action, and which seems to have been coerced based on facetious information about public health concerns. Because during the last 2 decades numerous health studies have been performed in and around SSFL, (See: http://www.etec.energy.gov/Environmental_and_Health/Community_Health.html) and the scientific conclusions are:

The 1990 study found no link to more cancers at or near SSFL.

The 1992 study found "Not only is such a pattern not evident, but the very radiosensitive cancer group appears to somewhat underrepresented in people living near the SSFL."

The 1997 study found that cancer incidence risk is similar to the entire Tri-Counties Regional Area.

The 1999 Department of Toxic Substances Control report stated: "Three studies of cancer incidence in the vicinity of SSFL were reviewed...the combined evidence does not indicate an increased rate of cancer in the regions examined."

The 2006 study of the Tri-Counties Cancer Surveillance Program states that "census tract 75.03 in Ventura County does not show any unusual pattern and has actually decreased from 1988 to 2004."

The 2007 study by the University of Michigan, School of Public Health states that "The results from this study suggests little or no association between residential distance from SSFL and the incidence of all cancers thought to be affected by ionizing radiation." DOE Area IV is the "ionizing radiation" site, not the NASA test stands.

2) Modify significantly the spurious and very curious NASA proposed DEIS because it is possible to conduct the NASA Area II Clean-up effort to "suburban residential" levels at a proposed cost of \$75 million as per the NASA IG Report of Feb. 2013. The resulting savings (\$125 million) from the estimated "background" clean-up of \$200 million would finance any and all preservation and protection efforts to save the historic Coca Test Stands and the Burro Flats Sacred Site. Boeing has agreed to this level of clean-up in the greater adjacent area and NPS is already in the works to be the stewards of the resulting parklands. So even a possibility of clean-up to "recreational" levels at \$25 million is a negotiation point.

3) Modify significantly the spurious and very curious NASA proposed DEIS because the entire SSFL hilltop, the Area of Potential Effect, is said to be recognized as a **Sacred Site** by representatives of the indigenous First Nations people. There are numerous archaeological sites within the APE. Clean-up to "background" will destroy them utterly.

4) Modify significantly the spurious and very curious NASA proposed DEIS because there are also numerous **historical sites** at the SSFL such as the Coca Test Stands that were the fiery birthplaces of the Space Shuttle Main Engines.

The SSFL land is priceless for its **cultural and historic sites**, and for its natural beauty. Its preservation is essential to the cultural heritage of the Santa Monica Mountains and the people of the United States who have loved and supported the magnificent Space Shuttle Program of our National Aeronautics and Space Administration. So scraping 2 feet of soil from the entire NASA Area II site as stated by the DEIS jeopardizes not only the equivalent of the Griffith Park Observatory/National Cathedral = Burro Flats Sacred Site but also as the Birthplace of the greatest technology of the 20th century.

5) Modify significantly the spurious and very curious NASA proposed DEIS because as representatives of the Advisory Council on Historic Preservation have stated in a public forum on this debate, this DEIS "is a mess." Apparently, it is quite possible to formulate a Programmatic Agreement that will preserve resources, protect public health, protect wildlife and benefit posterity without exposing populations around SSFL to the possibility of deadly Valley Fever if scraping 2 feet of soil in even unaffected areas is commenced.

As a participant of the original 1973 EIR Team that determined no significant impact from the SSME testing would harm the Burro Flats Chumash mural galleries, I fully realize the necessity of the preservation of the Chumash galleries and archaeological district sites. And I am equally determined to support the preservation of the Rocket Engine Test Stands which represent great milestones in the American Space Program. It is an almost uncanny synchronicity that juxtaposes the ancient Star Watching Observatory of the Chumash with the contemporary Longing for Space Exploration evidenced in our country by the outpouring of love and admiration that each of the Shuttle Orbiters has witnessed in the last 2 years as they went to their curated museum showcases. Millions of people across this country stood for hours in even unpleasant weather to simply watch the Orbiters pass silently down the urban streets or fly overhead one last time. Our longing to Reach the Stars and support NASA over the years has given us some of our proudest achievements and we need to acknowledge the grand effort the Space Program exemplified by keeping the memory bright of those heart soaring days.

6) I recommend that, as a minimum, some Tests Stands be preserved for posterity.

7) I recommend that the Timetable for the ROD be extended so that information from reports not yet aggregated may be folded into the decision making process.

8) I recommend that NASA align with the NASA IG report of February 14, 2013 and the explicit call for a different remediation effort for the NASA Area II section of SSFL. The cost to taxpayers will be more than \$200 million dollars with the spurious and curious DEIS "to background" scenario. The NASA IG recommends the just as effective and more environmentally protective efforts of "suburban residential" (at \$75 million dollars) or "recreational" (at \$25 million dollars) levels.

Nancy Kidd

From: [Christine Rowe](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Cc: [Elliott, Allen \(MSFC-AS01\)](#); [Fellows, Merrilee \(HQ-LD000\)](#)
Subject: Preservation and protection analogy
Date: Monday, September 16, 2013 3:09:53 AM

Dear Mr. Elliott,

Today I received a communication via social network regarding some people that are involved with the NASA Section 106 process at the Santa Susana Field Laboratory.

The comment was relative to a visit to the Southwest Museum. The Southwest Museum is the oldest museum in Los Angeles.

It is my understanding that this museum was badly damaged in one of Los Angeles's many earthquakes. My husband Bruce and I visited this museum a couple of years ago. We spoke at great length to the curator at the time.

It is my understanding that the Autry became a partner with the Southwest Museum. Much of the Southwest Collection was put into storage or loaned to the Autry. In turn, the Autry was to fund the restoration of the Southwest Museum which holds tremendous historical and cultural significance to the local community.

I became a "Friend of the Southwest Museum". I understood the need to bring back the collection to the Southwest.

While Bruce and I have been to some of the best cultural and archaeological modern museums in France, we also recognize the need to maintain this historic landmark - the Southwest Museum.

To me, removing the collections from the Southwest to the Autry is about the same as removing all of the test stands at Santa Susana, cleaning up part of the Burro Flats site, and leaving nothing but sandstone outcrops and a field of hydro mulch in its place.

By pirating the Southwest Collection, it is my opinion that the Autry is removing a great deal of the historic and cultural significance that this museum once held.

As I read this article relative to the cataloging of the archaeological

collection at the Autry,

[http://www.friendsofthesouthwestmuseum.com/AA_Autry_12-18\[1\].pdf](http://www.friendsofthesouthwestmuseum.com/AA_Autry_12-18[1].pdf)

I recognize that the Southwest Museum cannot hold all of the artifacts of this collection. While I understand the need to preserve and to protect the artifacts for future generations, and while I recognize that the Autry will have better environmental controls at their main facility to protect many of these objects, it is my opinion that generations of school children have had the opportunity to get their first view of these cultural and historical objects in their own community at the Southwest.

To conclude, taking the artifacts from the Southwest Museum is like trying, as some people have suggested, to take a test stand from Santa Susana and send it to the Science Center.

While the Southwest Museum is not the original location of these artifacts, it is the collection and the history of this collection, the artifacts and the artifact displays within the context of that museum, that has made it what it has been historically.

In turn, if we want to preserve and to protect the historic significance of our recent relationship with the stars, and the prehistory of earlier cultures with the skies, we must leave in place what objects we can at Santa Susana. No museum on site could be worth excavating the Burro Flats site. No museum on site let alone a museum off site could ever replace the sense of history that a person feels when they first stand in awe of the technology that made the people who created these test stands a part of the "greatest generation" in American History.

Respectfully submitted,

Christine L. Rowe
NASA Section 106 Consulting Party
September 16th, 2013

From: [Timothy Ellis](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Please keep your commitment to the betterment of all humans!
Date: Monday, September 30, 2013 5:45:40 PM

Dear Mr. Elliott,

As a physicist, I am a huge fan of NASA and I realize your budget issues and lack of congressional support. However, as a neighbor of this toxic site I urge you to not back down on your agreement and to keep with your mission of the betterment of all humans; even here on Earth...

The most contaminated place in Ventura County is the Santa Susana Field Laboratory, where reactors and rockets were tested. One atomic reactor suffered a partial meltdown there in 1959; there were tens of thousands of rocket tests. Extensive radioactive and chemical contamination resulted.

For decades, the fight to get the site cleaned up raged. Finally, we got a breakthrough: in 2010, the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) and NASA entered into binding agreements with California to cleanups to background levels of contaminants. In short, if contamination is detected, it is to be cleaned up. This is what the community has sought for so long. Numerous elected officials as well as thousands of community members supported the agreement and helped bring this about.

NASA has now published a Draft Environmental Impact Statement about the cleanup for public comment. There appear, however, to be some within NASA who would like to break the agreement NASA signed. That must not happen.

I was therefore pleased to learn that NASA, in response to questions by Congresswoman Julia Brownley at a recent hearing of the House Science and Technology Committee, reiterated that "NASA is committed to fulfilling our obligations under the AOC."

I write to make clear that NASA must live up to the commitments it has made to the Congress and our community. NASA should proceed expeditiously to carry out, in full, the obligations it accepted when it signed the cleanup agreement with the state in 2010. NASA polluted its site; it promised to clean up all the contamination; it should uphold, completely and rigorously, its obligations under that cleanup agreement.

Sincerely,

Timothy Ellis

From: [Richard Carter](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Please fulfill the promise to clean up the Santa Susana field laboratory
Date: Monday, September 30, 2013 6:05:25 PM

Dear Mr. Elliott,

As a resident of Simi Valley, I think it is vitally important to clean up the most contaminated area in all of Ventura County.

Please respect the 2010, DOE and NASA agreements to cleanup this area to background levels of contamination. Please do not let NASA break the agreement NASA signed and have publicly committed to fulfilling their obligations under the AOC.

I write to ensure that NASA will live up to the commitments it has made to Congress and our community.

Sincerely,

Richard P. Carter

Richard Carter

From: [Tyler Kerce](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Please clean up the contamination at SSFL
Date: Monday, September 30, 2013 6:00:39 PM

Dear Mr. Elliott,

NASA's research at the Santa Susana Field Laboratory has been instrumental to our nation's scientific advancement and global technological leadership. I applaud NASA for its vision and its contribution to American innovation.

Although NASA certainly did not intend for its research to leave a legacy of radioactive contamination at the SSFL and its environs, it is an unfortunate fact that exposure to high radiation levels has resulted in excessively elevated rates of cancer in nearby communities.

Mr. Elliott, I humbly urge you to ensure that NASA abides by the full terms of its 2010 binding agreement to rid the SSFL of its toxic residue. The health and happiness of nearby families have fallen victim to radioactive contamination at the SSFL, and since NASA created this contamination, it is only just that it clean up the contamination to background.

For over half a century, NASA has dedicated itself to improving the lives of the Americans through scientific exploration. I hope that you, like me, will consider the cleanup of the SSFL to be a huge step towards this goal of improving Americans' lives.

Mr. Elliott, please ensure that NASA's work at the SSFL will no longer have an adverse impact on nearby communities. Please reaffirm NASA's commitment to the public good by making good on your 2010 agreement to clean up the SSFL.

Thank you so much for your attention.

Sincerely,
Tyler Kerce

Tyler Kerce

From: [Catherine Thomasson](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Please clean up Santa Susana
Date: Thursday, September 26, 2013 6:04:06 PM

Dear Mr. Elliott,

I was gratified to hear that NASA entered into a binding agreement with the State of California in 2010 to clean up all detectible contamination at the polluted Santa Susana Field Laboratory (SSFL). Decades of perchlorate, radioactive toxins and many other toxic chemicals lie there contaminating the groundwater.

There is no option to ignore it in good faith or good conscience. These are serious health hazards.

As a physician and having studied the impacts of these contaminants especially on our children, it is imperative to clean up the area.

Sincerely,

Catherine Thomasson

From: [Maria Rausis](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Re: Comment on SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Sunday, September 29, 2013 12:50:24 PM

Over decades, NASA contaminated the Santa Susana Field Lab with dioxins, PCBs, perchlorate, heavy metals, TCE and other volatile organic compounds. Hundreds of thousands of people live nearby. A federal study showed that people living closer to the site have higher rates of thyroid, bladder, blood and lymph cancers than people living further away.

In 2010, NASA signed a legally binding agreement with California to clean up all contamination that could be detected. But Boeing, NASA's contractor at the site and owner of most of the site, has been pushing to block full cleanup of most of the site. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement recently released by NASA that barely mentions the contamination raises questions about whether NASA is trying to break out of the cleanup agreement it signed.

It is critical that NASA live up to the commitments it made in the 2010 cleanup agreement. I strongly urge NASA to meet its responsibilities regarding the toxic contamination it created. Do what you promised to do--fully clean up the site.

Maria Rausis

From: [BARRY JOHNSON](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Re: Comment on SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Sunday, September 29, 2013 2:49:43 PM

Over decades, NASA contaminated the Santa Susana Field Lab with dioxins, PCBs, perchlorate, heavy metals, TCE and other volatile organic compounds. Hundreds of thousands of people live nearby. A federal study showed that people living closer to the site have higher rates of thyroid, bladder, blood and lymph cancers than people living further away.

In 2010, NASA signed a legally binding agreement with California to clean up all contamination that could be detected. But Boeing, NASA's contractor at the site and owner of most of the site, has been pushing to block full cleanup of most of the site. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement recently released by NASA that barely mentions the contamination raises questions about whether NASA is trying to break out of the cleanup agreement it signed.

It is critical that NASA live up to the commitments it made in the 2010 cleanup agreement. I strongly urge NASA to meet its responsibilities regarding the toxic contamination it created. Do what you promised to do--fully clean up the site.

BARRY JOHNSON

From: [nancy Hartman](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Re: Comment on SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Sunday, September 29, 2013 3:12:10 PM

Over decades, NASA contaminated the Santa Susana Field Lab with dioxins, PCBs, perchlorate, heavy metals, TCE and other volatile organic compounds. Hundreds of thousands of people live nearby. A federal study showed that people living closer to the site have higher rates of thyroid, bladder, blood and lymph cancers than people living further away.

In 2010, NASA signed a legally binding agreement with California to clean up all contamination that could be detected. But Boeing, NASA's contractor at the site and owner of most of the site, has been pushing to block full cleanup of most of the site. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement recently released by NASA that barely mentions the contamination raises questions about whether NASA is trying to break out of the cleanup agreement it signed.

It is critical that NASA live up to the commitments it made in the 2010 cleanup agreement. I strongly urge NASA to meet its responsibilities regarding the toxic contamination it created. Do what you promised to do--fully clean up the site.

nancy Hartman

From: [Patricia Luck](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Re: Comment on SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Sunday, September 29, 2013 4:15:05 PM

Over decades, NASA contaminated the Santa Susana Field Lab with dioxins, PCBs, perchlorate, heavy metals, TCE and other volatile organic compounds. Hundreds of thousands of people live nearby. A federal study showed that people living closer to the site have higher rates of thyroid, bladder, blood and lymph cancers than people living further away.

In 2010, NASA signed a legally binding agreement with California to clean up all contamination that could be detected. But Boeing, NASA's contractor at the site and owner of most of the site, has been pushing to block full cleanup of most of the site. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement recently released by NASA that barely mentions the contamination raises questions about whether NASA is trying to break out of the cleanup agreement it signed.

It is critical that NASA live up to the commitments it made in the 2010 cleanup agreement. I strongly urge NASA to meet its responsibilities regarding the toxic contamination it created. Do what you promised to do--fully clean up the site.

Patricia Luck

From: [Carrie Brown](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Re: Comment on SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Sunday, September 29, 2013 6:09:03 PM

Over decades, NASA contaminated the Santa Susana Field Lab with dioxins, PCBs, perchlorate, heavy metals, TCE and other volatile organic compounds. Hundreds of thousands of people live nearby. A federal study showed that people living closer to the site have higher rates of thyroid, bladder, blood and lymph cancers than people living further away.

In 2010, NASA signed a legally binding agreement with California to clean up all contamination that could be detected. But Boeing, NASA's contractor at the site and owner of most of the site, has been pushing to block full cleanup of most of the site. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement recently released by NASA that barely mentions the contamination raises questions about whether NASA is trying to break out of the cleanup agreement it signed.

It is critical that NASA live up to the commitments it made in the 2010 cleanup agreement. I strongly urge NASA to meet its responsibilities regarding the toxic contamination it created. Do what you promised to do--fully clean up the site.

Carrie Brown

From: [B. L. Melton](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Re: Comment on SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Sunday, September 29, 2013 6:40:47 PM

Over decades, NASA contaminated the Santa Susana Field Lab with dioxins, PCBs, perchlorate, heavy metals, TCE and other volatile organic compounds. Hundreds of thousands of people live nearby. A federal study showed that people living closer to the site have higher rates of thyroid, bladder, blood and lymph cancers than people living further away.

In 2010, NASA signed a legally binding agreement with California to clean up all contamination that could be detected. But Boeing, NASA's contractor at the site and owner of most of the site, has been pushing to block full cleanup of most of the site. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement recently released by NASA that barely mentions the contamination raises questions about whether NASA is trying to break out of the cleanup agreement it signed.

It is critical that NASA live up to the commitments it made in the 2010 cleanup agreement. I strongly urge NASA to meet its responsibilities regarding the toxic contamination it created. Do what you promised to do--fully clean up the site.

B. L. Melton

From: [Martha W D Bushnell](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Re: Comment on SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Sunday, September 29, 2013 6:44:09 PM

Over decades, NASA contaminated the Santa Susana Field Lab with dioxins, PCBs, perchlorate, heavy metals, TCE and other volatile organic compounds. Hundreds of thousands of people live nearby. A federal study showed that people living closer to the site have higher rates of thyroid, bladder, blood and lymph cancers than people living further away.

In 2010, NASA signed a legally binding agreement with California to clean up all contamination that could be detected. But Boeing, NASA's contractor at the site and owner of most of the site, has been pushing to block full cleanup of most of the site. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement recently released by NASA that barely mentions the contamination raises questions about whether NASA is trying to break out of the cleanup agreement it signed.

It is critical that NASA live up to the commitments it made in the 2010 cleanup agreement. I strongly urge NASA to meet its responsibilities regarding the toxic contamination it created. Do what you promised to do--fully clean up the site.

Martha W D Bushnell

From: [EVONNE NGO](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Re: Comment on SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Sunday, September 29, 2013 6:53:56 PM

Over decades, NASA contaminated the Santa Susana Field Lab with dioxins, PCBs, perchlorate, heavy metals, TCE and other volatile organic compounds. Hundreds of thousands of people live nearby. A federal study showed that people living closer to the site have higher rates of thyroid, bladder, blood and lymph cancers than people living further away.

In 2010, NASA signed a legally binding agreement with California to clean up all contamination that could be detected. But Boeing, NASA's contractor at the site and owner of most of the site, has been pushing to block full cleanup of most of the site. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement recently released by NASA that barely mentions the contamination raises questions about whether NASA is trying to break out of the cleanup agreement it signed.

It is critical that NASA live up to the commitments it made in the 2010 cleanup agreement. I strongly urge NASA to meet its responsibilities regarding the toxic contamination it created. Do what you promised to do--fully clean up the site.

EVONNE NGO

From: [Stephanie McCarren](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Re: Comment on SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Sunday, September 29, 2013 7:09:05 PM

Over decades, NASA contaminated the Santa Susana Field Lab with dioxins, PCBs, perchlorate, heavy metals, TCE and other volatile organic compounds. Hundreds of thousands of people live nearby. A federal study showed that people living closer to the site have higher rates of thyroid, bladder, blood and lymph cancers than people living further away.

In 2010, NASA signed a legally binding agreement with California to clean up all contamination that could be detected. But Boeing, NASA's contractor at the site and owner of most of the site, has been pushing to block full cleanup of most of the site. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement recently released by NASA that barely mentions the contamination raises questions about whether NASA is trying to break out of the cleanup agreement it signed.

It is critical that NASA live up to the commitments it made in the 2010 cleanup agreement. I strongly urge NASA to meet its responsibilities regarding the toxic contamination it created. Do what you promised to do--fully clean up the site.

Stephanie McCarren

From: [Stephen Behee](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Re: Comment on SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Sunday, September 29, 2013 9:49:19 PM

Over decades, NASA contaminated the Santa Susana Field Lab with dioxins, PCBs, perchlorate, heavy metals, TCE and other volatile organic compounds. Hundreds of thousands of people live nearby. A federal study showed that people living closer to the site have higher rates of thyroid, bladder, blood and lymph cancers than people living further away.

In 2010, NASA signed a legally binding agreement with California to clean up all contamination that could be detected. But Boeing, NASA's contractor at the site and owner of most of the site, has been pushing to block full cleanup of most of the site. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement recently released by NASA that barely mentions the contamination raises questions about whether NASA is trying to break out of the cleanup agreement it signed.

It is critical that NASA live up to the commitments it made in the 2010 cleanup agreement. I strongly urge NASA to meet its responsibilities regarding the toxic contamination it created. Do what you promised to do--fully clean up the site.

Stephen Behee

From: [Jason LaVoie](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Re: Comment on SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Sunday, September 29, 2013 10:13:48 PM

Over decades, NASA contaminated the Santa Susana Field Lab with dioxins, PCBs, perchlorate, heavy metals, TCE and other volatile organic compounds. Hundreds of thousands of people live nearby. A federal study showed that people living closer to the site have higher rates of thyroid, bladder, blood and lymph cancers than people living further away.

In 2010, NASA signed a legally binding agreement with California to clean up all contamination that could be detected. But Boeing, NASA's contractor at the site and owner of most of the site, has been pushing to block full cleanup of most of the site. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement recently released by NASA that barely mentions the contamination raises questions about whether NASA is trying to break out of the cleanup agreement it signed.

It is critical that NASA live up to the commitments it made in the 2010 cleanup agreement. I strongly urge NASA to meet its responsibilities regarding the toxic contamination it created. Do what you promised to do--fully clean up the site.

Jason LaVoie

From: [Mich Chen](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Re: Comment on SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Sunday, September 29, 2013 10:55:02 PM

Over decades, NASA contaminated the Santa Susana Field Lab with dioxins, PCBs, perchlorate, heavy metals, TCE and other volatile organic compounds. Hundreds of thousands of people live nearby. A federal study showed that people living closer to the site have higher rates of thyroid, bladder, blood and lymph cancers than people living further away.

In 2010, NASA signed a legally binding agreement with California to clean up all contamination that could be detected. But Boeing, NASA's contractor at the site and owner of most of the site, has been pushing to block full cleanup of most of the site. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement recently released by NASA that barely mentions the contamination raises questions about whether NASA is trying to break out of the cleanup agreement it signed.

It is critical that NASA live up to the commitments it made in the 2010 cleanup agreement. I strongly urge NASA to meet its responsibilities regarding the toxic contamination it created. Do what you promised to do--fully clean up the site.

Mich Chen

From: [Robert Goings](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Re: Comment on SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Sunday, September 29, 2013 10:58:37 PM

Over decades, NASA contaminated the Santa Susana Field Lab with dioxins, PCBs, perchlorate, heavy metals, TCE and other volatile organic compounds. Hundreds of thousands of people live nearby. A federal study showed that people living closer to the site have higher rates of thyroid, bladder, blood and lymph cancers than people living further away.

In 2010, NASA signed a legally binding agreement with California to clean up all contamination that could be detected. But Boeing, NASA's contractor at the site and owner of most of the site, has been pushing to block full cleanup of most of the site. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement recently released by NASA that barely mentions the contamination raises questions about whether NASA is trying to break out of the cleanup agreement it signed.

It is critical that NASA live up to the commitments it made in the 2010 cleanup agreement. I strongly urge NASA to meet its responsibilities regarding the toxic contamination it created. Do what you promised to do--fully clean up the site.

Robert Goings

From: [Brad Davies](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Re: Comment on SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Monday, September 30, 2013 1:54:32 AM

Over decades, NASA contaminated the Santa Susana Field Lab with dioxins, PCBs, perchlorate, heavy metals, TCE and other volatile organic compounds. Hundreds of thousands of people live nearby. A federal study showed that people living closer to the site have higher rates of thyroid, bladder, blood and lymph cancers than people living further away.

In 2010, NASA signed a legally binding agreement with California to clean up all contamination that could be detected. But Boeing, NASA's contractor at the site and owner of most of the site, has been pushing to block full cleanup of most of the site. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement recently released by NASA that barely mentions the contamination raises questions about whether NASA is trying to break out of the cleanup agreement it signed.

It is critical that NASA live up to the commitments it made in the 2010 cleanup agreement. I strongly urge NASA to meet its responsibilities regarding the toxic contamination it created. Do what you promised to do--fully clean up the site.

Brad Davies

From: [Ming Choi](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Re: Comment on SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Monday, September 30, 2013 1:56:06 AM

Over decades, NASA contaminated the Santa Susana Field Lab with dioxins, PCBs, perchlorate, heavy metals, TCE and other volatile organic compounds. Hundreds of thousands of people live nearby. A federal study showed that people living closer to the site have higher rates of thyroid, bladder, blood and lymph cancers than people living further away.

In 2010, NASA signed a legally binding agreement with California to clean up all contamination that could be detected. But Boeing, NASA's contractor at the site and owner of most of the site, has been pushing to block full cleanup of most of the site. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement recently released by NASA that barely mentions the contamination raises questions about whether NASA is trying to break out of the cleanup agreement it signed.

It is critical that NASA live up to the commitments it made in the 2010 cleanup agreement. I strongly urge NASA to meet its responsibilities regarding the toxic contamination it created. Do what you promised to do--fully clean up the site.

Ming Choi

From: [Peter Perigo](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Re: Comment on SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Monday, September 30, 2013 3:53:37 AM

Over decades, NASA contaminated the Santa Susana Field Lab with dioxins, PCBs, perchlorate, heavy metals, TCE and other volatile organic compounds. Hundreds of thousands of people live nearby. A federal study showed that people living closer to the site have higher rates of thyroid, bladder, blood and lymph cancers than people living further away.

In 2010, NASA signed a legally binding agreement with California to clean up all contamination that could be detected. But Boeing, NASA's contractor at the site and owner of most of the site, has been pushing to block full cleanup of most of the site. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement recently released by NASA that barely mentions the contamination raises questions about whether NASA is trying to break out of the cleanup agreement it signed.

It is critical that NASA live up to the commitments it made in the 2010 cleanup agreement. I strongly urge NASA to meet its responsibilities regarding the toxic contamination it created. Do what you promised to do--fully clean up the site.

Peter Perigo

From: [Jane Twitmyer](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Re: Comment on SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Monday, September 30, 2013 8:58:38 AM

Over decades, NASA contaminated the Santa Susana Field Lab with dioxins, PCBs, perchlorate, heavy metals, TCE and other volatile organic compounds. Hundreds of thousands of people live nearby. A federal study showed that people living closer to the site have higher rates of thyroid, bladder, blood and lymph cancers than people living further away.

In 2010, NASA signed a legally binding agreement with California to clean up all contamination that could be detected. But Boeing, NASA's contractor at the site and owner of most of the site, has been pushing to block full cleanup of most of the site. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement recently released by NASA that barely mentions the contamination raises questions about whether NASA is trying to break out of the cleanup agreement it signed.

It is critical that NASA live up to the commitments it made in the 2010 cleanup agreement. I strongly urge NASA to meet its responsibilities regarding the toxic contamination it created. Do what you promised to do--fully clean up the site.

Jane Twitmyer

From: [Steve Iverson](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Re: Comment on SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Monday, September 30, 2013 2:03:19 PM

Over decades, NASA contaminated the Santa Susana Field Lab with dioxins, PCBs, perchlorate, heavy metals, TCE and other volatile organic compounds. Hundreds of thousands of people live nearby. A federal study showed that people living closer to the site have higher rates of thyroid, bladder, blood and lymph cancers than people living further away.

In 2010, NASA signed a legally binding agreement with California to clean up all contamination that could be detected. But Boeing, NASA's contractor at the site and owner of most of the site, has been pushing to block full cleanup of most of the site. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement recently released by NASA that barely mentions the contamination raises questions about whether NASA is trying to break out of the cleanup agreement it signed.

It is critical that NASA live up to the commitments it made in the 2010 cleanup agreement. I strongly urge NASA to meet its responsibilities regarding the toxic contamination it created. Do what you promised to do--fully clean up the site.

Steve Iverson

From: [Vesselin Kamenov](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Re: Comment on SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Monday, September 30, 2013 3:24:59 PM

Over decades, NASA contaminated the Santa Susana Field Lab with dioxins, PCBs, perchlorate, heavy metals, TCE and other volatile organic compounds. Hundreds of thousands of people live nearby. A federal study showed that people living closer to the site have higher rates of thyroid, bladder, blood and lymph cancers than people living further away.

In 2010, NASA signed a legally binding agreement with California to clean up all contamination that could be detected. But Boeing, NASA's contractor at the site and owner of most of the site, has been pushing to block full cleanup of most of the site. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement recently released by NASA that barely mentions the contamination raises questions about whether NASA is trying to break out of the cleanup agreement it signed.

It is critical that NASA live up to the commitments it made in the 2010 cleanup agreement. I strongly urge NASA to meet its responsibilities regarding the toxic contamination it created. Do what you promised to do--fully clean up the site.

Vesselin Kamenov

From: [JULIE NEWMAR](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Re: Comment on SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Monday, September 30, 2013 4:19:41 PM

Over decades, NASA contaminated the Santa Susana Field Lab with dioxins, PCBs, perchlorate, heavy metals, TCE and other volatile organic compounds. Hundreds of thousands of people live nearby. A federal study showed that people living closer to the site have higher rates of thyroid, bladder, blood and lymph cancers than people living further away.

In 2010, NASA signed a legally binding agreement with California to clean up all contamination that could be detected. But Boeing, NASA's contractor at the site and owner of most of the site, has been pushing to block full cleanup of most of the site. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement recently released by NASA that barely mentions the contamination raises questions about whether NASA is trying to break out of the cleanup agreement it signed.

It is critical that NASA live up to the commitments it made in the 2010 cleanup agreement. I strongly urge NASA to meet its responsibilities regarding the toxic contamination it created. Do what you promised to do--fully clean up the site.

JULIE NEWMAR

From: [SUNGMUN PARK](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Re: Comment on SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Monday, September 30, 2013 5:19:35 PM

Over decades, NASA contaminated the Santa Susana Field Lab with dioxins, PCBs, perchlorate, heavy metals, TCE and other volatile organic compounds. Hundreds of thousands of people live nearby. A federal study showed that people living closer to the site have higher rates of thyroid, bladder, blood and lymph cancers than people living further away.

In 2010, NASA signed a legally binding agreement with California to clean up all contamination that could be detected. But Boeing, NASA's contractor at the site and owner of most of the site, has been pushing to block full cleanup of most of the site. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement recently released by NASA that barely mentions the contamination raises questions about whether NASA is trying to break out of the cleanup agreement it signed.

It is critical that NASA live up to the commitments it made in the 2010 cleanup agreement. I strongly urge NASA to meet its responsibilities regarding the toxic contamination it created. Do what you promised to do--fully clean up the site.

SUNGMUN PARK

From: [DAVID CAMP](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Re: Comment on SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Monday, September 30, 2013 5:38:52 PM

Over decades, NASA contaminated the Santa Susana Field Lab with dioxins, PCBs, perchlorate, heavy metals, TCE and other volatile organic compounds. Hundreds of thousands of people live nearby. A federal study showed that people living closer to the site have higher rates of thyroid, bladder, blood and lymph cancers than people living further away.

In 2010, NASA signed a legally binding agreement with California to clean up all contamination that could be detected. But Boeing, NASA's contractor at the site and owner of most of the site, has been pushing to block full cleanup of most of the site. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement recently released by NASA that barely mentions the contamination raises questions about whether NASA is trying to break out of the cleanup agreement it signed.

It is critical that NASA live up to the commitments it made in the 2010 cleanup agreement. I strongly urge NASA to meet its responsibilities regarding the toxic contamination it created. Do what you promised to do--fully clean up the site.

DAVID CAMP

From: [Lorna Farnum](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Re: Comment on SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Monday, September 30, 2013 7:00:16 PM

Over decades, NASA contaminated the Santa Susana Field Lab with dioxins, PCBs, perchlorate, heavy metals, TCE and other volatile organic compounds. Hundreds of thousands of people live nearby. A federal study showed that people living closer to the site have higher rates of thyroid, bladder, blood and lymph cancers than people living further away.

In 2010, NASA signed a legally binding agreement with California to clean up all contamination that could be detected. But Boeing, NASA's contractor at the site and owner of most of the site, has been pushing to block full cleanup of most of the site. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement recently released by NASA that barely mentions the contamination raises questions about whether NASA is trying to break out of the cleanup agreement it signed.

It is critical that NASA live up to the commitments it made in the 2010 cleanup agreement. I strongly urge NASA to meet its responsibilities regarding the toxic contamination it created. Do what you promised to do--fully clean up the site.

Lorna Farnum

From: [JOHN NAVA](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Re: Comment on SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Monday, September 30, 2013 10:26:59 PM

Over decades, NASA contaminated the Santa Susana Field Lab with dioxins, PCBs, perchlorate, heavy metals, TCE and other volatile organic compounds. Hundreds of thousands of people live nearby. A federal study showed that people living closer to the site have higher rates of thyroid, bladder, blood and lymph cancers than people living further away.

In 2010, NASA signed a legally binding agreement with California to clean up all contamination that could be detected. But Boeing, NASA's contractor at the site and owner of most of the site, has been pushing to block full cleanup of most of the site. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement recently released by NASA that barely mentions the contamination raises questions about whether NASA is trying to break out of the cleanup agreement it signed.

It is critical that NASA live up to the commitments it made in the 2010 cleanup agreement. I strongly urge NASA to meet its responsibilities regarding the toxic contamination it created. Do what you promised to do--fully clean up the site.

JOHN NAVA

From: [Joanna Hess](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Re: Comment on SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Monday, September 30, 2013 11:42:33 PM

Over decades, NASA contaminated the Santa Susana Field Lab with dioxins, PCBs, perchlorate, heavy metals, TCE and other volatile organic compounds. Hundreds of thousands of people live nearby. A federal study showed that people living closer to the site have higher rates of thyroid, bladder, blood and lymph cancers than people living further away.

In 2010, NASA signed a legally binding agreement with California to clean up all contamination that could be detected. But Boeing, NASA's contractor at the site and owner of most of the site, has been pushing to block full cleanup of most of the site. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement recently released by NASA that barely mentions the contamination raises questions about whether NASA is trying to break out of the cleanup agreement it signed.

It is critical that NASA live up to the commitments it made in the 2010 cleanup agreement. I strongly urge NASA to meet its responsibilities regarding the toxic contamination it created. Do what you promised to do--fully clean up the site.

Joanna Hess

From: [Terri Mitchell](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Re: Comment on SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Monday, September 30, 2013 11:49:28 PM

Over decades, NASA contaminated the Santa Susana Field Lab with dioxins, PCBs, perchlorate, heavy metals, TCE and other volatile organic compounds. Hundreds of thousands of people live nearby. A federal study showed that people living closer to the site have higher rates of thyroid, bladder, blood and lymph cancers than people living further away.

In 2010, NASA signed a legally binding agreement with California to clean up all contamination that could be detected. But Boeing, NASA's contractor at the site and owner of most of the site, has been pushing to block full cleanup of most of the site. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement recently released by NASA that barely mentions the contamination raises questions about whether NASA is trying to break out of the cleanup agreement it signed.

It is critical that NASA live up to the commitments it made in the 2010 cleanup agreement. I strongly urge NASA to meet its responsibilities regarding the toxic contamination it created. Do what you promised to do--fully clean up the site.

Terri Mitchell

From: [martha milne](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Re: Comment on SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Tuesday, October 01, 2013 12:41:03 AM

Over decades, NASA contaminated the Santa Susana Field Lab with dioxins, PCBs, perchlorate, heavy metals, TCE and other volatile organic compounds. Hundreds of thousands of people live nearby. A federal study showed that people living closer to the site have higher rates of thyroid, bladder, blood and lymph cancers than people living further away.

In 2010, NASA signed a legally binding agreement with California to clean up all contamination that could be detected. But Boeing, NASA's contractor at the site and owner of most of the site, has been pushing to block full cleanup of most of the site. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement recently released by NASA that barely mentions the contamination raises questions about whether NASA is trying to break out of the cleanup agreement it signed.

It is critical that NASA live up to the commitments it made in the 2010 cleanup agreement. I strongly urge NASA to meet its responsibilities regarding the toxic contamination it created. Do what you promised to do--fully clean up the site.

martha milne

From: [Nikki Wojtalik](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Re: Comment on SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Tuesday, October 01, 2013 7:15:06 AM

Over decades, NASA contaminated the Santa Susana Field Lab with dioxins, PCBs, perchlorate, heavy metals, TCE and other volatile organic compounds. Hundreds of thousands of people live nearby. A federal study showed that people living closer to the site have higher rates of thyroid, bladder, blood and lymph cancers than people living further away.

In 2010, NASA signed a legally binding agreement with California to clean up all contamination that could be detected. But Boeing, NASA's contractor at the site and owner of most of the site, has been pushing to block full cleanup of most of the site. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement recently released by NASA that barely mentions the contamination raises questions about whether NASA is trying to break out of the cleanup agreement it signed.

It is critical that NASA live up to the commitments it made in the 2010 cleanup agreement. I strongly urge NASA to meet its responsibilities regarding the toxic contamination it created. Do what you promised to do--fully clean up the site.

Nikki Wojtalik

From: [Denise Cugini](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Re: Comment on SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Tuesday, October 01, 2013 8:29:56 AM

Over decades, NASA contaminated the Santa Susana Field Lab with dioxins, PCBs, perchlorate, heavy metals, TCE and other volatile organic compounds. Hundreds of thousands of people live nearby. A federal study showed that people living closer to the site have higher rates of thyroid, bladder, blood and lymph cancers than people living further away.

In 2010, NASA signed a legally binding agreement with California to clean up all contamination that could be detected. But Boeing, NASA's contractor at the site and owner of most of the site, has been pushing to block full cleanup of most of the site. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement recently released by NASA that barely mentions the contamination raises questions about whether NASA is trying to break out of the cleanup agreement it signed.

It is critical that NASA live up to the commitments it made in the 2010 cleanup agreement. I strongly urge NASA to meet its responsibilities regarding the toxic contamination it created. Do what you promised to do--fully clean up the site.

Denise Cugini

From: [Ruth Briggs](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Re: Comment on SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Tuesday, October 01, 2013 10:51:07 AM

Over decades, NASA contaminated the Santa Susana Field Lab with dioxins, PCBs, perchlorate, heavy metals, TCE and other volatile organic compounds. Hundreds of thousands of people live nearby. A federal study showed that people living closer to the site have higher rates of thyroid, bladder, blood and lymph cancers than people living further away.

In 2010, NASA signed a legally binding agreement with California to clean up all contamination that could be detected. But Boeing, NASA's contractor at the site and owner of most of the site, has been pushing to block full cleanup of most of the site. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement recently released by NASA that barely mentions the contamination raises questions about whether NASA is trying to break out of the cleanup agreement it signed.

It is critical that NASA live up to the commitments it made in the 2010 cleanup agreement. I strongly urge NASA to meet its responsibilities regarding the toxic contamination it created. Do what you promised to do--fully clean up the site.

Ruth Briggs

From: [KELLEY MC NAMARA](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Re: Comment on SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Saturday, September 28, 2013 12:28:44 AM

Over decades, NASA contaminated the Santa Susana Field Lab with dioxins, PCBs, perchlorate, heavy metals, TCE and other volatile organic compounds. Hundreds of thousands of people live nearby. A federal study showed that people living closer to the site have higher rates of thyroid, bladder, blood and lymph cancers than people living further away.

In 2010, NASA signed a legally binding agreement with California to clean up all contamination that could be detected. But Boeing, NASA's contractor at the site and owner of most of the site, has been pushing to block full cleanup of most of the site. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement recently released by NASA that barely mentions the contamination raises questions about whether NASA is trying to break out of the cleanup agreement it signed.

It is critical that NASA live up to the commitments it made in the 2010 cleanup agreement. I strongly urge NASA to meet its responsibilities regarding the toxic contamination it created. Do what you promised to do--fully clean up the site.

KELLEY MC NAMARA

From: [FRANK MANIGAULT](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Re: Comment on SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Saturday, September 28, 2013 12:44:55 AM

Over decades, NASA contaminated the Santa Susana Field Lab with dioxins, PCBs, perchlorate, heavy metals, TCE and other volatile organic compounds. Hundreds of thousands of people live nearby. A federal study showed that people living closer to the site have higher rates of thyroid, bladder, blood and lymph cancers than people living further away.

In 2010, NASA signed a legally binding agreement with California to clean up all contamination that could be detected. But Boeing, NASA's contractor at the site and owner of most of the site, has been pushing to block full cleanup of most of the site. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement recently released by NASA that barely mentions the contamination raises questions about whether NASA is trying to break out of the cleanup agreement it signed.

It is critical that NASA live up to the commitments it made in the 2010 cleanup agreement. I strongly urge NASA to meet its responsibilities regarding the toxic contamination it created. Do what you promised to do--fully clean up the site.

FRANK MANIGAULT

From: [Nancy Balassi](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Re: Comment on SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Saturday, September 28, 2013 1:02:32 AM

Over decades, NASA contaminated the Santa Susana Field Lab with dioxins, PCBs, perchlorate, heavy metals, TCE and other volatile organic compounds. Hundreds of thousands of people live nearby. A federal study showed that people living closer to the site have higher rates of thyroid, bladder, blood and lymph cancers than people living further away.

In 2010, NASA signed a legally binding agreement with California to clean up all contamination that could be detected. But Boeing, NASA's contractor at the site and owner of most of the site, has been pushing to block full cleanup of most of the site. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement recently released by NASA that barely mentions the contamination raises questions about whether NASA is trying to break out of the cleanup agreement it signed.

It is critical that NASA live up to the commitments it made in the 2010 cleanup agreement. I strongly urge NASA to meet its responsibilities regarding the toxic contamination it created. Do what you promised to do--fully clean up the site.

Nancy Balassi

From: [NIKKI NAFZIGER](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Re: Comment on SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Saturday, September 28, 2013 1:30:09 AM

Over decades, NASA contaminated the Santa Susana Field Lab with dioxins, PCBs, perchlorate, heavy metals, TCE and other volatile organic compounds. Hundreds of thousands of people live nearby. A federal study showed that people living closer to the site have higher rates of thyroid, bladder, blood and lymph cancers than people living further away.

In 2010, NASA signed a legally binding agreement with California to clean up all contamination that could be detected. But Boeing, NASA's contractor at the site and owner of most of the site, has been pushing to block full cleanup of most of the site. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement recently released by NASA that barely mentions the contamination raises questions about whether NASA is trying to break out of the cleanup agreement it signed.

It is critical that NASA live up to the commitments it made in the 2010 cleanup agreement. I strongly urge NASA to meet its responsibilities regarding the toxic contamination it created. Do what you promised to do--fully clean up the site.

NIKKI NAFZIGER

From: [Carl Peterson](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Re: Comment on SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Saturday, September 28, 2013 8:32:23 AM

Over decades, NASA contaminated the Santa Susana Field Lab with dioxins, PCBs, perchlorate, heavy metals, TCE and other volatile organic compounds. Hundreds of thousands of people live nearby. A federal study showed that people living closer to the site have higher rates of thyroid, bladder, blood and lymph cancers than people living further away.

In 2010, NASA signed a legally binding agreement with California to clean up all contamination that could be detected. But Boeing, NASA's contractor at the site and owner of most of the site, has been pushing to block full cleanup of most of the site. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement recently released by NASA that barely mentions the contamination raises questions about whether NASA is trying to break out of the cleanup agreement it signed.

It is critical that NASA live up to the commitments it made in the 2010 cleanup agreement. I strongly urge NASA to meet its responsibilities regarding the toxic contamination it created. Do what you promised to do--fully clean up the site.

Carl Peterson

From: [Dale LeFevre](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Re: Comment on SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Saturday, September 28, 2013 9:17:58 AM

Over decades, NASA contaminated the Santa Susana Field Lab with dioxins, PCBs, perchlorate, heavy metals, TCE and other volatile organic compounds. Hundreds of thousands of people live nearby. A federal study showed that people living closer to the site have higher rates of thyroid, bladder, blood and lymph cancers than people living further away.

In 2010, NASA signed a legally binding agreement with California to clean up all contamination that could be detected. But Boeing, NASA's contractor at the site and owner of most of the site, has been pushing to block full cleanup of most of the site. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement recently released by NASA that barely mentions the contamination raises questions about whether NASA is trying to break out of the cleanup agreement it signed.

It is critical that NASA live up to the commitments it made in the 2010 cleanup agreement. I strongly urge NASA to meet its responsibilities regarding the toxic contamination it created. Do what you promised to do--fully clean up the site.

Dale LeFevre

From: [Delainie Hanson](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Re: Comment on SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Saturday, September 28, 2013 10:30:21 AM

Over decades, NASA contaminated the Santa Susana Field Lab with dioxins, PCBs, perchlorate, heavy metals, TCE and other volatile organic compounds. Hundreds of thousands of people live nearby. A federal study showed that people living closer to the site have higher rates of thyroid, bladder, blood and lymph cancers than people living further away.

In 2010, NASA signed a legally binding agreement with California to clean up all contamination that could be detected. But Boeing, NASA's contractor at the site and owner of most of the site, has been pushing to block full cleanup of most of the site. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement recently released by NASA that barely mentions the contamination raises questions about whether NASA is trying to break out of the cleanup agreement it signed.

It is critical that NASA live up to the commitments it made in the 2010 cleanup agreement. I strongly urge NASA to meet its responsibilities regarding the toxic contamination it created. Do what you promised to do--fully clean up the site.

Delainie Hanson

From: [YVONNE PANGRAMUYEN](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Re: Comment on SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Saturday, September 28, 2013 11:26:52 AM

Over decades, NASA contaminated the Santa Susana Field Lab with dioxins, PCBs, perchlorate, heavy metals, TCE and other volatile organic compounds. Hundreds of thousands of people live nearby. A federal study showed that people living closer to the site have higher rates of thyroid, bladder, blood and lymph cancers than people living further away.

In 2010, NASA signed a legally binding agreement with California to clean up all contamination that could be detected. But Boeing, NASA's contractor at the site and owner of most of the site, has been pushing to block full cleanup of most of the site. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement recently released by NASA that barely mentions the contamination raises questions about whether NASA is trying to break out of the cleanup agreement it signed.

It is critical that NASA live up to the commitments it made in the 2010 cleanup agreement. I strongly urge NASA to meet its responsibilities regarding the toxic contamination it created. Do what you promised to do--fully clean up the site.

YVONNE PANGRAMUYEN

From: [JEANINNE PAYNE](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Re: Comment on SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Saturday, September 28, 2013 11:33:58 AM

Over decades, NASA contaminated the Santa Susana Field Lab with dioxins, PCBs, perchlorate, heavy metals, TCE and other volatile organic compounds. Hundreds of thousands of people live nearby. A federal study showed that people living closer to the site have higher rates of thyroid, bladder, blood and lymph cancers than people living further away.

In 2010, NASA signed a legally binding agreement with California to clean up all contamination that could be detected. But Boeing, NASA's contractor at the site and owner of most of the site, has been pushing to block full cleanup of most of the site. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement recently released by NASA that barely mentions the contamination raises questions about whether NASA is trying to break out of the cleanup agreement it signed.

It is critical that NASA live up to the commitments it made in the 2010 cleanup agreement. I strongly urge NASA to meet its responsibilities regarding the toxic contamination it created. Do what you promised to do--fully clean up the site.

JEANINNE PAYNE

From: [DANIELLE VANASCO](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Re: Comment on SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Saturday, September 28, 2013 1:04:59 PM

Over decades, NASA contaminated the Santa Susana Field Lab with dioxins, PCBs, perchlorate, heavy metals, TCE and other volatile organic compounds. Hundreds of thousands of people live nearby. A federal study showed that people living closer to the site have higher rates of thyroid, bladder, blood and lymph cancers than people living further away.

In 2010, NASA signed a legally binding agreement with California to clean up all contamination that could be detected. But Boeing, NASA's contractor at the site and owner of most of the site, has been pushing to block full cleanup of most of the site. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement recently released by NASA that barely mentions the contamination raises questions about whether NASA is trying to break out of the cleanup agreement it signed.

It is critical that NASA live up to the commitments it made in the 2010 cleanup agreement. I strongly urge NASA to meet its responsibilities regarding the toxic contamination it created. Do what you promised to do--fully clean up the site.

DANIELLE VANASCO

From: [Rick and Sharon Norlund](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Re: Comment on SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Saturday, September 28, 2013 1:06:35 PM

Over decades, NASA contaminated the Santa Susana Field Lab with dioxins, PCBs, perchlorate, heavy metals, TCE and other volatile organic compounds. Hundreds of thousands of people live nearby. A federal study showed that people living closer to the site have higher rates of thyroid, bladder, blood and lymph cancers than people living further away.

In 2010, NASA signed a legally binding agreement with California to clean up all contamination that could be detected. But Boeing, NASA's contractor at the site and owner of most of the site, has been pushing to block full cleanup of most of the site. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement recently released by NASA that barely mentions the contamination raises questions about whether NASA is trying to break out of the cleanup agreement it signed.

It is critical that NASA live up to the commitments it made in the 2010 cleanup agreement. I strongly urge NASA to meet its responsibilities regarding the toxic contamination it created. Do what you promised to do--fully clean up the site.

Rick and Sharon Norlund

From: [DERRICK STROUD](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Re: Comment on SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Saturday, September 28, 2013 1:46:43 PM

Over decades, NASA contaminated the Santa Susana Field Lab with dioxins, PCBs, perchlorate, heavy metals, TCE and other volatile organic compounds. Hundreds of thousands of people live nearby. A federal study showed that people living closer to the site have higher rates of thyroid, bladder, blood and lymph cancers than people living further away.

In 2010, NASA signed a legally binding agreement with California to clean up all contamination that could be detected. But Boeing, NASA's contractor at the site and owner of most of the site, has been pushing to block full cleanup of most of the site. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement recently released by NASA that barely mentions the contamination raises questions about whether NASA is trying to break out of the cleanup agreement it signed.

It is critical that NASA live up to the commitments it made in the 2010 cleanup agreement. I strongly urge NASA to meet its responsibilities regarding the toxic contamination it created. Do what you promised to do--fully clean up the site.

DERRICK STROUD

From: [Louise Corwell](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Re: Comment on SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Saturday, September 28, 2013 2:33:59 PM

Over decades, NASA contaminated the Santa Susana Field Lab with dioxins, PCBs, perchlorate, heavy metals, TCE and other volatile organic compounds. Hundreds of thousands of people live nearby. A federal study showed that people living closer to the site have higher rates of thyroid, bladder, blood and lymph cancers than people living further away.

In 2010, NASA signed a legally binding agreement with California to clean up all contamination that could be detected. But Boeing, NASA's contractor at the site and owner of most of the site, has been pushing to block full cleanup of most of the site. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement recently released by NASA that barely mentions the contamination raises questions about whether NASA is trying to break out of the cleanup agreement it signed.

It is critical that NASA live up to the commitments it made in the 2010 cleanup agreement. I strongly urge NASA to meet its responsibilities regarding the toxic contamination it created. Do what you promised to do--fully clean up the site.

Louise Corwell

From: [mikaela sillman](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Re: Comment on SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Saturday, September 28, 2013 3:13:00 PM

Over decades, NASA contaminated the Santa Susana Field Lab with dioxins, PCBs, perchlorate, heavy metals, TCE and other volatile organic compounds. Hundreds of thousands of people live nearby. A federal study showed that people living closer to the site have higher rates of thyroid, bladder, blood and lymph cancers than people living further away.

In 2010, NASA signed a legally binding agreement with California to clean up all contamination that could be detected. But Boeing, NASA's contractor at the site and owner of most of the site, has been pushing to block full cleanup of most of the site. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement recently released by NASA that barely mentions the contamination raises questions about whether NASA is trying to break out of the cleanup agreement it signed.

It is critical that NASA live up to the commitments it made in the 2010 cleanup agreement. I strongly urge NASA to meet its responsibilities regarding the toxic contamination it created. Do what you promised to do--fully clean up the site.

mikaela sillman

From: [PETER REINHARD](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Re: Comment on SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Saturday, September 28, 2013 4:40:00 PM

Over decades, NASA contaminated the Santa Susana Field Lab with dioxins, PCBs, perchlorate, heavy metals, TCE and other volatile organic compounds. Hundreds of thousands of people live nearby. A federal study showed that people living closer to the site have higher rates of thyroid, bladder, blood and lymph cancers than people living further away.

In 2010, NASA signed a legally binding agreement with California to clean up all contamination that could be detected. But Boeing, NASA's contractor at the site and owner of most of the site, has been pushing to block full cleanup of most of the site. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement recently released by NASA that barely mentions the contamination raises questions about whether NASA is trying to break out of the cleanup agreement it signed.

It is critical that NASA live up to the commitments it made in the 2010 cleanup agreement. I strongly urge NASA to meet its responsibilities regarding the toxic contamination it created. Do what you promised to do--fully clean up the site.

PETER REINHARD

From: [Mary Murphy](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Re: Comment on SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Saturday, September 28, 2013 5:02:01 PM

Over decades, NASA contaminated the Santa Susana Field Lab with dioxins, PCBs, perchlorate, heavy metals, TCE and other volatile organic compounds. Hundreds of thousands of people live nearby. A federal study showed that people living closer to the site have higher rates of thyroid, bladder, blood and lymph cancers than people living further away.

In 2010, NASA signed a legally binding agreement with California to clean up all contamination that could be detected. But Boeing, NASA's contractor at the site and owner of most of the site, has been pushing to block full cleanup of most of the site. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement recently released by NASA that barely mentions the contamination raises questions about whether NASA is trying to break out of the cleanup agreement it signed.

It is critical that NASA live up to the commitments it made in the 2010 cleanup agreement. I strongly urge NASA to meet its responsibilities regarding the toxic contamination it created. Do what you promised to do--fully clean up the site.

Mary Murphy

From: [ROMANUS AKABUOGU](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Re: Comment on SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Saturday, September 28, 2013 5:08:49 PM

Over decades, NASA contaminated the Santa Susana Field Lab with dioxins, PCBs, perchlorate, heavy metals, TCE and other volatile organic compounds. Hundreds of thousands of people live nearby. A federal study showed that people living closer to the site have higher rates of thyroid, bladder, blood and lymph cancers than people living further away.

In 2010, NASA signed a legally binding agreement with California to clean up all contamination that could be detected. But Boeing, NASA's contractor at the site and owner of most of the site, has been pushing to block full cleanup of most of the site. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement recently released by NASA that barely mentions the contamination raises questions about whether NASA is trying to break out of the cleanup agreement it signed.

It is critical that NASA live up to the commitments it made in the 2010 cleanup agreement. I strongly urge NASA to meet its responsibilities regarding the toxic contamination it created. Do what you promised to do--fully clean up the site.

ROMANUS AKABUOGU

From: [WINIFRED HUANG](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Re: Comment on SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Saturday, September 28, 2013 5:23:46 PM

Over decades, NASA contaminated the Santa Susana Field Lab with dioxins, PCBs, perchlorate, heavy metals, TCE and other volatile organic compounds. Hundreds of thousands of people live nearby. A federal study showed that people living closer to the site have higher rates of thyroid, bladder, blood and lymph cancers than people living further away.

In 2010, NASA signed a legally binding agreement with California to clean up all contamination that could be detected. But Boeing, NASA's contractor at the site and owner of most of the site, has been pushing to block full cleanup of most of the site. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement recently released by NASA that barely mentions the contamination raises questions about whether NASA is trying to break out of the cleanup agreement it signed.

It is critical that NASA live up to the commitments it made in the 2010 cleanup agreement. I strongly urge NASA to meet its responsibilities regarding the toxic contamination it created. Do what you promised to do--fully clean up the site.

WINIFRED HUANG

From: [margaret toews](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Re: Comment on SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Saturday, September 28, 2013 6:34:00 PM

Over decades, NASA contaminated the Santa Susana Field Lab with dioxins, PCBs, perchlorate, heavy metals, TCE and other volatile organic compounds. Hundreds of thousands of people live nearby. A federal study showed that people living closer to the site have higher rates of thyroid, bladder, blood and lymph cancers than people living further away.

In 2010, NASA signed a legally binding agreement with California to clean up all contamination that could be detected. But Boeing, NASA's contractor at the site and owner of most of the site, has been pushing to block full cleanup of most of the site. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement recently released by NASA that barely mentions the contamination raises questions about whether NASA is trying to break out of the cleanup agreement it signed.

It is critical that NASA live up to the commitments it made in the 2010 cleanup agreement. I strongly urge NASA to meet its responsibilities regarding the toxic contamination it created. Do what you promised to do--fully clean up the site.

margaret toews

From: [margaret toews](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Re: Comment on SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Saturday, September 28, 2013 6:34:29 PM

Over decades, NASA contaminated the Santa Susana Field Lab with dioxins, PCBs, perchlorate, heavy metals, TCE and other volatile organic compounds. Hundreds of thousands of people live nearby. A federal study showed that people living closer to the site have higher rates of thyroid, bladder, blood and lymph cancers than people living further away.

In 2010, NASA signed a legally binding agreement with California to clean up all contamination that could be detected. But Boeing, NASA's contractor at the site and owner of most of the site, has been pushing to block full cleanup of most of the site. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement recently released by NASA that barely mentions the contamination raises questions about whether NASA is trying to break out of the cleanup agreement it signed.

It is critical that NASA live up to the commitments it made in the 2010 cleanup agreement. I strongly urge NASA to meet its responsibilities regarding the toxic contamination it created. Do what you promised to do--fully clean up the site.

margaret toews

From: [PAMELA VAMPOTIC](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Re: Comment on SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Saturday, September 28, 2013 7:07:20 PM

Over decades, NASA contaminated the Santa Susana Field Lab with dioxins, PCBs, perchlorate, heavy metals, TCE and other volatile organic compounds. Hundreds of thousands of people live nearby. A federal study showed that people living closer to the site have higher rates of thyroid, bladder, blood and lymph cancers than people living further away.

In 2010, NASA signed a legally binding agreement with California to clean up all contamination that could be detected. But Boeing, NASA's contractor at the site and owner of most of the site, has been pushing to block full cleanup of most of the site. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement recently released by NASA that barely mentions the contamination raises questions about whether NASA is trying to break out of the cleanup agreement it signed.

It is critical that NASA live up to the commitments it made in the 2010 cleanup agreement. I strongly urge NASA to meet its responsibilities regarding the toxic contamination it created. Do what you promised to do--fully clean up the site.

PAMELA VAMPOTIC

From: [CHRISTINE KUESTER](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Re: Comment on SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Saturday, September 28, 2013 7:32:25 PM

Over decades, NASA contaminated the Santa Susana Field Lab with dioxins, PCBs, perchlorate, heavy metals, TCE and other volatile organic compounds. Hundreds of thousands of people live nearby. A federal study showed that people living closer to the site have higher rates of thyroid, bladder, blood and lymph cancers than people living further away.

In 2010, NASA signed a legally binding agreement with California to clean up all contamination that could be detected. But Boeing, NASA's contractor at the site and owner of most of the site, has been pushing to block full cleanup of most of the site. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement recently released by NASA that barely mentions the contamination raises questions about whether NASA is trying to break out of the cleanup agreement it signed.

It is critical that NASA live up to the commitments it made in the 2010 cleanup agreement. I strongly urge NASA to meet its responsibilities regarding the toxic contamination it created. Do what you promised to do--fully clean up the site.

CHRISTINE KUESTER

From: [RICHARD BENJAMIN](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Re: Comment on SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Saturday, September 28, 2013 7:56:04 PM

Over decades, NASA contaminated the Santa Susana Field Lab with dioxins, PCBs, perchlorate, heavy metals, TCE and other volatile organic compounds. Hundreds of thousands of people live nearby. A federal study showed that people living closer to the site have higher rates of thyroid, bladder, blood and lymph cancers than people living further away.

In 2010, NASA signed a legally binding agreement with California to clean up all contamination that could be detected. But Boeing, NASA's contractor at the site and owner of most of the site, has been pushing to block full cleanup of most of the site. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement recently released by NASA that barely mentions the contamination raises questions about whether NASA is trying to break out of the cleanup agreement it signed.

It is critical that NASA live up to the commitments it made in the 2010 cleanup agreement. I strongly urge NASA to meet its responsibilities regarding the toxic contamination it created. Do what you promised to do--fully clean up the site.

RICHARD BENJAMIN

From: [Lama Lane](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Re: Comment on SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Saturday, September 28, 2013 7:56:13 PM

Over decades, NASA contaminated the Santa Susana Field Lab with dioxins, PCBs, perchlorate, heavy metals, TCE and other volatile organic compounds. Hundreds of thousands of people live nearby. A federal study showed that people living closer to the site have higher rates of thyroid, bladder, blood and lymph cancers than people living further away.

In 2010, NASA signed a legally binding agreement with California to clean up all contamination that could be detected. But Boeing, NASA's contractor at the site and owner of most of the site, has been pushing to block full cleanup of most of the site. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement recently released by NASA that barely mentions the contamination raises questions about whether NASA is trying to break out of the cleanup agreement it signed.

It is critical that NASA live up to the commitments it made in the 2010 cleanup agreement. I strongly urge NASA to meet its responsibilities regarding the toxic contamination it created. Do what you promised to do--fully clean up the site.

Lama Lane

From: [MARK FULLER](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Re: Comment on SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Saturday, September 28, 2013 10:11:30 PM

Over decades, NASA contaminated the Santa Susana Field Lab with dioxins, PCBs, perchlorate, heavy metals, TCE and other volatile organic compounds. Hundreds of thousands of people live nearby. A federal study showed that people living closer to the site have higher rates of thyroid, bladder, blood and lymph cancers than people living further away.

In 2010, NASA signed a legally binding agreement with California to clean up all contamination that could be detected. But Boeing, NASA's contractor at the site and owner of most of the site, has been pushing to block full cleanup of most of the site. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement recently released by NASA that barely mentions the contamination raises questions about whether NASA is trying to break out of the cleanup agreement it signed.

It is critical that NASA live up to the commitments it made in the 2010 cleanup agreement. I strongly urge NASA to meet its responsibilities regarding the toxic contamination it created. Do what you promised to do--fully clean up the site.

MARK FULLER

From: [Ron Avila](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Re: Comment on SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Saturday, September 28, 2013 10:20:09 PM

Over decades, NASA contaminated the Santa Susana Field Lab with dioxins, PCBs, perchlorate, heavy metals, TCE and other volatile organic compounds. Hundreds of thousands of people live nearby. A federal study showed that people living closer to the site have higher rates of thyroid, bladder, blood and lymph cancers than people living further away.

In 2010, NASA signed a legally binding agreement with California to clean up all contamination that could be detected. But Boeing, NASA's contractor at the site and owner of most of the site, has been pushing to block full cleanup of most of the site. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement recently released by NASA that barely mentions the contamination raises questions about whether NASA is trying to break out of the cleanup agreement it signed.

It is critical that NASA live up to the commitments it made in the 2010 cleanup agreement. I strongly urge NASA to meet its responsibilities regarding the toxic contamination it created. Do what you promised to do--fully clean up the site.

Ron Avila

From: [Dominick Falzone](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Re: Comment on SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Sunday, September 29, 2013 12:14:01 AM

Over decades, NASA contaminated the Santa Susana Field Lab with dioxins, PCBs, perchlorate, heavy metals, TCE and other volatile organic compounds. Hundreds of thousands of people live nearby. A federal study showed that people living closer to the site have higher rates of thyroid, bladder, blood and lymph cancers than people living further away.

In 2010, NASA signed a legally binding agreement with California to clean up all contamination that could be detected. But Boeing, NASA's contractor at the site and owner of most of the site, has been pushing to block full cleanup of most of the site. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement recently released by NASA that barely mentions the contamination raises questions about whether NASA is trying to break out of the cleanup agreement it signed.

It is critical that NASA live up to the commitments it made in the 2010 cleanup agreement. I strongly urge NASA to meet its responsibilities regarding the toxic contamination it created. Do what you promised to do--fully clean up the site.

Dominick Falzone

From: [Deanna Goldberg](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Re: Comment on SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Sunday, September 29, 2013 12:49:10 AM

Over decades, NASA contaminated the Santa Susana Field Lab with dioxins, PCBs, perchlorate, heavy metals, TCE and other volatile organic compounds. Hundreds of thousands of people live nearby. A federal study showed that people living closer to the site have higher rates of thyroid, bladder, blood and lymph cancers than people living further away.

In 2010, NASA signed a legally binding agreement with California to clean up all contamination that could be detected. But Boeing, NASA's contractor at the site and owner of most of the site, has been pushing to block full cleanup of most of the site. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement recently released by NASA that barely mentions the contamination raises questions about whether NASA is trying to break out of the cleanup agreement it signed.

It is critical that NASA live up to the commitments it made in the 2010 cleanup agreement. I strongly urge NASA to meet its responsibilities regarding the toxic contamination it created. Do what you promised to do--fully clean up the site.

Deanna Goldberg

From: [Russell Petricka](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Re: Comment on SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Sunday, September 29, 2013 1:02:51 AM

Over decades, NASA contaminated the Santa Susana Field Lab with dioxins, PCBs, perchlorate, heavy metals, TCE and other volatile organic compounds. Hundreds of thousands of people live nearby. A federal study showed that people living closer to the site have higher rates of thyroid, bladder, blood and lymph cancers than people living further away.

In 2010, NASA signed a legally binding agreement with California to clean up all contamination that could be detected. But Boeing, NASA's contractor at the site and owner of most of the site, has been pushing to block full cleanup of most of the site. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement recently released by NASA that barely mentions the contamination raises questions about whether NASA is trying to break out of the cleanup agreement it signed.

It is critical that NASA live up to the commitments it made in the 2010 cleanup agreement. I strongly urge NASA to meet its responsibilities regarding the toxic contamination it created. Do what you promised to do--fully clean up the site.

Russell Petricka

From: [MATTHEW BAILEY](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Re: Comment on SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Sunday, September 29, 2013 11:44:00 AM

Over decades, NASA contaminated the Santa Susana Field Lab with dioxins, PCBs, perchlorate, heavy metals, TCE and other volatile organic compounds. Hundreds of thousands of people live nearby. A federal study showed that people living closer to the site have higher rates of thyroid, bladder, blood and lymph cancers than people living further away.

In 2010, NASA signed a legally binding agreement with California to clean up all contamination that could be detected. But Boeing, NASA's contractor at the site and owner of most of the site, has been pushing to block full cleanup of most of the site. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement recently released by NASA that barely mentions the contamination raises questions about whether NASA is trying to break out of the cleanup agreement it signed.

It is critical that NASA live up to the commitments it made in the 2010 cleanup agreement. I strongly urge NASA to meet its responsibilities regarding the toxic contamination it created. Do what you promised to do--fully clean up the site.

MATTHEW BAILEY

From: [D Henry, U Burton, + DH Burton](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Re: Comment on SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Friday, September 27, 2013 6:17:14 AM

Over decades, NASA contaminated the Santa Susana Field Lab with dioxins, PCBs, perchlorate, heavy metals, TCE and other volatile organic compounds. Hundreds of thousands of people live nearby. A federal study showed that people living closer to the site have higher rates of thyroid, bladder, blood and lymph cancers than people living further away.

In 2010, NASA signed a legally binding agreement with California to clean up all contamination that could be detected. But Boeing, NASA's contractor at the site and owner of most of the site, has been pushing to block full cleanup of most of the site. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement recently released by NASA that barely mentions the contamination raises questions about whether NASA is trying to break out of the cleanup agreement it signed.

It is critical that NASA live up to the commitments it made in the 2010 cleanup agreement. I strongly urge NASA to meet its responsibilities regarding the toxic contamination it created. Do what you promised to do--fully clean up the site.

D Henry, U Burton, + DH Burton

From: [Lynn Manzione](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Re: Comment on SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Friday, September 27, 2013 7:20:03 AM

Over decades, NASA contaminated the Santa Susana Field Lab with dioxins, PCBs, perchlorate, heavy metals, TCE and other volatile organic compounds. Hundreds of thousands of people live nearby. A federal study showed that people living closer to the site have higher rates of thyroid, bladder, blood and lymph cancers than people living further away.

In 2010, NASA signed a legally binding agreement with California to clean up all contamination that could be detected. But Boeing, NASA's contractor at the site and owner of most of the site, has been pushing to block full cleanup of most of the site. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement recently released by NASA that barely mentions the contamination raises questions about whether NASA is trying to break out of the cleanup agreement it signed.

It is critical that NASA live up to the commitments it made in the 2010 cleanup agreement. I strongly urge NASA to meet its responsibilities regarding the toxic contamination it created. Do what you promised to do--fully clean up the site.

Lynn Manzione

From: [Rickie White](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Re: Comment on SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Friday, September 27, 2013 8:11:39 AM

Over decades, NASA contaminated the Santa Susana Field Lab with dioxins, PCBs, perchlorate, heavy metals, TCE and other volatile organic compounds. Hundreds of thousands of people live nearby. A federal study showed that people living closer to the site have higher rates of thyroid, bladder, blood and lymph cancers than people living further away.

In 2010, NASA signed a legally binding agreement with California to clean up all contamination that could be detected. But Boeing, NASA's contractor at the site and owner of most of the site, has been pushing to block full cleanup of most of the site. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement recently released by NASA that barely mentions the contamination raises questions about whether NASA is trying to break out of the cleanup agreement it signed.

It is critical that NASA live up to the commitments it made in the 2010 cleanup agreement. I strongly urge NASA to meet its responsibilities regarding the toxic contamination it created. Do what you promised to do--fully clean up the site.

Rickie White

From: [Joseph Szabo](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Re: Comment on SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Friday, September 27, 2013 8:45:37 AM

Over decades, NASA contaminated the Santa Susana Field Lab with dioxins, PCBs, perchlorate, heavy metals, TCE and other volatile organic compounds. Hundreds of thousands of people live nearby. A federal study showed that people living closer to the site have higher rates of thyroid, bladder, blood and lymph cancers than people living further away.

In 2010, NASA signed a legally binding agreement with California to clean up all contamination that could be detected. But Boeing, NASA's contractor at the site and owner of most of the site, has been pushing to block full cleanup of most of the site. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement recently released by NASA that barely mentions the contamination raises questions about whether NASA is trying to break out of the cleanup agreement it signed.

It is critical that NASA live up to the commitments it made in the 2010 cleanup agreement. I strongly urge NASA to meet its responsibilities regarding the toxic contamination it created. Do what you promised to do--fully clean up the site.

Joseph Szabo

From: [CYNTHIA GEORGE](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Re: Comment on SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Friday, September 27, 2013 9:25:20 AM

Over decades, NASA contaminated the Santa Susana Field Lab with dioxins, PCBs, perchlorate, heavy metals, TCE and other volatile organic compounds. Hundreds of thousands of people live nearby. A federal study showed that people living closer to the site have higher rates of thyroid, bladder, blood and lymph cancers than people living further away.

In 2010, NASA signed a legally binding agreement with California to clean up all contamination that could be detected. But Boeing, NASA's contractor at the site and owner of most of the site, has been pushing to block full cleanup of most of the site. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement recently released by NASA that barely mentions the contamination raises questions about whether NASA is trying to break out of the cleanup agreement it signed.

It is critical that NASA live up to the commitments it made in the 2010 cleanup agreement. I strongly urge NASA to meet its responsibilities regarding the toxic contamination it created. Do what you promised to do--fully clean up the site.

CYNTHIA GEORGE

From: [HELY MEZA](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Re: Comment on SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Friday, September 27, 2013 11:05:03 AM

Over decades, NASA contaminated the Santa Susana Field Lab with dioxins, PCBs, perchlorate, heavy metals, TCE and other volatile organic compounds. Hundreds of thousands of people live nearby. A federal study showed that people living closer to the site have higher rates of thyroid, bladder, blood and lymph cancers than people living further away.

In 2010, NASA signed a legally binding agreement with California to clean up all contamination that could be detected. But Boeing, NASA's contractor at the site and owner of most of the site, has been pushing to block full cleanup of most of the site. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement recently released by NASA that barely mentions the contamination raises questions about whether NASA is trying to break out of the cleanup agreement it signed.

It is critical that NASA live up to the commitments it made in the 2010 cleanup agreement. I strongly urge NASA to meet its responsibilities regarding the toxic contamination it created. Do what you promised to do--fully clean up the site.

HELY MEZA

From: [Andrea Bloom](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Re: Comment on SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Friday, September 27, 2013 11:41:02 AM

Over decades, NASA contaminated the Santa Susana Field Lab with dioxins, PCBs, perchlorate, heavy metals, TCE and other volatile organic compounds. Hundreds of thousands of people live nearby. A federal study showed that people living closer to the site have higher rates of thyroid, bladder, blood and lymph cancers than people living further away.

In 2010, NASA signed a legally binding agreement with California to clean up all contamination that could be detected. But Boeing, NASA's contractor at the site and owner of most of the site, has been pushing to block full cleanup of most of the site. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement recently released by NASA that barely mentions the contamination raises questions about whether NASA is trying to break out of the cleanup agreement it signed.

It is critical that NASA live up to the commitments it made in the 2010 cleanup agreement. I strongly urge NASA to meet its responsibilities regarding the toxic contamination it created. Do what you promised to do--fully clean up the site.

Andrea Bloom

From: [Raul Anorve](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Re: Comment on SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Friday, September 27, 2013 1:11:32 PM

Over decades, NASA contaminated the Santa Susana Field Lab with dioxins, PCBs, perchlorate, heavy metals, TCE and other volatile organic compounds. Hundreds of thousands of people live nearby. A federal study showed that people living closer to the site have higher rates of thyroid, bladder, blood and lymph cancers than people living further away.

In 2010, NASA signed a legally binding agreement with California to clean up all contamination that could be detected. But Boeing, NASA's contractor at the site and owner of most of the site, has been pushing to block full cleanup of most of the site. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement recently released by NASA that barely mentions the contamination raises questions about whether NASA is trying to break out of the cleanup agreement it signed.

It is critical that NASA live up to the commitments it made in the 2010 cleanup agreement. I strongly urge NASA to meet its responsibilities regarding the toxic contamination it created. Do what you promised to do--fully clean up the site.

Raul Anorve

From: [Joanna Stiehl](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Re: Comment on SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Friday, September 27, 2013 1:19:15 PM

Over decades, NASA contaminated the Santa Susana Field Lab with dioxins, PCBs, perchlorate, heavy metals, TCE and other volatile organic compounds. Hundreds of thousands of people live nearby. A federal study showed that people living closer to the site have higher rates of thyroid, bladder, blood and lymph cancers than people living further away.

In 2010, NASA signed a legally binding agreement with California to clean up all contamination that could be detected. But Boeing, NASA's contractor at the site and owner of most of the site, has been pushing to block full cleanup of most of the site. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement recently released by NASA that barely mentions the contamination raises questions about whether NASA is trying to break out of the cleanup agreement it signed.

It is critical that NASA live up to the commitments it made in the 2010 cleanup agreement. I strongly urge NASA to meet its responsibilities regarding the toxic contamination it created. Do what you promised to do--fully clean up the site.

Joanna Stiehl

From: [CESAR BENITEZ](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Re: Comment on SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Friday, September 27, 2013 1:45:43 PM

Over decades, NASA contaminated the Santa Susana Field Lab with dioxins, PCBs, perchlorate, heavy metals, TCE and other volatile organic compounds. Hundreds of thousands of people live nearby. A federal study showed that people living closer to the site have higher rates of thyroid, bladder, blood and lymph cancers than people living further away.

In 2010, NASA signed a legally binding agreement with California to clean up all contamination that could be detected. But Boeing, NASA's contractor at the site and owner of most of the site, has been pushing to block full cleanup of most of the site. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement recently released by NASA that barely mentions the contamination raises questions about whether NASA is trying to break out of the cleanup agreement it signed.

It is critical that NASA live up to the commitments it made in the 2010 cleanup agreement. I strongly urge NASA to meet its responsibilities regarding the toxic contamination it created. Do what you promised to do--fully clean up the site.

CESAR BENITEZ

From: [Loba Moon](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Re: Comment on SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Friday, September 27, 2013 2:15:30 PM

Over decades, NASA contaminated the Santa Susana Field Lab with dioxins, PCBs, perchlorate, heavy metals, TCE and other volatile organic compounds. Hundreds of thousands of people live nearby. A federal study showed that people living closer to the site have higher rates of thyroid, bladder, blood and lymph cancers than people living further away.

In 2010, NASA signed a legally binding agreement with California to clean up all contamination that could be detected. But Boeing, NASA's contractor at the site and owner of most of the site, has been pushing to block full cleanup of most of the site. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement recently released by NASA that barely mentions the contamination raises questions about whether NASA is trying to break out of the cleanup agreement it signed.

It is critical that NASA live up to the commitments it made in the 2010 cleanup agreement. I strongly urge NASA to meet its responsibilities regarding the toxic contamination it created. Do what you promised to do--fully clean up the site.

Loba Moon

From: [Geraldine West](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Re: Comment on SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Friday, September 27, 2013 2:25:44 PM

Over decades, NASA contaminated the Santa Susana Field Lab with dioxins, PCBs, perchlorate, heavy metals, TCE and other volatile organic compounds. Hundreds of thousands of people live nearby. A federal study showed that people living closer to the site have higher rates of thyroid, bladder, blood and lymph cancers than people living further away.

In 2010, NASA signed a legally binding agreement with California to clean up all contamination that could be detected. But Boeing, NASA's contractor at the site and owner of most of the site, has been pushing to block full cleanup of most of the site. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement recently released by NASA that barely mentions the contamination raises questions about whether NASA is trying to break out of the cleanup agreement it signed.

It is critical that NASA live up to the commitments it made in the 2010 cleanup agreement. I strongly urge NASA to meet its responsibilities regarding the toxic contamination it created. Do what you promised to do--fully clean up the site.

Geraldine West

From: [Evelyn Sheridan](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Re: Comment on SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Friday, September 27, 2013 2:30:59 PM

Over decades, NASA contaminated the Santa Susana Field Lab with dioxins, PCBs, perchlorate, heavy metals, TCE and other volatile organic compounds. Hundreds of thousands of people live nearby. A federal study showed that people living closer to the site have higher rates of thyroid, bladder, blood and lymph cancers than people living further away.

In 2010, NASA signed a legally binding agreement with California to clean up all contamination that could be detected. But Boeing, NASA's contractor at the site and owner of most of the site, has been pushing to block full cleanup of most of the site. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement recently released by NASA that barely mentions the contamination raises questions about whether NASA is trying to break out of the cleanup agreement it signed.

It is critical that NASA live up to the commitments it made in the 2010 cleanup agreement. I strongly urge NASA to meet its responsibilities regarding the toxic contamination it created. Do what you promised to do--fully clean up the site.

Evelyn Sheridan

From: [LAKE MC MANUS](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Re: Comment on SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Friday, September 27, 2013 2:35:43 PM

Over decades, NASA contaminated the Santa Susana Field Lab with dioxins, PCBs, perchlorate, heavy metals, TCE and other volatile organic compounds. Hundreds of thousands of people live nearby. A federal study showed that people living closer to the site have higher rates of thyroid, bladder, blood and lymph cancers than people living further away.

In 2010, NASA signed a legally binding agreement with California to clean up all contamination that could be detected. But Boeing, NASA's contractor at the site and owner of most of the site, has been pushing to block full cleanup of most of the site. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement recently released by NASA that barely mentions the contamination raises questions about whether NASA is trying to break out of the cleanup agreement it signed.

It is critical that NASA live up to the commitments it made in the 2010 cleanup agreement. I strongly urge NASA to meet its responsibilities regarding the toxic contamination it created. Do what you promised to do--fully clean up the site.

LAKE MC MANUS

From: [susan cline-risk](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Re: Comment on SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Friday, September 27, 2013 2:48:36 PM

Over decades, NASA contaminated the Santa Susana Field Lab with dioxins, PCBs, perchlorate, heavy metals, TCE and other volatile organic compounds. Hundreds of thousands of people live nearby. A federal study showed that people living closer to the site have higher rates of thyroid, bladder, blood and lymph cancers than people living further away.

In 2010, NASA signed a legally binding agreement with California to clean up all contamination that could be detected. But Boeing, NASA's contractor at the site and owner of most of the site, has been pushing to block full cleanup of most of the site. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement recently released by NASA that barely mentions the contamination raises questions about whether NASA is trying to break out of the cleanup agreement it signed.

It is critical that NASA live up to the commitments it made in the 2010 cleanup agreement. I strongly urge NASA to meet its responsibilities regarding the toxic contamination it created. Do what you promised to do--fully clean up the site.

susan cline-risk

From: [David Comfort](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Re: Comment on SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Friday, September 27, 2013 2:58:27 PM

Over decades, NASA contaminated the Santa Susana Field Lab with dioxins, PCBs, perchlorate, heavy metals, TCE and other volatile organic compounds. Hundreds of thousands of people live nearby. A federal study showed that people living closer to the site have higher rates of thyroid, bladder, blood and lymph cancers than people living further away.

In 2010, NASA signed a legally binding agreement with California to clean up all contamination that could be detected. But Boeing, NASA's contractor at the site and owner of most of the site, has been pushing to block full cleanup of most of the site. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement recently released by NASA that barely mentions the contamination raises questions about whether NASA is trying to break out of the cleanup agreement it signed.

It is critical that NASA live up to the commitments it made in the 2010 cleanup agreement. I strongly urge NASA to meet its responsibilities regarding the toxic contamination it created. Do what you promised to do--fully clean up the site.

David Comfort

From: [Sandra Hookano](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Re: Comment on SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Friday, September 27, 2013 2:58:28 PM

Over decades, NASA contaminated the Santa Susana Field Lab with dioxins, PCBs, perchlorate, heavy metals, TCE and other volatile organic compounds. Hundreds of thousands of people live nearby. A federal study showed that people living closer to the site have higher rates of thyroid, bladder, blood and lymph cancers than people living further away.

In 2010, NASA signed a legally binding agreement with California to clean up all contamination that could be detected. But Boeing, NASA's contractor at the site and owner of most of the site, has been pushing to block full cleanup of most of the site. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement recently released by NASA that barely mentions the contamination raises questions about whether NASA is trying to break out of the cleanup agreement it signed.

It is critical that NASA live up to the commitments it made in the 2010 cleanup agreement. I strongly urge NASA to meet its responsibilities regarding the toxic contamination it created. Do what you promised to do--fully clean up the site.

Sandra Hookano

From: [Courtney Baltazar](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Re: Comment on SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Friday, September 27, 2013 3:51:59 PM

Over decades, NASA contaminated the Santa Susana Field Lab with dioxins, PCBs, perchlorate, heavy metals, TCE and other volatile organic compounds. Hundreds of thousands of people live nearby. A federal study showed that people living closer to the site have higher rates of thyroid, bladder, blood and lymph cancers than people living further away.

In 2010, NASA signed a legally binding agreement with California to clean up all contamination that could be detected. But Boeing, NASA's contractor at the site and owner of most of the site, has been pushing to block full cleanup of most of the site. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement recently released by NASA that barely mentions the contamination raises questions about whether NASA is trying to break out of the cleanup agreement it signed.

It is critical that NASA live up to the commitments it made in the 2010 cleanup agreement. I strongly urge NASA to meet its responsibilities regarding the toxic contamination it created. Do what you promised to do--fully clean up the site.

Courtney Baltazar

From: [Julio Lara](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Re: Comment on SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Friday, September 27, 2013 4:32:47 PM

Over decades, NASA contaminated the Santa Susana Field Lab with dioxins, PCBs, perchlorate, heavy metals, TCE and other volatile organic compounds. Hundreds of thousands of people live nearby. A federal study showed that people living closer to the site have higher rates of thyroid, bladder, blood and lymph cancers than people living further away.

In 2010, NASA signed a legally binding agreement with California to clean up all contamination that could be detected. But Boeing, NASA's contractor at the site and owner of most of the site, has been pushing to block full cleanup of most of the site. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement recently released by NASA that barely mentions the contamination raises questions about whether NASA is trying to break out of the cleanup agreement it signed.

It is critical that NASA live up to the commitments it made in the 2010 cleanup agreement. I strongly urge NASA to meet its responsibilities regarding the toxic contamination it created. Do what you promised to do--fully clean up the site.

Julio Lara

From: [J McGraw](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Re: Comment on SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Friday, September 27, 2013 5:09:28 PM

Over decades, NASA contaminated the Santa Susana Field Lab with dioxins, PCBs, perchlorate, heavy metals, TCE and other volatile organic compounds. Hundreds of thousands of people live nearby. A federal study showed that people living closer to the site have higher rates of thyroid, bladder, blood and lymph cancers than people living further away.

In 2010, NASA signed a legally binding agreement with California to clean up all contamination that could be detected. But Boeing, NASA's contractor at the site and owner of most of the site, has been pushing to block full cleanup of most of the site. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement recently released by NASA that barely mentions the contamination raises questions about whether NASA is trying to break out of the cleanup agreement it signed.

It is critical that NASA live up to the commitments it made in the 2010 cleanup agreement. I strongly urge NASA to meet its responsibilities regarding the toxic contamination it created. Do what you promised to do--fully clean up the site.

J McGraw

From: [NELLY PRECA](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Re: Comment on SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Friday, September 27, 2013 5:41:11 PM

Over decades, NASA contaminated the Santa Susana Field Lab with dioxins, PCBs, perchlorate, heavy metals, TCE and other volatile organic compounds. Hundreds of thousands of people live nearby. A federal study showed that people living closer to the site have higher rates of thyroid, bladder, blood and lymph cancers than people living further away.

In 2010, NASA signed a legally binding agreement with California to clean up all contamination that could be detected. But Boeing, NASA's contractor at the site and owner of most of the site, has been pushing to block full cleanup of most of the site. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement recently released by NASA that barely mentions the contamination raises questions about whether NASA is trying to break out of the cleanup agreement it signed.

It is critical that NASA live up to the commitments it made in the 2010 cleanup agreement. I strongly urge NASA to meet its responsibilities regarding the toxic contamination it created. Do what you promised to do--fully clean up the site.

NELLY PRECA

From: [Suzanne Rogalin](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Re: Comment on SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Friday, September 27, 2013 6:04:23 PM

Over decades, NASA contaminated the Santa Susana Field Lab with dioxins, PCBs, perchlorate, heavy metals, TCE and other volatile organic compounds. Hundreds of thousands of people live nearby. A federal study showed that people living closer to the site have higher rates of thyroid, bladder, blood and lymph cancers than people living further away.

In 2010, NASA signed a legally binding agreement with California to clean up all contamination that could be detected. But Boeing, NASA's contractor at the site and owner of most of the site, has been pushing to block full cleanup of most of the site. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement recently released by NASA that barely mentions the contamination raises questions about whether NASA is trying to break out of the cleanup agreement it signed.

It is critical that NASA live up to the commitments it made in the 2010 cleanup agreement. I strongly urge NASA to meet its responsibilities regarding the toxic contamination it created. Do what you promised to do--fully clean up the site.

Suzanne Rogalin

From: [Gregory Shiffer](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Re: Comment on SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Friday, September 27, 2013 6:23:32 PM

Over decades, NASA contaminated the Santa Susana Field Lab with dioxins, PCBs, perchlorate, heavy metals, TCE and other volatile organic compounds. Hundreds of thousands of people live nearby. A federal study showed that people living closer to the site have higher rates of thyroid, bladder, blood and lymph cancers than people living further away.

In 2010, NASA signed a legally binding agreement with California to clean up all contamination that could be detected. But Boeing, NASA's contractor at the site and owner of most of the site, has been pushing to block full cleanup of most of the site. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement recently released by NASA that barely mentions the contamination raises questions about whether NASA is trying to break out of the cleanup agreement it signed.

It is critical that NASA live up to the commitments it made in the 2010 cleanup agreement. I strongly urge NASA to meet its responsibilities regarding the toxic contamination it created. Do what you promised to do--fully clean up the site.

Gregory Shiffer

From: [Emil A. Lawton](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Re: Comment on SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Friday, September 27, 2013 6:41:04 PM

Over decades, NASA contaminated the Santa Susana Field Lab with dioxins, PCBs, perchlorate, heavy metals, TCE and other volatile organic compounds. Hundreds of thousands of people live nearby. A federal study showed that people living closer to the site have higher rates of thyroid, bladder, blood and lymph cancers than people living further away.

In 2010, NASA signed a legally binding agreement with California to clean up all contamination that could be detected. But Boeing, NASA's contractor at the site and owner of most of the site, has been pushing to block full cleanup of most of the site. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement recently released by NASA that barely mentions the contamination raises questions about whether NASA is trying to break out of the cleanup agreement it signed.

It is critical that NASA live up to the commitments it made in the 2010 cleanup agreement. I strongly urge NASA to meet its responsibilities regarding the toxic contamination it created. Do what you promised to do--fully clean up the site.

Emil A. Lawton

From: [ARIANA BAKEMAN](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Re: Comment on SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Friday, September 27, 2013 7:31:52 PM

Over decades, NASA contaminated the Santa Susana Field Lab with dioxins, PCBs, perchlorate, heavy metals, TCE and other volatile organic compounds. Hundreds of thousands of people live nearby. A federal study showed that people living closer to the site have higher rates of thyroid, bladder, blood and lymph cancers than people living further away.

In 2010, NASA signed a legally binding agreement with California to clean up all contamination that could be detected. But Boeing, NASA's contractor at the site and owner of most of the site, has been pushing to block full cleanup of most of the site. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement recently released by NASA that barely mentions the contamination raises questions about whether NASA is trying to break out of the cleanup agreement it signed.

It is critical that NASA live up to the commitments it made in the 2010 cleanup agreement. I strongly urge NASA to meet its responsibilities regarding the toxic contamination it created. Do what you promised to do--fully clean up the site.

ARIANA BAKEMAN

From: [Charles Binckley](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Re: Comment on SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Friday, September 27, 2013 7:52:16 PM

Over decades, NASA contaminated the Santa Susana Field Lab with dioxins, PCBs, perchlorate, heavy metals, TCE and other volatile organic compounds. Hundreds of thousands of people live nearby. A federal study showed that people living closer to the site have higher rates of thyroid, bladder, blood and lymph cancers than people living further away.

In 2010, NASA signed a legally binding agreement with California to clean up all contamination that could be detected. But Boeing, NASA's contractor at the site and owner of most of the site, has been pushing to block full cleanup of most of the site. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement recently released by NASA that barely mentions the contamination raises questions about whether NASA is trying to break out of the cleanup agreement it signed.

It is critical that NASA live up to the commitments it made in the 2010 cleanup agreement. I strongly urge NASA to meet its responsibilities regarding the toxic contamination it created. Do what you promised to do--fully clean up the site.

Charles Binckley

From: [cheryl ivey](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Re: Comment on SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Friday, September 27, 2013 9:02:02 PM

Over decades, NASA contaminated the Santa Susana Field Lab with dioxins, PCBs, perchlorate, heavy metals, TCE and other volatile organic compounds. Hundreds of thousands of people live nearby. A federal study showed that people living closer to the site have higher rates of thyroid, bladder, blood and lymph cancers than people living further away.

In 2010, NASA signed a legally binding agreement with California to clean up all contamination that could be detected. But Boeing, NASA's contractor at the site and owner of most of the site, has been pushing to block full cleanup of most of the site. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement recently released by NASA that barely mentions the contamination raises questions about whether NASA is trying to break out of the cleanup agreement it signed.

It is critical that NASA live up to the commitments it made in the 2010 cleanup agreement. I strongly urge NASA to meet its responsibilities regarding the toxic contamination it created. Do what you promised to do--fully clean up the site.

cheryl ivey

From: [Eddie Bruce](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Re: Comment on SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Friday, September 27, 2013 10:52:54 PM

Over decades, NASA contaminated the Santa Susana Field Lab with dioxins, PCBs, perchlorate, heavy metals, TCE and other volatile organic compounds. Hundreds of thousands of people live nearby. A federal study showed that people living closer to the site have higher rates of thyroid, bladder, blood and lymph cancers than people living further away.

In 2010, NASA signed a legally binding agreement with California to clean up all contamination that could be detected. But Boeing, NASA's contractor at the site and owner of most of the site, has been pushing to block full cleanup of most of the site. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement recently released by NASA that barely mentions the contamination raises questions about whether NASA is trying to break out of the cleanup agreement it signed.

It is critical that NASA live up to the commitments it made in the 2010 cleanup agreement. I strongly urge NASA to meet its responsibilities regarding the toxic contamination it created. Do what you promised to do--fully clean up the site.

Eddie Bruce

From: [Joan Kelly](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Re: Comment on SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Friday, September 27, 2013 11:02:30 PM

Over decades, NASA contaminated the Santa Susana Field Lab with dioxins, PCBs, perchlorate, heavy metals, TCE and other volatile organic compounds. Hundreds of thousands of people live nearby. A federal study showed that people living closer to the site have higher rates of thyroid, bladder, blood and lymph cancers than people living further away.

In 2010, NASA signed a legally binding agreement with California to clean up all contamination that could be detected. But Boeing, NASA's contractor at the site and owner of most of the site, has been pushing to block full cleanup of most of the site. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement recently released by NASA that barely mentions the contamination raises questions about whether NASA is trying to break out of the cleanup agreement it signed.

It is critical that NASA live up to the commitments it made in the 2010 cleanup agreement. I strongly urge NASA to meet its responsibilities regarding the toxic contamination it created. Do what you promised to do--fully clean up the site.

Joan Kelly

From: [Ronald C. Faas](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Re: Comment on SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Friday, September 27, 2013 11:14:20 PM

Over decades, NASA contaminated the Santa Susana Field Lab with dioxins, PCBs, perchlorate, heavy metals, TCE and other volatile organic compounds. Hundreds of thousands of people live nearby. A federal study showed that people living closer to the site have higher rates of thyroid, bladder, blood and lymph cancers than people living further away.

In 2010, NASA signed a legally binding agreement with California to clean up all contamination that could be detected. But Boeing, NASA's contractor at the site and owner of most of the site, has been pushing to block full cleanup of most of the site. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement recently released by NASA that barely mentions the contamination raises questions about whether NASA is trying to break out of the cleanup agreement it signed.

It is critical that NASA live up to the commitments it made in the 2010 cleanup agreement. I strongly urge NASA to meet its responsibilities regarding the toxic contamination it created. Do what you promised to do--fully clean up the site.

Ronald C Faas

From: [Ken Schmidt](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Re: Comment on SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Thursday, September 26, 2013 8:21:19 PM

Over decades, NASA contaminated the Santa Susana Field Lab with dioxins, PCBs, perchlorate, heavy metals, TCE and other volatile organic compounds. Hundreds of thousands of people live nearby. A federal study showed that people living closer to the site have higher rates of thyroid, bladder, blood and lymph cancers than people living further away.

In 2010, NASA signed a legally binding agreement with California to clean up all contamination that could be detected. But Boeing, NASA's contractor at the site and owner of most of the site, has been pushing to block full cleanup of most of the site. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement recently released by NASA that barely mentions the contamination raises questions about whether NASA is trying to break out of the cleanup agreement it signed.

It is critical that NASA live up to the commitments it made in the 2010 cleanup agreement. I strongly urge NASA to meet its responsibilities regarding the toxic contamination it created. Do what you promised to do--fully clean up the site.

Ken Schmidt

From: [Cynthia Hobgood Strauss](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Re: Comment on SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Thursday, September 26, 2013 8:21:35 PM

Over decades, NASA contaminated the Santa Susana Field Lab with dioxins, PCBs, perchlorate, heavy metals, TCE and other volatile organic compounds. Hundreds of thousands of people live nearby. A federal study showed that people living closer to the site have higher rates of thyroid, bladder, blood and lymph cancers than people living further away.

In 2010, NASA signed a legally binding agreement with California to clean up all contamination that could be detected. But Boeing, NASA's contractor at the site and owner of most of the site, has been pushing to block full cleanup of most of the site. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement recently released by NASA that barely mentions the contamination raises questions about whether NASA is trying to break out of the cleanup agreement it signed.

It is critical that NASA live up to the commitments it made in the 2010 cleanup agreement. I strongly urge NASA to meet its responsibilities regarding the toxic contamination it created. Do what you promised to do--fully clean up the site.

Cynthia Hobgood Strauss

From: [Scott Greene](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Re: Comment on SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Thursday, September 26, 2013 8:28:55 PM

Over decades, NASA contaminated the Santa Susana Field Lab with dioxins, PCBs, perchlorate, heavy metals, TCE and other volatile organic compounds. Hundreds of thousands of people live nearby. A federal study showed that people living closer to the site have higher rates of thyroid, bladder, blood and lymph cancers than people living further away.

In 2010, NASA signed a legally binding agreement with California to clean up all contamination that could be detected. But Boeing, NASA's contractor at the site and owner of most of the site, has been pushing to block full cleanup of most of the site. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement recently released by NASA that barely mentions the contamination raises questions about whether NASA is trying to break out of the cleanup agreement it signed.

It is critical that NASA live up to the commitments it made in the 2010 cleanup agreement. I strongly urge NASA to meet its responsibilities regarding the toxic contamination it created. Do what you promised to do--fully clean up the site.

Scott Greene

From: [john armstrong](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Re: Comment on SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Thursday, September 26, 2013 8:36:48 PM

Over decades, NASA contaminated the Santa Susana Field Lab with dioxins, PCBs, perchlorate, heavy metals, TCE and other volatile organic compounds. Hundreds of thousands of people live nearby. A federal study showed that people living closer to the site have higher rates of thyroid, bladder, blood and lymph cancers than people living further away.

In 2010, NASA signed a legally binding agreement with California to clean up all contamination that could be detected. But Boeing, NASA's contractor at the site and owner of most of the site, has been pushing to block full cleanup of most of the site. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement recently released by NASA that barely mentions the contamination raises questions about whether NASA is trying to break out of the cleanup agreement it signed.

It is critical that NASA live up to the commitments it made in the 2010 cleanup agreement. I strongly urge NASA to meet its responsibilities regarding the toxic contamination it created. Do what you promised to do--fully clean up the site.

I used to work at DTSC, I know what a pile of purchasable bureaucrats they are. Stop bribing DTSC managers. That's Dept of Toxic Substances Control, a division of CalEPA, Sacramento 95814, screwing CA taxpayers full force, as usual.

john armstrong

From: [John R. Donaldson](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Re: Comment on SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Thursday, September 26, 2013 8:38:52 PM

Over decades, NASA contaminated the Santa Susana Field Lab with dioxins, PCBs, perchlorate, heavy metals, TCE and other volatile organic compounds. Hundreds of thousands of people live nearby. A federal study showed that people living closer to the site have higher rates of thyroid, bladder, blood and lymph cancers than people living further away.

In 2010, NASA signed a legally binding agreement with California to clean up all contamination that could be detected. But Boeing, NASA's contractor at the site and owner of most of the site, has been pushing to block full cleanup of most of the site. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement recently released by NASA that barely mentions the contamination raises questions about whether NASA is trying to break out of the cleanup agreement it signed.

It is critical that NASA live up to the commitments it made in the 2010 cleanup agreement. I strongly urge NASA to meet its responsibilities regarding the toxic contamination it created. Do what you promised to do--fully clean up the site.

John R Donaldson

From: [Shellee Davis](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Re: Comment on SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Thursday, September 26, 2013 8:45:13 PM

Over decades, NASA contaminated the Santa Susana Field Lab with dioxins, PCBs, perchlorate, heavy metals, TCE and other volatile organic compounds. Hundreds of thousands of people live nearby. A federal study showed that people living closer to the site have higher rates of thyroid, bladder, blood and lymph cancers than people living further away.

In 2010, NASA signed a legally binding agreement with California to clean up all contamination that could be detected. But Boeing, NASA's contractor at the site and owner of most of the site, has been pushing to block full cleanup of most of the site. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement recently released by NASA that barely mentions the contamination raises questions about whether NASA is trying to break out of the cleanup agreement it signed.

It is critical that NASA live up to the commitments it made in the 2010 cleanup agreement. I strongly urge NASA to meet its responsibilities regarding the toxic contamination it created. Do what you promised to do--fully clean up the site.

Shellee Davis

From: [Brian Gray](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Re: Comment on SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Thursday, September 26, 2013 8:47:55 PM

Over decades, NASA contaminated the Santa Susana Field Lab with dioxins, PCBs, perchlorate, heavy metals, TCE and other volatile organic compounds. Hundreds of thousands of people live nearby. A federal study showed that people living closer to the site have higher rates of thyroid, bladder, blood and lymph cancers than people living further away.

In 2010, NASA signed a legally binding agreement with California to clean up all contamination that could be detected. But Boeing, NASA's contractor at the site and owner of most of the site, has been pushing to block full cleanup of most of the site. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement recently released by NASA that barely mentions the contamination raises questions about whether NASA is trying to break out of the cleanup agreement it signed.

It is critical that NASA live up to the commitments it made in the 2010 cleanup agreement. I strongly urge NASA to meet its responsibilities regarding the toxic contamination it created. Do what you promised to do--fully clean up the site.

Brian Gray

From: [Rebecca McDonough](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Re: Comment on SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Thursday, September 26, 2013 8:57:54 PM

Over decades, NASA contaminated the Santa Susana Field Lab with dioxins, PCBs, perchlorate, heavy metals, TCE and other volatile organic compounds. Hundreds of thousands of people live nearby. A federal study showed that people living closer to the site have higher rates of thyroid, bladder, blood and lymph cancers than people living further away.

In 2010, NASA signed a legally binding agreement with California to clean up all contamination that could be detected. But Boeing, NASA's contractor at the site and owner of most of the site, has been pushing to block full cleanup of most of the site. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement recently released by NASA that barely mentions the contamination raises questions about whether NASA is trying to break out of the cleanup agreement it signed.

It is critical that NASA live up to the commitments it made in the 2010 cleanup agreement. I strongly urge NASA to meet its responsibilities regarding the toxic contamination it created. Do what you promised to do--fully clean up the site.

Rebecca McDonough

From: [soraya.rodriguez](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Re: Comment on SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Thursday, September 26, 2013 9:08:30 PM

Over decades, NASA contaminated the Santa Susana Field Lab with dioxins, PCBs, perchlorate, heavy metals, TCE and other volatile organic compounds. Hundreds of thousands of people live nearby. A federal study showed that people living closer to the site have higher rates of thyroid, bladder, blood and lymph cancers than people living further away.

In 2010, NASA signed a legally binding agreement with California to clean up all contamination that could be detected. But Boeing, NASA's contractor at the site and owner of most of the site, has been pushing to block full cleanup of most of the site. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement recently released by NASA that barely mentions the contamination raises questions about whether NASA is trying to break out of the cleanup agreement it signed.

It is critical that NASA live up to the commitments it made in the 2010 cleanup agreement. I strongly urge NASA to meet its responsibilities regarding the toxic contamination it created. Do what you promised to do--fully clean up the site.

soraya rodriguez

From: [JOHN TOTINO](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Re: Comment on SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Thursday, September 26, 2013 9:13:17 PM

Over decades, NASA contaminated the Santa Susana Field Lab with dioxins, PCBs, perchlorate, heavy metals, TCE and other volatile organic compounds. Hundreds of thousands of people live nearby. A federal study showed that people living closer to the site have higher rates of thyroid, bladder, blood and lymph cancers than people living further away.

In 2010, NASA signed a legally binding agreement with California to clean up all contamination that could be detected. But Boeing, NASA's contractor at the site and owner of most of the site, has been pushing to block full cleanup of most of the site. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement recently released by NASA that barely mentions the contamination raises questions about whether NASA is trying to break out of the cleanup agreement it signed.

It is critical that NASA live up to the commitments it made in the 2010 cleanup agreement. I strongly urge NASA to meet its responsibilities regarding the toxic contamination it created. Do what you promised to do--fully clean up the site.

JOHN TOTINO

From: [Sherrill Futrell](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Re: Comment on SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Thursday, September 26, 2013 9:17:22 PM

Why did NASA contaminate the Santa Susana Field Lab with dioxins, PCBs, perchlorate, heavy metals, TCE and other volatile organic compounds, then sign a legally binding agreement with California to clean up all contamination that could be detected, and then violate its contract?

Do what you promised to do - clean up your mess. It's bad PR, boys.

Sherrill Futrell

From: [Mary Trujillo](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Re: Comment on SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Thursday, September 26, 2013 9:31:02 PM

Over decades, NASA contaminated the Santa Susana Field Lab with dioxins, PCBs, perchlorate, heavy metals, TCE and other volatile organic compounds. Hundreds of thousands of people live nearby. A federal study showed that people living closer to the site have higher rates of thyroid, bladder, blood and lymph cancers than people living further away.

In 2010, NASA signed a legally binding agreement with California to clean up all contamination that could be detected. But Boeing, NASA's contractor at the site and owner of most of the site, has been pushing to block full cleanup of most of the site. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement recently released by NASA that barely mentions the contamination raises questions about whether NASA is trying to break out of the cleanup agreement it signed.

It is critical that NASA live up to the commitments it made in the 2010 cleanup agreement. I strongly urge NASA to meet its responsibilities regarding the toxic contamination it created. Do what you promised to do--fully clean up the site.

Mary Trujillo

From: [Dr. Aarons](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Re: Comment on SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Thursday, September 26, 2013 9:41:48 PM

Over decades, NASA contaminated the Santa Susana Field Lab with dioxins, PCBs, perchlorate, heavy metals, TCE and other volatile organic compounds. Hundreds of thousands of people live nearby. A federal study showed that people living closer to the site have higher rates of thyroid, bladder, blood and lymph cancers than people living further away.

In 2010, NASA signed a legally binding agreement with California to clean up all contamination that could be detected. But Boeing, NASA's contractor at the site and owner of most of the site, has been pushing to block full cleanup of most of the site. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement recently released by NASA that barely mentions the contamination raises questions about whether NASA is trying to break out of the cleanup agreement it signed.

It is critical that NASA live up to the commitments it made in the 2010 cleanup agreement. I strongly urge NASA to meet its responsibilities regarding the toxic contamination it created. Do what you promised to do--fully clean up the site.

Dr. Aarons

From: [Stuart Douglas Demmy](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Re: Comment on SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Thursday, September 26, 2013 10:05:55 PM

Over decades, NASA contaminated the Santa Susana Field Lab with dioxins, PCBs, perchlorate, heavy metals, TCE and other volatile organic compounds. Hundreds of thousands of people live nearby. A federal study showed that people living closer to the site have higher rates of thyroid, bladder, blood and lymph cancers than people living further away.

In 2010, NASA signed a legally binding agreement with California to clean up all contamination that could be detected. But Boeing, NASA's contractor at the site and owner of most of the site, has been pushing to block full cleanup of most of the site. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement recently released by NASA that barely mentions the contamination raises questions about whether NASA is trying to break out of the cleanup agreement it signed.

It is critical that NASA live up to the commitments it made in the 2010 cleanup agreement. I strongly urge NASA to meet its responsibilities regarding the toxic contamination it created. Do what you promised to do--fully clean up the site.

Stuart Douglas Demmy

From: [David RICE](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Re: Comment on SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Thursday, September 26, 2013 10:05:58 PM

Over decades, NASA contaminated the Santa Susana Field Lab with dioxins, PCBs, perchlorate, heavy metals, TCE and other volatile organic compounds. Hundreds of thousands of people live nearby. A federal study showed that people living closer to the site have higher rates of thyroid, bladder, blood and lymph cancers than people living further away.

In 2010, NASA signed a legally binding agreement with California to clean up all contamination that could be detected. But Boeing, NASA's contractor at the site and owner of most of the site, has been pushing to block full cleanup of most of the site. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement recently released by NASA that barely mentions the contamination raises questions about whether NASA is trying to break out of the cleanup agreement it signed.

It is critical that NASA live up to the commitments it made in the 2010 cleanup agreement. I strongly urge NASA to meet its responsibilities regarding the toxic contamination it created. Do what you promised to do--fully clean up the site.

David RICE

From: [JENNIFER GRIFFIN](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Re: Comment on SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Thursday, September 26, 2013 10:14:23 PM

Over decades, NASA contaminated the Santa Susana Field Lab with dioxins, PCBs, perchlorate, heavy metals, TCE and other volatile organic compounds. Hundreds of thousands of people live nearby. A federal study showed that people living closer to the site have higher rates of thyroid, bladder, blood and lymph cancers than people living further away.

In 2010, NASA signed a legally binding agreement with California to clean up all contamination that could be detected. But Boeing, NASA's contractor at the site and owner of most of the site, has been pushing to block full cleanup of most of the site. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement recently released by NASA that barely mentions the contamination raises questions about whether NASA is trying to break out of the cleanup agreement it signed.

It is critical that NASA live up to the commitments it made in the 2010 cleanup agreement. I strongly urge NASA to meet its responsibilities regarding the toxic contamination it created. Do what you promised to do--fully clean up the site.

JENNIFER GRIFFIN

From: [CHRISTOPHER ROWLEY](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Re: Comment on SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Thursday, September 26, 2013 10:22:35 PM

Over decades, NASA contaminated the Santa Susana Field Lab with dioxins, PCBs, perchlorate, heavy metals, TCE and other volatile organic compounds. Hundreds of thousands of people live nearby. A federal study showed that people living closer to the site have higher rates of thyroid, bladder, blood and lymph cancers than people living further away.

In 2010, NASA signed a legally binding agreement with California to clean up all contamination that could be detected. But Boeing, NASA's contractor at the site and owner of most of the site, has been pushing to block full cleanup of most of the site. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement recently released by NASA that barely mentions the contamination raises questions about whether NASA is trying to break out of the cleanup agreement it signed.

It is critical that NASA live up to the commitments it made in the 2010 cleanup agreement. I strongly urge NASA to meet its responsibilities regarding the toxic contamination it created. Do what you promised to do--fully clean up the site.

CHRISTOPHER ROWLEY

From: [GREGORY MULL](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Re: Comment on SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Thursday, September 26, 2013 10:34:05 PM

Over decades, NASA contaminated the Santa Susana Field Lab with dioxins, PCBs, perchlorate, heavy metals, TCE and other volatile organic compounds. Hundreds of thousands of people live nearby. A federal study showed that people living closer to the site have higher rates of thyroid, bladder, blood and lymph cancers than people living further away.

In 2010, NASA signed a legally binding agreement with California to clean up all contamination that could be detected. But Boeing, NASA's contractor at the site and owner of most of the site, has been pushing to block full cleanup of most of the site. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement recently released by NASA that barely mentions the contamination raises questions about whether NASA is trying to break out of the cleanup agreement it signed.

It is critical that NASA live up to the commitments it made in the 2010 cleanup agreement. I strongly urge NASA to meet its responsibilities regarding the toxic contamination it created. Do what you promised to do--fully clean up the site.

GREGORY MULL

From: [SUVEDI GOTTIPATI](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Re: Comment on SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Thursday, September 26, 2013 10:40:13 PM

Over decades, NASA contaminated the Santa Susana Field Lab with dioxins, PCBs, perchlorate, heavy metals, TCE and other volatile organic compounds. Hundreds of thousands of people live nearby. A federal study showed that people living closer to the site have higher rates of thyroid, bladder, blood and lymph cancers than people living further away.

In 2010, NASA signed a legally binding agreement with California to clean up all contamination that could be detected. But Boeing, NASA's contractor at the site and owner of most of the site, has been pushing to block full cleanup of most of the site. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement recently released by NASA that barely mentions the contamination raises questions about whether NASA is trying to break out of the cleanup agreement it signed.

It is critical that NASA live up to the commitments it made in the 2010 cleanup agreement. I strongly urge NASA to meet its responsibilities regarding the toxic contamination it created. Do what you promised to do--fully clean up the site.

SUVEDI GOTTIPATI

From: [Keth Luke](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Re: Comment on SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Thursday, September 26, 2013 10:40:16 PM

Over decades, NASA contaminated the Santa Susana Field Lab with dioxins, PCBs, perchlorate, heavy metals, TCE and other volatile organic compounds. Hundreds of thousands of people live nearby. A federal study showed that people living closer to the site have higher rates of thyroid, bladder, blood and lymph cancers than people living further away.

In 2010, NASA signed a legally binding agreement with California to clean up all contamination that could be detected. But Boeing, NASA's contractor at the site and owner of most of the site, has been pushing to block full cleanup of most of the site. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement recently released by NASA that barely mentions the contamination raises questions about whether NASA is trying to break out of the cleanup agreement it signed.

It is critical that NASA live up to the commitments it made in the 2010 cleanup agreement. I strongly urge NASA to meet its responsibilities regarding the toxic contamination it created. Do what you promised to do--fully clean up the site.

Keth Luke

From: [Oscar Echeverria](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Re: Comment on SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Thursday, September 26, 2013 10:40:57 PM

Over decades, NASA contaminated the Santa Susana Field Lab with dioxins, PCBs, perchlorate, heavy metals, TCE and other volatile organic compounds. Hundreds of thousands of people live nearby. A federal study showed that people living closer to the site have higher rates of thyroid, bladder, blood and lymph cancers than people living further away.

In 2010, NASA signed a legally binding agreement with California to clean up all contamination that could be detected. But Boeing, NASA's contractor at the site and owner of most of the site, has been pushing to block full cleanup of most of the site. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement recently released by NASA that barely mentions the contamination raises questions about whether NASA is trying to break out of the cleanup agreement it signed.

It is critical that NASA live up to the commitments it made in the 2010 cleanup agreement. I strongly urge NASA to meet its responsibilities regarding the toxic contamination it created. Do what you promised to do--fully clean up the site.

Oscar Echeverria

From: [Patricia Frederick](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Re: Comment on SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Thursday, September 26, 2013 10:41:38 PM

Over decades, NASA contaminated the Santa Susana Field Lab with dioxins, PCBs, perchlorate, heavy metals, TCE and other volatile organic compounds. Hundreds of thousands of people live nearby. A federal study showed that people living closer to the site have higher rates of thyroid, bladder, blood and lymph cancers than people living further away.

In 2010, NASA signed a legally binding agreement with California to clean up all contamination that could be detected. But Boeing, NASA's contractor at the site and owner of most of the site, has been pushing to block full cleanup of most of the site. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement recently released by NASA that barely mentions the contamination raises questions about whether NASA is trying to break out of the cleanup agreement it signed.

It is critical that NASA live up to the commitments it made in the 2010 cleanup agreement. I strongly urge NASA to meet its responsibilities regarding the toxic contamination it created. Do what you promised to do--fully clean up the site.

Patricia Frederick

From: [ELIANA URETSKY](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Re: Comment on SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Thursday, September 26, 2013 11:30:54 PM

Over decades, NASA contaminated the Santa Susana Field Lab with dioxins, PCBs, perchlorate, heavy metals, TCE and other volatile organic compounds. Hundreds of thousands of people live nearby. A federal study showed that people living closer to the site have higher rates of thyroid, bladder, blood and lymph cancers than people living further away.

In 2010, NASA signed a legally binding agreement with California to clean up all contamination that could be detected. But Boeing, NASA's contractor at the site and owner of most of the site, has been pushing to block full cleanup of most of the site. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement recently released by NASA that barely mentions the contamination raises questions about whether NASA is trying to break out of the cleanup agreement it signed.

It is critical that NASA live up to the commitments it made in the 2010 cleanup agreement. I strongly urge NASA to meet its responsibilities regarding the toxic contamination it created. Do what you promised to do--fully clean up the site.

ELIANA URETSKY

From: [Sandra Islas de Avalos](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Re: Comment on SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Thursday, September 26, 2013 11:36:06 PM

Over decades, NASA contaminated the Santa Susana Field Lab with dioxins, PCBs, perchlorate, heavy metals, TCE and other volatile organic compounds. Hundreds of thousands of people live nearby. A federal study showed that people living closer to the site have higher rates of thyroid, bladder, blood and lymph cancers than people living further away.

In 2010, NASA signed a legally binding agreement with California to clean up all contamination that could be detected. But Boeing, NASA's contractor at the site and owner of most of the site, has been pushing to block full cleanup of most of the site. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement recently released by NASA that barely mentions the contamination raises questions about whether NASA is trying to break out of the cleanup agreement it signed.

It is critical that NASA live up to the commitments it made in the 2010 cleanup agreement. I strongly urge NASA to meet its responsibilities regarding the toxic contamination it created. Do what you promised to do--fully clean up the site.

Sandra Islas de Avalos

From: [Regina Selix](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Re: Comment on SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Friday, September 27, 2013 12:00:15 AM

Over decades, NASA contaminated the Santa Susana Field Lab with dioxins, PCBs, perchlorate, heavy metals, TCE and other volatile organic compounds. Hundreds of thousands of people live nearby. A federal study showed that people living closer to the site have higher rates of thyroid, bladder, blood and lymph cancers than people living further away.

In 2010, NASA signed a legally binding agreement with California to clean up all contamination that could be detected. But Boeing, NASA's contractor at the site and owner of most of the site, has been pushing to block full cleanup of most of the site. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement recently released by NASA that barely mentions the contamination raises questions about whether NASA is trying to break out of the cleanup agreement it signed.

It is critical that NASA live up to the commitments it made in the 2010 cleanup agreement. I strongly urge NASA to meet its responsibilities regarding the toxic contamination it created. Do what you promised to do--fully clean up the site.

Regina Selix

From: [ERIKA GARVIN](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Re: Comment on SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Friday, September 27, 2013 12:19:28 AM

Over decades, NASA contaminated the Santa Susana Field Lab with dioxins, PCBs, perchlorate, heavy metals, TCE and other volatile organic compounds. Hundreds of thousands of people live nearby. A federal study showed that people living closer to the site have higher rates of thyroid, bladder, blood and lymph cancers than people living further away.

In 2010, NASA signed a legally binding agreement with California to clean up all contamination that could be detected. But Boeing, NASA's contractor at the site and owner of most of the site, has been pushing to block full cleanup of most of the site. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement recently released by NASA that barely mentions the contamination raises questions about whether NASA is trying to break out of the cleanup agreement it signed.

It is critical that NASA live up to the commitments it made in the 2010 cleanup agreement. I strongly urge NASA to meet its responsibilities regarding the toxic contamination it created. Do what you promised to do--fully clean up the site.

ERIKA GARVIN

From: [HARVEY HOFF](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Re: Comment on SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Friday, September 27, 2013 12:24:48 AM

Over decades, NASA contaminated the Santa Susana Field Lab with dioxins, PCBs, perchlorate, heavy metals, TCE and other volatile organic compounds. Hundreds of thousands of people live nearby. A federal study showed that people living closer to the site have higher rates of thyroid, bladder, blood and lymph cancers than people living further away.

In 2010, NASA signed a legally binding agreement with California to clean up all contamination that could be detected. But Boeing, NASA's contractor at the site and owner of most of the site, has been pushing to block full cleanup of most of the site. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement recently released by NASA that barely mentions the contamination raises questions about whether NASA is trying to break out of the cleanup agreement it signed.

It is critical that NASA live up to the commitments it made in the 2010 cleanup agreement. I strongly urge NASA to meet its responsibilities regarding the toxic contamination it created. Do what you promised to do--fully clean up the site.

HARVEY HOFF

From: [Christopher Cherry](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Re: Comment on SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Friday, September 27, 2013 1:14:27 AM

Over decades, NASA contaminated the Santa Susana Field Lab with dioxins, PCBs, perchlorate, heavy metals, TCE and other volatile organic compounds. Hundreds of thousands of people live nearby. A federal study showed that people living closer to the site have higher rates of thyroid, bladder, blood and lymph cancers than people living further away.

In 2010, NASA signed a legally binding agreement with California to clean up all contamination that could be detected. But Boeing, NASA's contractor at the site and owner of most of the site, has been pushing to block full cleanup of most of the site. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement recently released by NASA that barely mentions the contamination raises questions about whether NASA is trying to break out of the cleanup agreement it signed.

It is critical that NASA live up to the commitments it made in the 2010 cleanup agreement. I strongly urge NASA to meet its responsibilities regarding the toxic contamination it created. Do what you promised to do--fully clean up the site.

Christopher Cherry

From: [William Honsa](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Re: Comment on SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Friday, September 27, 2013 2:05:39 AM

Over decades, NASA contaminated the Santa Susana Field Lab with dioxins, PCBs, perchlorate, heavy metals, TCE and other volatile organic compounds. Hundreds of thousands of people live nearby. A federal study showed that people living closer to the site have higher rates of thyroid, bladder, blood and lymph cancers than people living further away.

In 2010, NASA signed a legally binding agreement with California to clean up all contamination that could be detected. But Boeing, NASA's overbearing contractor at the site and owner of most of the site, has been pushing to block full cleanup of most of the site. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement recently released by NASA that barely mentions the contamination which raises questions about whether NASA is trying to weasel out of the cleanup agreement it signed.

It is critical that NASA live up to the commitments it made in the 2010 cleanup agreement. I strongly urge NASA to meet its responsibilities regarding the toxic contamination it created. Do what you promised to do--fully clean up the site.

William Honsa

From: [Alan Podber](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Re: Comment on SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Friday, September 27, 2013 4:14:33 AM

Over decades, NASA contaminated the Santa Susana Field Lab with dioxins, PCBs, perchlorate, heavy metals, TCE and other volatile organic compounds. Hundreds of thousands of people live nearby. A federal study showed that people living closer to the site have higher rates of thyroid, bladder, blood and lymph cancers than people living further away.

In 2010, NASA signed a legally binding agreement with California to clean up all contamination that could be detected. But Boeing, NASA's contractor at the site and owner of most of the site, has been pushing to block full cleanup of most of the site. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement recently released by NASA that barely mentions the contamination raises questions about whether NASA is trying to break out of the cleanup agreement it signed.

It is critical that NASA live up to the commitments it made in the 2010 cleanup agreement. I strongly urge NASA to meet its responsibilities regarding the toxic contamination it created. Do what you promised to do--fully clean up the site.

Alan Podber

From: [MARCELLA HAMMOND](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Re: Comment on SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Thursday, September 26, 2013 3:29:48 PM

Over decades, NASA contaminated the Santa Susana Field Lab with dioxins, PCBs, perchlorate, heavy metals, TCE and other volatile organic compounds. Hundreds of thousands of people live nearby. A federal study showed that people living closer to the site have higher rates of thyroid, bladder, blood and lymph cancers than people living further away.

In 2010, NASA signed a legally binding agreement with California to clean up all contamination that could be detected. But Boeing, NASA's contractor at the site and owner of most of the site, has been pushing to block full cleanup of most of the site. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement recently released by NASA that barely mentions the contamination raises questions about whether NASA is trying to break out of the cleanup agreement it signed.

It is critical that NASA live up to the commitments it made in the 2010 cleanup agreement. I strongly urge NASA to meet its responsibilities regarding the toxic contamination it created. Do what you promised to do--fully clean up the site.

MARCELLA HAMMOND

From: [Matthew Aarsvold](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Re: Comment on SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Thursday, September 26, 2013 3:29:56 PM

Over decades, NASA contaminated the Santa Susana Field Lab with dioxins, PCBs, perchlorate, heavy metals, TCE and other volatile organic compounds. Hundreds of thousands of people live nearby. A federal study showed that people living closer to the site have higher rates of thyroid, bladder, blood and lymph cancers than people living further away.

In 2010, NASA signed a legally binding agreement with California to clean up all contamination that could be detected. But Boeing, NASA's contractor at the site and owner of most of the site, has been pushing to block full cleanup of most of the site. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement recently released by NASA that barely mentions the contamination raises questions about whether NASA is trying to break out of the cleanup agreement it signed.

It is critical that NASA live up to the commitments it made in the 2010 cleanup agreement. I strongly urge NASA to meet its responsibilities regarding the toxic contamination it created. Do what you promised to do--fully clean up the site.

Matthew Aarsvold

From: [Natalie Hodapp](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Re: Comment on SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Thursday, September 26, 2013 3:32:15 PM

Over decades, NASA contaminated the Santa Susana Field Lab with dioxins, PCBs, perchlorate, heavy metals, TCE and other volatile organic compounds. Hundreds of thousands of people live nearby. A federal study showed that people living closer to the site have higher rates of thyroid, bladder, blood and lymph cancers than people living further away.

In 2010, NASA signed a legally binding agreement with California to clean up all contamination that could be detected. But Boeing, NASA's contractor at the site and owner of most of the site, has been pushing to block full cleanup of most of the site. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement recently released by NASA that barely mentions the contamination raises questions about whether NASA is trying to break out of the cleanup agreement it signed.

It is critical that NASA live up to the commitments it made in the 2010 cleanup agreement. I strongly urge NASA to meet its responsibilities regarding the toxic contamination it created. Do what you promised to do--fully clean up the site.

Natalie Hodapp

From: [Nick Rodin](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Re: Comment on SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Thursday, September 26, 2013 3:34:44 PM

Over decades, NASA contaminated the Santa Susana Field Lab with dioxins, PCBs, perchlorate, heavy metals, TCE and other volatile organic compounds. Hundreds of thousands of people live nearby. A federal study showed that people living closer to the site have higher rates of thyroid, bladder, blood and lymph cancers than people living further away.

In 2010, NASA signed a legally binding agreement with California to clean up all contamination that could be detected. But Boeing, NASA's contractor at the site and owner of most of the site, has been pushing to block full cleanup of most of the site. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement recently released by NASA that barely mentions the contamination raises questions about whether NASA is trying to break out of the cleanup agreement it signed.

It is critical that NASA live up to the commitments it made in the 2010 cleanup agreement. I strongly urge NASA to meet its responsibilities regarding the toxic contamination it created. Do what you promised to do--fully clean up the site.

Nick Rodin

From: [Ruth Wilburn](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Re: Comment on SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Thursday, September 26, 2013 3:57:16 PM

Over decades, NASA contaminated the Santa Susana Field Lab with dioxins, PCBs, perchlorate, heavy metals, TCE and other volatile organic compounds. Hundreds of thousands of people live nearby. A federal study showed that people living closer to the site have higher rates of thyroid, bladder, blood and lymph cancers than people living further away.

In 2010, NASA signed a legally binding agreement with California to clean up all contamination that could be detected. But Boeing, NASA's contractor at the site and owner of most of the site, has been pushing to block full cleanup of most of the site. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement recently released by NASA that barely mentions the contamination raises questions about whether NASA is trying to break out of the cleanup agreement it signed.

It is critical that NASA live up to the commitments it made in the 2010 cleanup agreement. I strongly urge NASA to meet its responsibilities regarding the toxic contamination it created. Do what you promised to do--fully clean up the site.

Ruth Wilburn

From: [POLLY O MALLEY](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Re: Comment on SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Thursday, September 26, 2013 3:57:53 PM

Over decades, NASA contaminated the Santa Susana Field Lab with dioxins, PCBs, perchlorate, heavy metals, TCE and other volatile organic compounds. Hundreds of thousands of people live nearby. A federal study showed that people living closer to the site have higher rates of thyroid, bladder, blood and lymph cancers than people living further away.

In 2010, NASA signed a legally binding agreement with California to clean up all contamination that could be detected. But Boeing, NASA's contractor at the site and owner of most of the site, has been pushing to block full cleanup of most of the site. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement recently released by NASA that barely mentions the contamination raises questions about whether NASA is trying to break out of the cleanup agreement it signed.

It is critical that NASA live up to the commitments it made in the 2010 cleanup agreement. I strongly urge NASA to meet its responsibilities regarding the toxic contamination it created. Do what you promised to do--fully clean up the site.

POLLY O MALLEY

From: [Adriana Hall](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Re: Comment on SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Thursday, September 26, 2013 3:58:12 PM

Over decades, NASA contaminated the Santa Susana Field Lab with dioxins, PCBs, perchlorate, heavy metals, TCE and other volatile organic compounds. Hundreds of thousands of people live nearby. A federal study showed that people living closer to the site have higher rates of thyroid, bladder, blood and lymph cancers than people living further away.

In 2010, NASA signed a legally binding agreement with California to clean up all contamination that could be detected. But Boeing, NASA's contractor at the site and owner of most of the site, has been pushing to block full cleanup of most of the site. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement recently released by NASA that barely mentions the contamination raises questions about whether NASA is trying to break out of the cleanup agreement it signed.

It is critical that NASA live up to the commitments it made in the 2010 cleanup agreement. I strongly urge NASA to meet its responsibilities regarding the toxic contamination it created. Do what you promised to do--fully clean up the site.

Adriana Hall

From: [Lorena Wolfe](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Re: Comment on SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Thursday, September 26, 2013 4:17:17 PM

Over decades, NASA contaminated the Santa Susana Field Lab with dioxins, PCBs, perchlorate, heavy metals, TCE and other volatile organic compounds. Hundreds of thousands of people live nearby. A federal study showed that people living closer to the site have higher rates of thyroid, bladder, blood and lymph cancers than people living further away.

In 2010, NASA signed a legally binding agreement with California to clean up all contamination that could be detected. But Boeing, NASA's contractor at the site and owner of most of the site, has been pushing to block full cleanup of most of the site. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement recently released by NASA that barely mentions the contamination raises questions about whether NASA is trying to break out of the cleanup agreement it signed.

It is critical that NASA live up to the commitments it made in the 2010 cleanup agreement. I strongly urge NASA to meet its responsibilities regarding the toxic contamination it created. Do what you promised to do -- fully clean up the site.

Lorena Wolfe

From: [Peter Flanagan](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Re: Comment on SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Thursday, September 26, 2013 4:22:30 PM

Over decades, NASA contaminated the Santa Susana Field Lab with dioxins, PCBs, perchlorate, heavy metals, TCE and other volatile organic compounds. Hundreds of thousands of people live nearby. A federal study showed that people living closer to the site have higher rates of thyroid, bladder, blood and lymph cancers than people living further away.

In 2010, NASA signed a legally binding agreement with California to clean up all contamination that could be detected. But Boeing, NASA's contractor at the site and owner of most of the site, has been pushing to block full cleanup of most of the site. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement recently released by NASA that barely mentions the contamination raises questions about whether NASA is trying to break out of the cleanup agreement it signed.

It is critical that NASA live up to the commitments it made in the 2010 cleanup agreement. I strongly urge NASA to meet its responsibilities regarding the toxic contamination it created. Do what you promised to do--fully clean up the site.

Peter Flanagan

From: [David Wiseman](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Re: Comment on SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Thursday, September 26, 2013 4:30:53 PM

Over decades, NASA contaminated the Santa Susana Field Lab with dioxins, PCBs, perchlorate, heavy metals, TCE and other volatile organic compounds. Hundreds of thousands of people live nearby. A federal study showed that people living closer to the site have higher rates of thyroid, bladder, blood and lymph cancers than people living further away.

In 2010, NASA signed a legally binding agreement with California to clean up all contamination that could be detected. But Boeing, NASA's contractor at the site and owner of most of the site, has been pushing to block full cleanup of most of the site. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement recently released by NASA that barely mentions the contamination raises questions about whether NASA is trying to break out of the cleanup agreement it signed.

It is critical that NASA live up to the commitments it made in the 2010 cleanup agreement. I strongly urge NASA to meet its responsibilities regarding the toxic contamination it created. Do what you promised to do--fully clean up the site.

David Wiseman

From: [bev kelly, phd](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Re: Comment on SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Thursday, September 26, 2013 4:32:36 PM

Over decades, NASA contaminated the Santa Susana Field Lab with dioxins, PCBs, perchlorate, heavy metals, TCE and other volatile organic compounds. Hundreds of thousands of people live nearby. A federal study showed that people living closer to the site have higher rates of thyroid, bladder, blood and lymph cancers than people living further away.

In 2010, NASA signed a legally binding agreement with California to clean up all contamination that could be detected. But Boeing, NASA's contractor at the site and owner of most of the site, has been pushing to block full cleanup of most of the site. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement recently released by NASA that barely mentions the contamination raises questions about whether NASA is trying to break out of the cleanup agreement it signed.

It is critical that NASA live up to the commitments it made in the 2010 cleanup agreement. I strongly urge NASA to meet its responsibilities regarding the toxic contamination it created. Do what you promised to do--fully clean up the site.

bev kelly, phd

From: [Nancy Gingrich](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Re: Comment on SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Thursday, September 26, 2013 4:38:41 PM

Over decades, NASA contaminated the Santa Susana Field Lab with dioxins, PCBs, perchlorate, heavy metals, TCE and other volatile organic compounds. Hundreds of thousands of people live nearby. A federal study showed that people living closer to the site have higher rates of thyroid, bladder, blood and lymph cancers than people living further away.

In 2010, NASA signed a legally binding agreement with California to clean up all contamination that could be detected. But Boeing, NASA's contractor at the site and owner of most of the site, has been pushing to block full cleanup of most of the site. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement recently released by NASA that barely mentions the contamination raises questions about whether NASA is trying to break out of the cleanup agreement it signed.

It is critical that NASA live up to the commitments it made in the 2010 cleanup agreement. I strongly urge NASA to meet its responsibilities regarding the toxic contamination it created. Do what you promised to do--fully clean up the site.

Nancy Gingrich

From: [david.levy](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Re: Comment on SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Thursday, September 26, 2013 4:40:27 PM

Over decades, NASA contaminated the Santa Susana Field Lab with dioxins, PCBs, perchlorate, heavy metals, TCE and other volatile organic compounds. Hundreds of thousands of people live nearby. A federal study showed that people living closer to the site have higher rates of thyroid, bladder, blood and lymph cancers than people living further away.

In 2010, NASA signed a legally binding agreement with California to clean up all contamination that could be detected. But Boeing, NASA's contractor at the site and owner of most of the site, has been pushing to block full cleanup of most of the site. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement recently released by NASA that barely mentions the contamination raises questions about whether NASA is trying to break out of the cleanup agreement it signed.

It is critical that NASA live up to the commitments it made in the 2010 cleanup agreement. I strongly urge NASA to meet its responsibilities regarding the toxic contamination it created. Do what you promised to do--fully clean up the site.

david levy

From: [david.levy](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Re: Comment on SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Thursday, September 26, 2013 4:41:31 PM

Over decades, NASA contaminated the Santa Susana Field Lab with dioxins, PCBs, perchlorate, heavy metals, TCE and other volatile organic compounds. Hundreds of thousands of people live nearby. A federal study showed that people living closer to the site have higher rates of thyroid, bladder, blood and lymph cancers than people living further away.

In 2010, NASA signed a legally binding agreement with California to clean up all contamination that could be detected. But Boeing, NASA's contractor at the site and owner of most of the site, has been pushing to block full cleanup of most of the site. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement recently released by NASA that barely mentions the contamination raises questions about whether NASA is trying to break out of the cleanup agreement it signed.

It is critical that NASA live up to the commitments it made in the 2010 cleanup agreement. I strongly urge NASA to meet its responsibilities regarding the toxic contamination it created. Do what you promised to do--fully clean up the site.

david levy

From: [JONATHON RAY](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Re: Comment on SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Thursday, September 26, 2013 4:57:38 PM

Over decades, NASA contaminated the Santa Susana Field Lab with dioxins, PCBs, perchlorate, heavy metals, TCE and other volatile organic compounds. Hundreds of thousands of people live nearby. A federal study showed that people living closer to the site have higher rates of thyroid, bladder, blood and lymph cancers than people living further away.

In 2010, NASA signed a legally binding agreement with California to clean up all contamination that could be detected. But Boeing, NASA's contractor at the site and owner of most of the site, has been pushing to block full cleanup of most of the site. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement recently released by NASA that barely mentions the contamination raises questions about whether NASA is trying to break out of the cleanup agreement it signed.

It is critical that NASA live up to the commitments it made in the 2010 cleanup agreement. I strongly urge NASA to meet its responsibilities regarding the toxic contamination it created. Do what you promised to do--fully clean up the site.

JONATHON RAY

From: [Chris Saia](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Re: Comment on SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Thursday, September 26, 2013 5:11:17 PM

Over decades, NASA contaminated the Santa Susana Field Lab with dioxins, PCBs, perchlorate, heavy metals, TCE and other volatile organic compounds. Hundreds of thousands of people live nearby. A federal study showed that people living closer to the site have higher rates of thyroid, bladder, blood and lymph cancers than people living further away.

In 2010, NASA signed a legally binding agreement with California to clean up all contamination that could be detected. But Boeing, NASA's contractor at the site and owner of most of the site, has been pushing to block full cleanup of most of the site. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement recently released by NASA that barely mentions the contamination raises questions about whether NASA is trying to break out of the cleanup agreement it signed.

It is critical that NASA live up to the commitments it made in the 2010 cleanup agreement. I strongly urge NASA to meet its responsibilities regarding the toxic contamination it created. Do what you promised to do--fully clean up the site.

Chris Saia

From: [MeiMei ChuLu](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Re: Comment on SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Thursday, September 26, 2013 5:25:55 PM

Over decades, NASA contaminated the Santa Susana Field Lab with dioxins, PCBs, perchlorate, heavy metals, TCE and other volatile organic compounds. Hundreds of thousands of people live nearby. A federal study showed that people living closer to the site have higher rates of thyroid, bladder, blood and lymph cancers than people living further away.

In 2010, NASA signed a legally binding agreement with California to clean up all contamination that could be detected. But Boeing, NASA's contractor at the site and owner of most of the site, has been pushing to block full cleanup of most of the site. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement recently released by NASA that barely mentions the contamination raises questions about whether NASA is trying to break out of the cleanup agreement it signed.

It is critical that NASA live up to the commitments it made in the 2010 cleanup agreement. I strongly urge NASA to meet its responsibilities regarding the toxic contamination it created. Do what you promised to do--fully clean up the site.

MeiMei ChuLu

From: [Dianne PunKay](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Re: Comment on SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Thursday, September 26, 2013 5:35:31 PM

Over decades, NASA contaminated the Santa Susana Field Lab with dioxins, PCBs, perchlorate, heavy metals, TCE and other volatile organic compounds. Hundreds of thousands of people live nearby. A federal study showed that people living closer to the site have higher rates of thyroid, bladder, blood and lymph cancers than people living further away.

In 2010, NASA signed a legally binding agreement with California to clean up all contamination that could be detected. But Boeing, NASA's contractor at the site and owner of most of the site, has been pushing to block full cleanup of most of the site. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement recently released by NASA that barely mentions the contamination raises questions about whether NASA is trying to break out of the cleanup agreement it signed.

It is critical that NASA live up to the commitments it made in the 2010 cleanup agreement. I strongly urge NASA to meet its responsibilities regarding the toxic contamination it created. Do what you promised to do--fully clean up the site.

Dianne PunKay

From: [Mare Wahjosi](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Re: Comment on SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Thursday, September 26, 2013 5:51:31 PM

Over decades, NASA contaminated the Santa Susana Field Lab with dioxins, PCBs, perchlorate, heavy metals, TCE and other volatile organic compounds. Hundreds of thousands of people live nearby. A federal study showed that people living closer to the site have higher rates of thyroid, bladder, blood and lymph cancers than people living further away.

In 2010, NASA signed a legally binding agreement with California to clean up all contamination that could be detected. But Boeing, NASA's contractor at the site and owner of most of the site, has been pushing to block full cleanup of most of the site. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement recently released by NASA that barely mentions the contamination raises questions about whether NASA is trying to break out of the cleanup agreement it signed.

It is critical that NASA live up to the commitments it made in the 2010 cleanup agreement. I strongly urge NASA to meet its responsibilities regarding the toxic contamination it created. Do what you promised to do--fully clean up the site.

Mare Wahjosi

From: [Diana Carpenter-Madoshi](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Re: Comment on SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Thursday, September 26, 2013 5:58:07 PM

Over decades, NASA contaminated the Santa Susana Field Lab with dioxins, PCBs, perchlorate, heavy metals, TCE and other volatile organic compounds. Hundreds of thousands of people live nearby. A federal study showed that people living closer to the site have higher rates of thyroid, bladder, blood and lymph cancers than people living further away.

In 2010, NASA signed a legally binding agreement with California to clean up all contamination that could be detected. But Boeing, NASA's contractor at the site and owner of most of the site, has been pushing to block full cleanup of most of the site. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement recently released by NASA that barely mentions the contamination raises questions about whether NASA is trying to break out of the cleanup agreement it signed.

It is critical that NASA live up to the commitments it made in the 2010 cleanup agreement. I strongly urge NASA to meet its responsibilities regarding the toxic contamination it created. Do what you promised to do--fully clean up the site.

Diana Carpenter-Madoshi

From: [Sandy Chapman](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Re: Comment on SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Thursday, September 26, 2013 6:04:16 PM

Over decades, NASA contaminated the Santa Susana Field Lab with dioxins, PCBs, perchlorate, heavy metals, TCE and other volatile organic compounds. Hundreds of thousands of people live nearby. A federal study showed that people living closer to the site have higher rates of thyroid, bladder, blood and lymph cancers than people living further away.

In 2010, NASA signed a legally binding agreement with California to clean up all contamination that could be detected. But Boeing, NASA's contractor at the site and owner of most of the site, has been pushing to block full cleanup of most of the site. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement recently released by NASA that barely mentions the contamination raises questions about whether NASA is trying to break out of the cleanup agreement it signed.

It is critical that NASA live up to the commitments it made in the 2010 cleanup agreement. I strongly urge NASA to meet its responsibilities regarding the toxic contamination it created. Do what you promised to do--fully clean up the site.

Sandy Chapman

From: [James Conn](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Re: Comment on SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Thursday, September 26, 2013 6:29:34 PM

Over decades, NASA contaminated the Santa Susana Field Lab with dioxins, PCBs, perchlorate, heavy metals, TCE and other volatile organic compounds. Hundreds of thousands of people live nearby. A federal study showed that people living closer to the site have higher rates of thyroid, bladder, blood and lymph cancers than people living further away.

In 2010, NASA signed a legally binding agreement with California to clean up all contamination that could be detected. But Boeing, NASA's contractor at the site and owner of most of the site, has been pushing to block full cleanup of most of the site. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement recently released by NASA that barely mentions the contamination raises questions about whether NASA is trying to break out of the cleanup agreement it signed.

It is critical that NASA live up to the commitments it made in the 2010 cleanup agreement. I strongly urge NASA to meet its responsibilities regarding the toxic contamination it created. Do what you promised to do--fully clean up the site.

James Conn

From: [Don Woods](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Re: Comment on SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Thursday, September 26, 2013 6:32:28 PM

Over decades, NASA contaminated the Santa Susana Field Lab with dioxins, PCBs, perchlorate, heavy metals, TCE and other volatile organic compounds. Hundreds of thousands of people live nearby. A federal study showed that people living closer to the site have higher rates of thyroid, bladder, blood and lymph cancers than people living further away.

In 2010, NASA signed a legally binding agreement with California to clean up all contamination that could be detected. But Boeing, NASA's contractor at the site and owner of most of the site, has been pushing to block full cleanup of most of the site. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement recently released by NASA that barely mentions the contamination raises questions about whether NASA is trying to break out of the cleanup agreement it signed.

It is critical that NASA live up to the commitments it made in the 2010 cleanup agreement. I strongly urge NASA to meet its responsibilities regarding the toxic contamination it created. Do what you promised to do--fully clean up the site.

Don Woods

From: [Linda Bodian](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Re: Comment on SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Thursday, September 26, 2013 7:07:42 PM

Over decades, NASA contaminated the Santa Susana Field Lab with dioxins, PCBs, perchlorate, heavy metals, TCE and other volatile organic compounds. Hundreds of thousands of people live nearby. A federal study showed that people living closer to the site have higher rates of thyroid, bladder, blood and lymph cancers than people living further away.

In 2010, NASA signed a legally binding agreement with California to clean up all contamination that could be detected. But Boeing, NASA's contractor at the site and owner of most of the site, has been pushing to block full cleanup of most of the site. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement recently released by NASA that barely mentions the contamination raises questions about whether NASA is trying to break out of the cleanup agreement it signed.

It is critical that NASA live up to the commitments it made in the 2010 cleanup agreement. I strongly urge NASA to meet its responsibilities regarding the toxic contamination it created. Do what you promised to do--fully clean up the site.

Linda Bodian

From: [Amy Lecheminart](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Re: Comment on SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Thursday, September 26, 2013 7:10:01 PM

Over decades, NASA contaminated the Santa Susana Field Lab with dioxins, PCBs, perchlorate, heavy metals, TCE and other volatile organic compounds. Hundreds of thousands of people live nearby. A federal study showed that people living closer to the site have higher rates of thyroid, bladder, blood and lymph cancers than people living further away.

In 2010, NASA signed a legally binding agreement with California to clean up all contamination that could be detected. But Boeing, NASA's contractor at the site and owner of most of the site, has been pushing to block full cleanup of most of the site. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement recently released by NASA that barely mentions the contamination raises questions about whether NASA is trying to break out of the cleanup agreement it signed.

It is critical that NASA live up to the commitments it made in the 2010 cleanup agreement. I strongly urge NASA to meet its responsibilities regarding the toxic contamination it created. Do what you promised to do--fully clean up the site.

Amy Lecheminart

From: [Patricia Becker](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Re: Comment on SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Thursday, September 26, 2013 7:12:27 PM

Over decades, NASA contaminated the Santa Susana Field Lab with dioxins, PCBs, perchlorate, heavy metals, TCE and other volatile organic compounds. Hundreds of thousands of people live nearby. A federal study showed that people living closer to the site have higher rates of thyroid, bladder, blood and lymph cancers than people living further away.

In 2010, NASA signed a legally binding agreement with California to clean up all contamination that could be detected. But Boeing, NASA's contractor at the site and owner of most of the site, has been pushing to block full cleanup of most of the site. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement recently released by NASA that barely mentions the contamination raises questions about whether NASA is trying to break out of the cleanup agreement it signed.

It is critical that NASA live up to the commitments it made in the 2010 cleanup agreement. I strongly urge NASA to meet its responsibilities regarding the toxic contamination it created. Do what you promised to do--fully clean up the site.

Patricia Becker

From: [suzanne_mandell](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Re: Comment on SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Thursday, September 26, 2013 7:45:52 PM

Over decades, NASA contaminated the Santa Susana Field Lab with dioxins, PCBs, perchlorate, heavy metals, TCE and other volatile organic compounds. Hundreds of thousands of people live nearby. A federal study showed that people living closer to the site have higher rates of thyroid, bladder, blood and lymph cancers than people living further away.

In 2010, NASA signed a legally binding agreement with California to clean up all contamination that could be detected. But Boeing, NASA's contractor at the site and owner of most of the site, has been pushing to block full cleanup of most of the site. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement recently released by NASA that barely mentions the contamination raises questions about whether NASA is trying to break out of the cleanup agreement it signed.

It is critical that NASA live up to the commitments it made in the 2010 cleanup agreement. I strongly urge NASA to meet its responsibilities regarding the toxic contamination it created. Do what you promised to do--fully clean up the site.

suzanne mandell

From: [Diane Hart](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Re: Comment on SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Thursday, September 26, 2013 7:46:20 PM

Over decades, NASA contaminated the Santa Susana Field Lab with dioxins, PCBs, perchlorate, heavy metals, TCE and other volatile organic compounds. Hundreds of thousands of people live nearby. A federal study showed that people living closer to the site have higher rates of thyroid, bladder, blood and lymph cancers than people living further away.

In 2010, NASA signed a legally binding agreement with California to clean up all contamination that could be detected. But Boeing, NASA's contractor at the site and owner of most of the site, has been pushing to block full cleanup of most of the site. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement recently released by NASA that barely mentions the contamination raises questions about whether NASA is trying to break out of the cleanup agreement it signed.

It is critical that NASA live up to the commitments it made in the 2010 cleanup agreement. I strongly urge NASA to meet its responsibilities regarding the toxic contamination it created. Do what you promised to do--fully clean up the site.

Diane Hart

From: [Terry Young](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Re: Comment on SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Thursday, September 26, 2013 7:47:04 PM

Over decades, NASA contaminated the Santa Susana Field Lab with dioxins, PCBs, perchlorate, heavy metals, TCE and other volatile organic compounds. Hundreds of thousands of people live nearby. A federal study showed that people living closer to the site have higher rates of thyroid, bladder, blood and lymph cancers than people living further away.

In 2010, NASA signed a legally binding agreement with California to clean up all contamination that could be detected. But Boeing, NASA's contractor at the site and owner of most of the site, has been pushing to block full cleanup of most of the site. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement recently released by NASA that barely mentions the contamination raises questions about whether NASA is trying to break out of the cleanup agreement it signed.

It is critical that NASA live up to the commitments it made in the 2010 cleanup agreement. I strongly urge NASA to meet its responsibilities regarding the toxic contamination it created. Do what you promised to do--fully clean up the site.

Terry Young

From: [SCOTT BERSBACH](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Re: Comment on SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Thursday, September 26, 2013 8:14:02 PM

Over decades, NASA contaminated the Santa Susana Field Lab with dioxins, PCBs, perchlorate, heavy metals, TCE and other volatile organic compounds. Hundreds of thousands of people live nearby. A federal study showed that people living closer to the site have higher rates of thyroid, bladder, blood and lymph cancers than people living further away.

In 2010, NASA signed a legally binding agreement with California to clean up all contamination that could be detected. But Boeing, NASA's contractor at the site and owner of most of the site, has been pushing to block full cleanup of most of the site. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement recently released by NASA that barely mentions the contamination raises questions about whether NASA is trying to break out of the cleanup agreement it signed.

It is critical that NASA live up to the commitments it made in the 2010 cleanup agreement. I strongly urge NASA to meet its responsibilities regarding the toxic contamination it created. Do what you promised to do--fully clean up the site.

SCOTT BERSBACH

From: [Kenneth Miller](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Re: Comment on SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Thursday, September 26, 2013 12:58:43 PM

Over decades, NASA contaminated the Santa Susana Field Lab with dioxins, PCBs, perchlorate, heavy metals, TCE and other volatile organic compounds. Hundreds of thousands of people live nearby. A federal study showed that people living closer to the site have higher rates of thyroid, bladder, blood and lymph cancers than people living further away.

In 2010, NASA signed a legally binding agreement with California to clean up all contamination that could be detected. But Boeing, NASA's contractor at the site and owner of most of the site, has been pushing to block full cleanup of most of the site. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement recently released by NASA that barely mentions the contamination raises questions about whether NASA is trying to break out of the cleanup agreement it signed.

It is critical that NASA live up to the commitments it made in the 2010 cleanup agreement. I strongly urge NASA to meet its responsibilities regarding the toxic contamination it created. Do what you promised to do--fully clean up the site.

Kenneth Miller

From: [Susan Swan](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Re: Comment on SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Thursday, September 26, 2013 1:06:38 PM

Over decades, NASA contaminated the Santa Susana Field Lab with dioxins, PCBs, perchlorate, heavy metals, TCE and other volatile organic compounds. Hundreds of thousands of people live nearby. A federal study showed that people living closer to the site have higher rates of thyroid, bladder, blood and lymph cancers than people living further away.

In 2010, NASA signed a legally binding agreement with California to clean up all contamination that could be detected. But Boeing, NASA's contractor at the site and owner of most of the site, has been pushing to block full cleanup of most of the site. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement recently released by NASA that barely mentions the contamination raises questions about whether NASA is trying to break out of the cleanup agreement it signed.

It is critical that NASA live up to the commitments it made in the 2010 cleanup agreement. I strongly urge NASA to meet its responsibilities regarding the toxic contamination it created. Do what you promised to do--fully clean up the site. I am counting on you to do the right thing.

Susan Swan

From: [STEPHEN WELCH](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Re: Comment on SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Thursday, September 26, 2013 1:07:06 PM

Over decades, NASA contaminated the Santa Susana Field Lab with dioxins, PCBs, perchlorate, heavy metals, TCE and other volatile organic compounds. Hundreds of thousands of people live nearby. A federal study showed that people living closer to the site have higher rates of thyroid, bladder, blood and lymph cancers than people living further away.

In 2010, NASA signed a legally binding agreement with California to clean up all contamination that could be detected. But Boeing, NASA's contractor at the site and owner of most of the site, has been pushing to block full cleanup of most of the site. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement recently released by NASA that barely mentions the contamination raises questions about whether NASA is trying to break out of the cleanup agreement it signed.

It is critical that NASA live up to the commitments it made in the 2010 cleanup agreement. I strongly urge NASA to meet its responsibilities regarding the toxic contamination it created. Do what you promised to do--fully clean up the site.

STEPHEN WELCH

From: [AIMEE CHEEK](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Re: Comment on SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Thursday, September 26, 2013 1:15:08 PM

Over decades, NASA contaminated the Santa Susana Field Lab with dioxins, PCBs, perchlorate, heavy metals, TCE and other volatile organic compounds. Hundreds of thousands of people live nearby. A federal study showed that people living closer to the site have higher rates of thyroid, bladder, blood and lymph cancers than people living further away.

In 2010, NASA signed a legally binding agreement with California to clean up all contamination that could be detected. But Boeing, NASA's contractor at the site and owner of most of the site, has been pushing to block full cleanup of most of the site. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement recently released by NASA that barely mentions the contamination raises questions about whether NASA is trying to break out of the cleanup agreement it signed.

It is critical that NASA live up to the commitments it made in the 2010 cleanup agreement. I strongly urge NASA to meet its responsibilities regarding the toxic contamination it created. Do what you promised to do--fully clean up the site.

AIMEE CHEEK

From: [Steven Bard](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Re: Comment on SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Thursday, September 26, 2013 1:18:45 PM

Over decades, NASA contaminated the Santa Susana Field Lab with dioxins, PCBs, perchlorate, heavy metals, TCE and other volatile organic compounds. Hundreds of thousands of people live nearby. A federal study showed that people living closer to the site have higher rates of thyroid, bladder, blood and lymph cancers than people living further away.

In 2010, NASA signed a legally binding agreement with California to clean up all contamination that could be detected. But Boeing, NASA's contractor at the site and owner of most of the site, has been pushing to block full cleanup of most of the site. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement recently released by NASA that barely mentions the contamination raises questions about whether NASA is trying to break out of the cleanup agreement it signed.

It is critical that NASA live up to the commitments it made in the 2010 cleanup agreement. I strongly urge NASA to meet its responsibilities regarding the toxic contamination it created. Do what you promised to do--fully clean up the site.

Steven Bard

From: [LINDA ANTONIOLI](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Re: Comment on SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Thursday, September 26, 2013 1:23:15 PM

Over decades, NASA contaminated the Santa Susana Field Lab with dioxins, PCBs, perchlorate, heavy metals, TCE and other volatile organic compounds. Hundreds of thousands of people live nearby. A federal study showed that people living closer to the site have higher rates of thyroid, bladder, blood and lymph cancers than people living further away.

In 2010, NASA signed a legally binding agreement with California to clean up all contamination that could be detected. But Boeing, NASA's contractor at the site and owner of most of the site, has been pushing to block full cleanup of most of the site. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement recently released by NASA that barely mentions the contamination raises questions about whether NASA is trying to break out of the cleanup agreement it signed.

It is critical that NASA live up to the commitments it made in the 2010 cleanup agreement. I strongly urge NASA to meet its responsibilities regarding the toxic contamination it created. Do what you promised to do--fully clean up the site.

LINDA ANTONIOLI

From: [David Gubernick](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Re: Comment on SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Thursday, September 26, 2013 1:23:41 PM

Over decades, NASA contaminated the Santa Susana Field Lab with dioxins, PCBs, perchlorate, heavy metals, TCE and other volatile organic compounds. Hundreds of thousands of people live nearby. A federal study showed that people living closer to the site have higher rates of thyroid, bladder, blood and lymph cancers than people living further away.

In 2010, NASA signed a legally binding agreement with California to clean up all contamination that could be detected. But Boeing, NASA's contractor at the site and owner of most of the site, has been pushing to block full cleanup of most of the site. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement recently released by NASA that barely mentions the contamination raises questions about whether NASA is trying to break out of the cleanup agreement it signed.

It is critical that NASA live up to the commitments it made in the 2010 cleanup agreement. I strongly urge NASA to meet its responsibilities regarding the toxic contamination it created. Do what you promised to do--fully clean up the site.

David Gubernick

From: [JOEL GRAVES](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Re: Comment on SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Thursday, September 26, 2013 1:26:07 PM

Over decades, NASA contaminated the Santa Susana Field Lab with dioxins, PCBs, perchlorate, heavy metals, TCE and other volatile organic compounds. Hundreds of thousands of people live nearby. A federal study showed that people living closer to the site have higher rates of thyroid, bladder, blood and lymph cancers than people living further away.

In 2010, NASA signed a legally binding agreement with California to clean up all contamination that could be detected. But Boeing, NASA's contractor at the site and owner of most of the site, has been pushing to block full cleanup of most of the site. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement recently released by NASA that barely mentions the contamination raises questions about whether NASA is trying to break out of the cleanup agreement it signed.

It is critical that NASA live up to the commitments it made in the 2010 cleanup agreement. I strongly urge NASA to meet its responsibilities regarding the toxic contamination it created. Do what you promised to do--fully clean up the site.

JOEL GRAVES

From: [Paul Weaver](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Re: Comment on SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Thursday, September 26, 2013 1:36:30 PM

Over decades, NASA contaminated the Santa Susana Field Lab with dioxins, PCBs, perchlorate, heavy metals, TCE and other volatile organic compounds. Hundreds of thousands of people live nearby. A federal study showed that people living closer to the site have higher rates of thyroid, bladder, blood and lymph cancers than people living further away.

In 2010, NASA signed a legally binding agreement with California to clean up all contamination that could be detected. But Boeing, NASA's contractor at the site and owner of most of the site, has been pushing to block full cleanup of most of the site. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement recently released by NASA that barely mentions the contamination raises questions about whether NASA is trying to break out of the cleanup agreement it signed.

It is critical that NASA live up to the commitments it made in the 2010 cleanup agreement. I strongly urge NASA to meet its responsibilities regarding the toxic contamination it created. Do what you promised to do--fully clean up the site.

Paul Weaver

From: [Connie Brown](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Re: Comment on SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Thursday, September 26, 2013 1:44:59 PM

Over decades, NASA contaminated the Santa Susana Field Lab with dioxins, PCBs, perchlorate, heavy metals, TCE and other volatile organic compounds. Hundreds of thousands of people live nearby. A federal study showed that people living closer to the site have higher rates of thyroid, bladder, blood and lymph cancers than people living further away.

In 2010, NASA signed a legally binding agreement with California to clean up all contamination that could be detected. But Boeing, NASA's contractor at the site and owner of most of the site, has been pushing to block full cleanup of most of the site. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement recently released by NASA that barely mentions the contamination raises questions about whether NASA is trying to break out of the cleanup agreement it signed.

It is critical that NASA live up to the commitments it made in the 2010 cleanup agreement. I strongly urge NASA to meet its responsibilities regarding the toxic contamination it created. Do what you promised to do--fully clean up the site.

Connie Brown

From: [Hannah Freed](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Re: Comment on SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Thursday, September 26, 2013 1:49:09 PM

Over decades, NASA contaminated the Santa Susana Field Lab with dioxins, PCBs, perchlorate, heavy metals, TCE and other volatile organic compounds. Hundreds of thousands of people live nearby. A federal study showed that people living closer to the site have higher rates of thyroid, bladder, blood and lymph cancers than people living further away.

In 2010, NASA signed a legally binding agreement with California to clean up all contamination that could be detected. But Boeing, NASA's contractor at the site and owner of most of the site, has been pushing to block full cleanup of most of the site. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement recently released by NASA that barely mentions the contamination raises questions about whether NASA is trying to break out of the cleanup agreement it signed.

It is critical that NASA live up to the commitments it made in the 2010 cleanup agreement. I strongly urge NASA to meet its responsibilities regarding the toxic contamination it created. Do what you promised to do--fully clean up the site.

Hannah Freed

From: [Eric Meyers](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Re: Comment on SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Thursday, September 26, 2013 1:52:15 PM

Over decades, NASA contaminated the Santa Susana Field Lab with dioxins, PCBs, perchlorate, heavy metals, TCE and other volatile organic compounds. Hundreds of thousands of people live nearby. A federal study showed that people living closer to the site have higher rates of thyroid, bladder, blood and lymph cancers than people living further away.

In 2010, NASA signed a legally binding agreement with California to clean up all contamination that could be detected. But Boeing, NASA's contractor at the site and owner of most of the site, has been pushing to block full cleanup of most of the site. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement recently released by NASA that barely mentions the contamination raises questions about whether NASA is trying to break out of the cleanup agreement it signed.

It is critical that NASA live up to the commitments it made in the 2010 cleanup agreement. I strongly urge NASA to meet its responsibilities regarding the toxic contamination it created. Do what you promised to do--fully clean up the site.

Eric Meyers

From: [Yehudit Lieberman](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Re: Comment on SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Thursday, September 26, 2013 1:53:09 PM

Over decades, NASA contaminated the Santa Susana Field Lab with dioxins, PCBs, perchlorate, heavy metals, TCE and other volatile organic compounds. Hundreds of thousands of people live nearby. A federal study showed that people living closer to the site have higher rates of thyroid, bladder, blood and lymph cancers than people living further away.

In 2010, NASA signed a legally binding agreement with California to clean up all contamination that could be detected. But Boeing, NASA's contractor at the site and owner of most of the site, has been pushing to block full cleanup of most of the site. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement recently released by NASA that barely mentions the contamination raises questions about whether NASA is trying to break out of the cleanup agreement it signed.

It is critical that NASA live up to the commitments it made in the 2010 cleanup agreement. I strongly urge NASA to meet its responsibilities regarding the toxic contamination it created. Do what you promised to do--fully clean up the site.

Yehudit Lieberman

From: [john egan](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Re: Comment on SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Thursday, September 26, 2013 1:53:50 PM

Over decades, NASA contaminated the Santa Susana Field Lab with dioxins, PCBs, perchlorate, heavy metals, TCE and other volatile organic compounds. Hundreds of thousands of people live nearby. A federal study showed that people living closer to the site have higher rates of thyroid, bladder, blood and lymph cancers than people living further away.

In 2010, NASA signed a legally binding agreement with California to clean up all contamination that could be detected. But Boeing, NASA's contractor at the site and owner of most of the site, has been pushing to block full cleanup of most of the site. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement recently released by NASA that barely mentions the contamination raises questions about whether NASA is trying to break out of the cleanup agreement it signed.

It is critical that NASA live up to the commitments it made in the 2010 cleanup agreement. I strongly urge NASA to meet its responsibilities regarding the toxic contamination it created. Do what you promised to do--fully clean up the site.

john egan

From: [Eric Wilson](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Re: Comment on SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Thursday, September 26, 2013 1:55:46 PM

Over decades, NASA contaminated the Santa Susana Field Lab with dioxins, PCBs, perchlorate, heavy metals, TCE and other volatile organic compounds. Hundreds of thousands of people live nearby. A federal study showed that people living closer to the site have higher rates of thyroid, bladder, blood and lymph cancers than people living further away.

In 2010, NASA signed a legally binding agreement with California to clean up all contamination that could be detected. But Boeing, NASA's contractor at the site and owner of most of the site, has been pushing to block full cleanup of most of the site. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement recently released by NASA that barely mentions the contamination raises questions about whether NASA is trying to break out of the cleanup agreement it signed.

It is critical that NASA live up to the commitments it made in the 2010 cleanup agreement. I strongly urge NASA to meet its responsibilities regarding the toxic contamination it created. Do what you promised to do--fully clean up the site.

Eric Wilson

From: [PATRICK OH](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Re: Comment on SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Thursday, September 26, 2013 1:56:39 PM

Over decades, NASA contaminated the Santa Susana Field Lab with dioxins, PCBs, perchlorate, heavy metals, TCE and other volatile organic compounds. Hundreds of thousands of people live nearby. A federal study showed that people living closer to the site have higher rates of thyroid, bladder, blood and lymph cancers than people living further away.

In 2010, NASA signed a legally binding agreement with California to clean up all contamination that could be detected. But Boeing, NASA's contractor at the site and owner of most of the site, has been pushing to block full cleanup of most of the site. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement recently released by NASA that barely mentions the contamination raises questions about whether NASA is trying to break out of the cleanup agreement it signed.

It is critical that NASA live up to the commitments it made in the 2010 cleanup agreement. I strongly urge NASA to meet its responsibilities regarding the toxic contamination it created. Do what you promised to do--fully clean up the site.

PATRICK OH

From: [carol.sangster](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Re: Comment on SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Thursday, September 26, 2013 1:59:47 PM

Over decades, NASA contaminated the Santa Susana Field Lab with dioxins, PCBs, perchlorate, heavy metals, TCE and other volatile organic compounds. Hundreds of thousands of people live nearby. A federal study showed that people living closer to the site have higher rates of thyroid, bladder, blood and lymph cancers than people living further away.

In 2010, NASA signed a legally binding agreement with California to clean up all contamination that could be detected. But Boeing, NASA's contractor at the site and owner of most of the site, has been pushing to block full cleanup of most of the site. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement recently released by NASA that barely mentions the contamination raises questions about whether NASA is trying to break out of the cleanup agreement it signed.

It is critical that NASA live up to the commitments it made in the 2010 cleanup agreement. I strongly urge NASA to meet its responsibilities regarding the toxic contamination it created. Do what you promised to do--fully clean up the site.

carol sangster

From: [Mindy Edwards](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Re: Comment on SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Thursday, September 26, 2013 2:01:21 PM

Over decades, NASA contaminated the Santa Susana Field Lab with dioxins, PCBs, perchlorate, heavy metals, TCE and other volatile organic compounds. Hundreds of thousands of people live nearby. A federal study showed that people living closer to the site have higher rates of thyroid, bladder, blood and lymph cancers than people living further away.

In 2010, NASA signed a legally binding agreement with California to clean up all contamination that could be detected. But Boeing, NASA's contractor at the site and owner of most of the site, has been pushing to block full cleanup of most of the site. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement recently released by NASA that barely mentions the contamination raises questions about whether NASA is trying to break out of the cleanup agreement it signed.

It is critical that NASA live up to the commitments it made in the 2010 cleanup agreement. I strongly urge NASA to meet its responsibilities regarding the toxic contamination it created. Do what you promised to do--fully clean up the site.

Mindy Edwards

From: [CAROL GEIGER](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Re: Comment on SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Thursday, September 26, 2013 2:12:24 PM

Over decades, NASA contaminated the Santa Susana Field Lab with dioxins, PCBs, perchlorate, heavy metals, TCE and other volatile organic compounds. Hundreds of thousands of people live nearby. A federal study showed that people living closer to the site have higher rates of thyroid, bladder, blood and lymph cancers than people living further away.

In 2010, NASA signed a legally binding agreement with California to clean up all contamination that could be detected. But Boeing, NASA's contractor at the site and owner of most of the site, has been pushing to block full cleanup of most of the site. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement recently released by NASA that barely mentions the contamination raises questions about whether NASA is trying to break out of the cleanup agreement it signed.

It is critical that NASA live up to the commitments it made in the 2010 cleanup agreement. I strongly urge NASA to meet its responsibilities regarding the toxic contamination it created. Do what you promised to do--fully clean up the site.

CAROL GEIGER

From: [Robert Rhein](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Re: Comment on SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Thursday, September 26, 2013 2:32:44 PM

Over decades, NASA contaminated the Santa Susana Field Lab with dioxins, PCBs, perchlorate, heavy metals, TCE and other volatile organic compounds. Hundreds of thousands of people live nearby. A federal study showed that people living closer to the site have higher rates of thyroid, bladder, blood and lymph cancers than people living further away.

In 2010, NASA signed a legally binding agreement with California to clean up all contamination that could be detected. But Boeing, NASA's contractor at the site and owner of most of the site, has been pushing to block full cleanup of most of the site. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement recently released by NASA that barely mentions the contamination raises questions about whether NASA is trying to break out of the cleanup agreement it signed.

It is critical that NASA live up to the commitments it made in the 2010 cleanup agreement. I strongly urge NASA to meet its responsibilities regarding the toxic contamination it created. Do what you promised to do--fully clean up the site.

Robert Rhein

From: [ELIZABETH GOMEZ](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Re: Comment on SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Thursday, September 26, 2013 2:38:40 PM

Over decades, NASA contaminated the Santa Susana Field Lab with dioxins, PCBs, perchlorate, heavy metals, TCE and other volatile organic compounds. Hundreds of thousands of people live nearby. A federal study showed that people living closer to the site have higher rates of thyroid, bladder, blood and lymph cancers than people living further away.

In 2010, NASA signed a legally binding agreement with California to clean up all contamination that could be detected. But Boeing, NASA's contractor at the site and owner of most of the site, has been pushing to block full cleanup of most of the site. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement recently released by NASA that barely mentions the contamination raises questions about whether NASA is trying to break out of the cleanup agreement it signed.

It is critical that NASA live up to the commitments it made in the 2010 cleanup agreement. I strongly urge NASA to meet its responsibilities regarding the toxic contamination it created. Do what you promised to do--fully clean up the site.

ELIZABETH GOMEZ

From: [Rick St. John](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Re: Comment on SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Thursday, September 26, 2013 2:42:26 PM

Over decades, NASA contaminated the Santa Susana Field Lab with dioxins, PCBs, perchlorate, heavy metals, TCE and other volatile organic compounds. Hundreds of thousands of people live nearby. A federal study showed that people living closer to the site have higher rates of thyroid, bladder, blood and lymph cancers than people living further away.

In 2010, NASA signed a legally binding agreement with California to clean up all contamination that could be detected. But Boeing, NASA's contractor at the site and owner of most of the site, has been pushing to block full cleanup of most of the site. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement recently released by NASA that barely mentions the contamination raises questions about whether NASA is trying to break out of the cleanup agreement it signed.

It is critical that NASA live up to the commitments it made in the 2010 cleanup agreement. I strongly urge NASA to meet its responsibilities regarding the toxic contamination it created. Do what you promised to do--fully clean up the site.

Rick St. John

From: [ERIC SMITH](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Re: Comment on SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Thursday, September 26, 2013 2:43:20 PM

Over decades, NASA contaminated the Santa Susana Field Lab with dioxins, PCBs, perchlorate, heavy metals, TCE and other volatile organic compounds. Hundreds of thousands of people live nearby. A federal study showed that people living closer to the site have higher rates of thyroid, bladder, blood and lymph cancers than people living further away.

In 2010, NASA signed a legally binding agreement with California to clean up all contamination that could be detected. But Boeing, NASA's contractor at the site and owner of most of the site, has been pushing to block full cleanup of most of the site. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement recently released by NASA that barely mentions the contamination raises questions about whether NASA is trying to break out of the cleanup agreement it signed.

It is critical that NASA live up to the commitments it made in the 2010 cleanup agreement. I strongly urge NASA to meet its responsibilities regarding the toxic contamination it created. Do what you promised to do--fully clean up the site.

ERIC SMITH

From: [Mark Heald](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Re: Comment on SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Thursday, September 26, 2013 3:00:16 PM

Over decades, NASA contaminated the Santa Susana Field Lab with dioxins, PCBs, perchlorate, heavy metals, TCE and other volatile organic compounds. Hundreds of thousands of people live nearby. A federal study showed that people living closer to the site have higher rates of thyroid, bladder, blood and lymph cancers than people living further away.

In 2010, NASA signed a legally binding agreement with California to clean up all contamination that could be detected. But Boeing, NASA's contractor at the site and owner of most of the site, has been pushing to block full cleanup of most of the site. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement recently released by NASA that barely mentions the contamination raises questions about whether NASA is trying to break out of the cleanup agreement it signed.

It is critical that NASA live up to the commitments it made in the 2010 cleanup agreement. I strongly urge NASA to meet its responsibilities regarding the toxic contamination it created. Do what you promised to do--fully clean up the site.

Mark Heald

From: [Eden Johnson](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Re: Comment on SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Thursday, September 26, 2013 3:04:18 PM

Over decades, NASA contaminated the Santa Susana Field Lab with dioxins, PCBs, perchlorate, heavy metals, TCE and other volatile organic compounds. Hundreds of thousands of people live nearby. A federal study showed that people living closer to the site have higher rates of thyroid, bladder, blood and lymph cancers than people living further away.

In 2010, NASA signed a legally binding agreement with California to clean up all contamination that could be detected. But Boeing, NASA's contractor at the site and owner of most of the site, has been pushing to block full cleanup of most of the site. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement recently released by NASA that barely mentions the contamination raises questions about whether NASA is trying to break out of the cleanup agreement it signed.

It is critical that NASA live up to the commitments it made in the 2010 cleanup agreement. I strongly urge NASA to meet its responsibilities regarding the toxic contamination it created. Do what you promised to do--fully clean up the site.

Eden Johnson

From: [Philip Ratcliff](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Re: Comment on SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Thursday, September 26, 2013 3:12:21 PM

Over decades, NASA contaminated the Santa Susana Field Lab with dioxins, PCBs, perchlorate, heavy metals, TCE and other volatile organic compounds. Hundreds of thousands of people live nearby. A federal study showed that people living closer to the site have higher rates of thyroid, bladder, blood and lymph cancers than people living further away.

In 2010, NASA signed a legally binding agreement with California to clean up all contamination that could be detected. But Boeing, NASA's contractor at the site and owner of most of the site, has been pushing to block full cleanup of most of the site. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement recently released by NASA that barely mentions the contamination raises questions about whether NASA is trying to break out of the cleanup agreement it signed.

It is critical that NASA live up to the commitments it made in the 2010 cleanup agreement. I strongly urge NASA to meet its responsibilities regarding the toxic contamination it created. Do what you promised to do--fully clean up the site.

Philip Ratcliff

From: [LANA NARDIELLO](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Re: Comment on SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Thursday, September 26, 2013 3:14:32 PM

Over decades, NASA contaminated the Santa Susana Field Lab with dioxins, PCBs, perchlorate, heavy metals, TCE and other volatile organic compounds. Hundreds of thousands of people live nearby. A federal study showed that people living closer to the site have higher rates of thyroid, bladder, blood and lymph cancers than people living further away.

In 2010, NASA signed a legally binding agreement with California to clean up all contamination that could be detected. But Boeing, NASA's contractor at the site and owner of most of the site, has been pushing to block full cleanup of most of the site. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement recently released by NASA that barely mentions the contamination raises questions about whether NASA is trying to break out of the cleanup agreement it signed.

It is critical that NASA live up to the commitments it made in the 2010 cleanup agreement. I strongly urge NASA to meet its responsibilities regarding the toxic contamination it created. Do what you promised to do--fully clean up the site.

LANA NARDIELLO

From: [Michael Gibeault](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Re: Comment on SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Thursday, September 26, 2013 3:16:12 PM

Over decades, NASA contaminated the Santa Susana Field Lab with dioxins, PCBs, perchlorate, heavy metals, TCE and other volatile organic compounds. Hundreds of thousands of people live nearby. A federal study showed that people living closer to the site have higher rates of thyroid, bladder, blood and lymph cancers than people living further away.

In 2010, NASA signed a legally binding agreement with California to clean up all contamination that could be detected. But Boeing, NASA's contractor at the site and owner of most of the site, has been pushing to block full cleanup of most of the site. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement recently released by NASA that barely mentions the contamination raises questions about whether NASA is trying to break out of the cleanup agreement it signed.

It is critical that NASA live up to the commitments it made in the 2010 cleanup agreement. I strongly urge NASA to meet its responsibilities regarding the toxic contamination it created. Do what you promised to do--fully clean up the site.

Michael Gibeault

From: [anitab Bixenstine](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Re: Comment on SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Thursday, September 26, 2013 3:16:57 PM

Over decades, NASA contaminated the Santa Susana Field Lab with dioxins, PCBs, perchlorate, heavy metals, TCE and other volatile organic compounds. Hundreds of thousands of people live nearby. A federal study showed that people living closer to the site have higher rates of thyroid, bladder, blood and lymph cancers than people living further away.

In 2010, NASA signed a legally binding agreement with California to clean up all contamination that could be detected. But Boeing, NASA's contractor at the site and owner of most of the site, has been pushing to block full cleanup of most of the site. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement recently released by NASA that barely mentions the contamination raises questions about whether NASA is trying to break out of the cleanup agreement it signed.

It is critical that NASA live up to the commitments it made in the 2010 cleanup agreement. I strongly urge NASA to meet its responsibilities regarding the toxic contamination it created. Do what you promised to do--fully clean up the site.

anitab Bixenstine

From: [Kelly Hanks](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Re: Comment on SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Thursday, September 26, 2013 3:24:24 PM

Over decades, NASA contaminated the Santa Susana Field Lab with dioxins, PCBs, perchlorate, heavy metals, TCE and other volatile organic compounds. Hundreds of thousands of people live nearby. A federal study showed that people living closer to the site have higher rates of thyroid, bladder, blood and lymph cancers than people living further away.

In 2010, NASA signed a legally binding agreement with California to clean up all contamination that could be detected. But Boeing, NASA's contractor at the site and owner of most of the site, has been pushing to block full cleanup of most of the site. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement recently released by NASA that barely mentions the contamination raises questions about whether NASA is trying to break out of the cleanup agreement it signed.

It is critical that NASA live up to the commitments it made in the 2010 cleanup agreement. I strongly urge NASA to meet its responsibilities regarding the toxic contamination it created. Do what you promised to do--fully clean up the site.

Kelly Hanks

From: [Rebecca Barker](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Re: Comment on SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Friday, October 25, 2013 12:37:34 PM

Over decades, NASA contaminated the Santa Susana Field Lab with dioxins, PCBs, perchlorate, heavy metals, TCE and other volatile organic compounds. Hundreds of thousands of people live nearby. A federal study showed that people living closer to the site have higher rates of thyroid, bladder, blood and lymph cancers than people living further away.

In 2010, NASA signed a legally binding agreement with California to clean up all contamination that could be detected. But Boeing, NASA's contractor at the site and owner of most of the site, has been pushing to block full cleanup of most of the site. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement recently released by NASA that barely mentions the contamination raises questions about whether NASA is trying to break out of the cleanup agreement it signed.

It is critical that NASA live up to the commitments it made in the 2010 cleanup agreement. I strongly urge NASA to meet its responsibilities regarding the toxic contamination it created. Do what you promised to do--fully clean up the site.

Rebecca Barker

From: [Nils Ohlson](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Re: Comment on SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Thursday, September 26, 2013 11:46:05 AM

Over decades, NASA contaminated the Santa Susana Field Lab with dioxins, PCBs, perchlorate, heavy metals, TCE and other volatile organic compounds. Hundreds of thousands of people live nearby. A federal study showed that people living closer to the site have higher rates of thyroid, bladder, blood and lymph cancers than people living further away.

In 2010, NASA signed a legally binding agreement with California to clean up all contamination that could be detected. But Boeing, NASA's contractor at the site and owner of most of the site, has been pushing to block full cleanup of most of the site. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement recently released by NASA that barely mentions the contamination raises questions about whether NASA is trying to break out of the cleanup agreement it signed.

It is critical that NASA live up to the commitments it made in the 2010 cleanup agreement. I strongly urge NASA to meet its responsibilities regarding the toxic contamination it created. Do what you promised to do--fully clean up the site.

Nils Ohlson

From: [Diana Tsoi](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Re: Comment on SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Thursday, September 26, 2013 11:46:06 AM

Over decades, NASA contaminated the Santa Susana Field Lab with dioxins, PCBs, perchlorate, heavy metals, TCE and other volatile organic compounds. Hundreds of thousands of people live nearby. A federal study showed that people living closer to the site have higher rates of thyroid, bladder, blood and lymph cancers than people living further away.

In 2010, NASA signed a legally binding agreement with California to clean up all contamination that could be detected. But Boeing, NASA's contractor at the site and owner of most of the site, has been pushing to block full cleanup of most of the site. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement recently released by NASA that barely mentions the contamination raises questions about whether NASA is trying to break out of the cleanup agreement it signed.

It is critical that NASA live up to the commitments it made in the 2010 cleanup agreement. I strongly urge NASA to meet its responsibilities regarding the toxic contamination it created. Do what you promised to do--fully clean up the site.

Diana Tsoi

From: [M. Decker](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Re: Comment on SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Thursday, September 26, 2013 11:48:33 AM

Over decades, NASA contaminated the Santa Susana Field Lab with dioxins, PCBs, perchlorate, heavy metals, TCE and other volatile organic compounds. Hundreds of thousands of people live nearby. A federal study showed that people living closer to the site have higher rates of thyroid, bladder, blood and lymph cancers than people living further away.

In 2010, NASA signed a legally binding agreement with California to clean up all contamination that could be detected. But Boeing, NASA's contractor at the site and owner of most of the site, has been pushing to block full cleanup of most of the site. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement recently released by NASA that barely mentions the contamination raises questions about whether NASA is trying to break out of the cleanup agreement it signed.

It is critical that NASA live up to the commitments it made in the 2010 cleanup agreement. I strongly urge NASA to meet its responsibilities regarding the toxic contamination it created. Do what you promised to do--fully clean up the site.

M. Decker

From: [Martin Fowler](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Re: Comment on SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Thursday, September 26, 2013 11:48:59 AM

Over decades, NASA contaminated the Santa Susana Field Lab with dioxins, PCBs, perchlorate, heavy metals, TCE and other volatile organic compounds. Hundreds of thousands of people live nearby. A federal study showed that people living closer to the site have higher rates of thyroid, bladder, blood and lymph cancers than people living further away.

In 2010, NASA signed a legally binding agreement with California to clean up all contamination that could be detected. But Boeing, NASA's contractor at the site and owner of most of the site, has been pushing to block full cleanup of most of the site. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement recently released by NASA that barely mentions the contamination raises questions about whether NASA is trying to break out of the cleanup agreement it signed.

It is critical that NASA live up to the commitments it made in the 2010 cleanup agreement. I strongly urge NASA to meet its responsibilities regarding the toxic contamination it created. Do what you promised to do--fully clean up the site.

Martin Fowler

From: [Robert Reeves](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Re: Comment on SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Thursday, September 26, 2013 11:50:56 AM

Over decades, NASA contaminated the Santa Susana Field Lab with dioxins, PCBs, perchlorate, heavy metals, TCE and other volatile organic compounds. Hundreds of thousands of people live nearby. A federal study showed that people living closer to the site have higher rates of thyroid, bladder, blood and lymph cancers than people living further away.

In 2010, NASA signed a legally binding agreement with California to clean up all contamination that could be detected. But Boeing, NASA's contractor at the site and owner of most of the site, has been pushing to block full cleanup of most of the site. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement recently released by NASA that barely mentions the contamination raises questions about whether NASA is trying to break out of the cleanup agreement it signed.

It is critical that NASA live up to the commitments it made in the 2010 cleanup agreement. I strongly urge NASA to meet its responsibilities regarding the toxic contamination it created. Do what you promised to do--fully clean up the site.

Robert Reeves

From: [Ashley Branstetter](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Re: Comment on SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Thursday, September 26, 2013 11:57:52 AM

Over decades, NASA contaminated the Santa Susana Field Lab with dioxins, PCBs, perchlorate, heavy metals, TCE and other volatile organic compounds. Hundreds of thousands of people live nearby. A federal study showed that people living closer to the site have higher rates of thyroid, bladder, blood and lymph cancers than people living further away.

In 2010, NASA signed a legally binding agreement with California to clean up all contamination that could be detected. But Boeing, NASA's contractor at the site and owner of most of the site, has been pushing to block full cleanup of most of the site. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement recently released by NASA that barely mentions the contamination raises questions about whether NASA is trying to break out of the cleanup agreement it signed.

It is critical that NASA live up to the commitments it made in the 2010 cleanup agreement. I strongly urge NASA to meet its responsibilities regarding the toxic contamination it created. Do what you promised to do--fully clean up the site.

Ashley Branstetter

From: [Rebecca Barker](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Re: Comment on SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Thursday, September 26, 2013 11:58:36 AM

Over decades, NASA contaminated the Santa Susana Field Lab with dioxins, PCBs, perchlorate, heavy metals, TCE and other volatile organic compounds. Hundreds of thousands of people live nearby. A federal study showed that people living closer to the site have higher rates of thyroid, bladder, blood and lymph cancers than people living further away.

In 2010, NASA signed a legally binding agreement with California to clean up all contamination that could be detected. But Boeing, NASA's contractor at the site and owner of most of the site, has been pushing to block full cleanup of most of the site. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement recently released by NASA that barely mentions the contamination raises questions about whether NASA is trying to break out of the cleanup agreement it signed.

It is critical that NASA live up to the commitments it made in the 2010 cleanup agreement. I strongly urge NASA to meet its responsibilities regarding the toxic contamination it created. Do what you promised to do--fully clean up the site.

Rebecca Barker

From: [Carol Oakes](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Re: Comment on SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Thursday, September 26, 2013 12:00:49 PM

Over decades, NASA contaminated the Santa Susana Field Lab with dioxins, PCBs, perchlorate, heavy metals, TCE and other volatile organic compounds. Hundreds of thousands of people live nearby. A federal study showed that people living closer to the site have higher rates of thyroid, bladder, blood and lymph cancers than people living further away.

In 2010, NASA signed a legally binding agreement with California to clean up all contamination that could be detected. But Boeing, NASA's contractor at the site and owner of most of the site, has been pushing to block full cleanup of most of the site. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement recently released by NASA that barely mentions the contamination raises questions about whether NASA is trying to break out of the cleanup agreement it signed.

It is critical that NASA live up to the commitments it made in the 2010 cleanup agreement. I strongly urge NASA to meet its responsibilities regarding the toxic contamination it created. Do what you promised to do--fully clean up the site.

Carol Oakes

From: [JENNIFER CECENA](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Re: Comment on SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Thursday, September 26, 2013 12:15:53 PM

Over decades, NASA contaminated the Santa Susana Field Lab with dioxins, PCBs, perchlorate, heavy metals, TCE and other volatile organic compounds. Hundreds of thousands of people live nearby. A federal study showed that people living closer to the site have higher rates of thyroid, bladder, blood and lymph cancers than people living further away.

In 2010, NASA signed a legally binding agreement with California to clean up all contamination that could be detected. But Boeing, NASA's contractor at the site and owner of most of the site, has been pushing to block full cleanup of most of the site. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement recently released by NASA that barely mentions the contamination raises questions about whether NASA is trying to break out of the cleanup agreement it signed.

It is critical that NASA live up to the commitments it made in the 2010 cleanup agreement. I strongly urge NASA to meet its responsibilities regarding the toxic contamination it created. Do what you promised to do--fully clean up the site.

JENNIFER CECENA

From: [MJ Pramik](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Re: Comment on SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Thursday, September 26, 2013 12:16:59 PM

Over decades, NASA contaminated the Santa Susana Field Lab with dioxins, PCBs, perchlorate, heavy metals, TCE and other volatile organic compounds. Hundreds of thousands of people live nearby. A federal study showed that people living closer to the site have higher rates of thyroid, bladder, blood and lymph cancers than people living further away.

In 2010, NASA signed a legally binding agreement with California to clean up all contamination that could be detected. But Boeing, NASA's contractor at the site and owner of most of the site, has been pushing to block full cleanup of most of the site. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement recently released by NASA that barely mentions the contamination raises questions about whether NASA is trying to break out of the cleanup agreement it signed.

It is critical that NASA live up to the commitments it made in the 2010 cleanup agreement. I strongly urge NASA to meet its responsibilities regarding the toxic contamination it created. Do what you promised to do--fully clean up the site.

MJ Pramik

From: [Rudy Perez](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Re: Comment on SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Thursday, September 26, 2013 12:23:21 PM

Over decades, NASA contaminated the Santa Susana Field Lab with dioxins, PCBs, perchlorate, heavy metals, TCE and other volatile organic compounds. Hundreds of thousands of people live nearby. A federal study showed that people living closer to the site have higher rates of thyroid, bladder, blood and lymph cancers than people living further away.

In 2010, NASA signed a legally binding agreement with California to clean up all contamination that could be detected. But Boeing, NASA's contractor at the site and owner of most of the site, has been pushing to block full cleanup of most of the site. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement recently released by NASA that barely mentions the contamination raises questions about whether NASA is trying to break out of the cleanup agreement it signed.

It is critical that NASA live up to the commitments it made in the 2010 cleanup agreement. I strongly urge NASA to meet its responsibilities regarding the toxic contamination it created. Do what you promised to do--fully clean up the site.

Rudy Perez

From: [michael sarabia](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Re: Comment on SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Thursday, September 26, 2013 12:23:41 PM

Over decades, NASA contaminated the Santa Susana Field Lab with dioxins, PCBs, perchlorate, heavy metals, TCE and other volatile organic compounds. Hundreds of thousands of people live nearby. A federal study showed that people living closer to the site have higher rates of thyroid, bladder, blood and lymph cancers than people living further away.

In 2010, NASA signed a legally binding agreement with California to clean up all contamination that could be detected. But Boeing, NASA's contractor at the site and owner of most of the site, has been pushing to block full cleanup of most of the site. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement recently released by NASA that barely mentions the contamination raises questions about whether NASA is trying to break out of the cleanup agreement it signed.

It is critical that NASA live up to the commitments it made in the 2010 cleanup agreement. I strongly urge NASA to meet its responsibilities regarding the toxic contamination it created. Do what you promised to do--fully clean up the site.

michael sarabia

From: [Shirley Poncini](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Re: Comment on SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Thursday, September 26, 2013 12:28:08 PM

Over decades, NASA contaminated the Santa Susana Field Lab with dioxins, PCBs, perchlorate, heavy metals, TCE and other volatile organic compounds. Hundreds of thousands of people live nearby. A federal study showed that people living closer to the site have higher rates of thyroid, bladder, blood and lymph cancers than people living further away.

In 2010, NASA signed a legally binding agreement with California to clean up all contamination that could be detected. But Boeing, NASA's contractor at the site and owner of most of the site, has been pushing to block full cleanup of most of the site. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement recently released by NASA that barely mentions the contamination raises questions about whether NASA is trying to break out of the cleanup agreement it signed.

It is critical that NASA live up to the commitments it made in the 2010 cleanup agreement. I strongly urge NASA to meet its responsibilities regarding the toxic contamination it created. Do what you promised to do--fully clean up the site.

Shirley Poncini

From: [don wiltsie](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Re: Comment on SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Thursday, September 26, 2013 12:31:11 PM

Over decades, NASA contaminated the Santa Susana Field Lab with dioxins, PCBs, perchlorate, heavy metals, TCE and other volatile organic compounds. Hundreds of thousands of people live nearby. A federal study showed that people living closer to the site have higher rates of thyroid, bladder, blood and lymph cancers than people living further away.

In 2010, NASA signed a legally binding agreement with California to clean up all contamination that could be detected. But Boeing, NASA's contractor at the site and owner of most of the site, has been pushing to block full cleanup of most of the site. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement recently released by NASA that barely mentions the contamination raises questions about whether NASA is trying to break out of the cleanup agreement it signed.

It is critical that NASA live up to the commitments it made in the 2010 cleanup agreement. I strongly urge NASA to meet its responsibilities regarding the toxic contamination it created. Do what you promised to do--fully clean up the site.

don wiltsie

From: [James Wolcott](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Re: Comment on SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Thursday, September 26, 2013 12:32:01 PM

Over decades, NASA contaminated the Santa Susana Field Lab with dioxins, PCBs, perchlorate, heavy metals, TCE and other volatile organic compounds. Hundreds of thousands of people live nearby. A federal study showed that people living closer to the site have higher rates of thyroid, bladder, blood and lymph cancers than people living further away.

In 2010, NASA signed a legally binding agreement with California to clean up all contamination that could be detected. But Boeing, NASA's contractor at the site and owner of most of the site, has been pushing to block full cleanup of most of the site. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement recently released by NASA that barely mentions the contamination raises questions about whether NASA is trying to break out of the cleanup agreement it signed.

It is critical that NASA live up to the commitments it made in the 2010 cleanup agreement. I strongly urge NASA to meet its responsibilities regarding the toxic contamination it created. Do what you promised to do--fully clean up the site.

James Wolcott

From: [Norman Knepher](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Re: Comment on SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Thursday, September 26, 2013 12:34:08 PM

Over decades, NASA contaminated the Santa Susana Field Lab with dioxins, PCBs, perchlorate, heavy metals, TCE and other volatile organic compounds. Hundreds of thousands of people live nearby. A federal study showed that people living closer to the site have higher rates of thyroid, bladder, blood and lymph cancers than people living further away.

In 2010, NASA signed a legally binding agreement with California to clean up all contamination that could be detected. But Boeing, NASA's contractor at the site and owner of most of the site, has been pushing to block full cleanup of most of the site. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement recently released by NASA that barely mentions the contamination raises questions about whether NASA is trying to break out of the cleanup agreement it signed.

It is critical that NASA live up to the commitments it made in the 2010 cleanup agreement. I strongly urge NASA to meet its responsibilities regarding the toxic contamination it created. Do what you promised to do--fully clean up the site.

Norman Knepher

From: [Lois Zweben](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Re: Comment on SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Thursday, September 26, 2013 12:37:35 PM

Over decades, NASA contaminated the Santa Susana Field Lab with dioxins, PCBs, perchlorate, heavy metals, TCE and other volatile organic compounds. Hundreds of thousands of people live nearby. A federal study showed that people living closer to the site have higher rates of thyroid, bladder, blood and lymph cancers than people living further away.

In 2010, NASA signed a legally binding agreement with California to clean up all contamination that could be detected. But Boeing, NASA's contractor at the site and owner of most of the site, has been pushing to block full cleanup of most of the site. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement recently released by NASA that barely mentions the contamination raises questions about whether NASA is trying to break out of the cleanup agreement it signed.

It is critical that NASA live up to the commitments it made in the 2010 cleanup agreement. I strongly urge NASA to meet its responsibilities regarding the toxic contamination it created. Do what you promised to do--fully clean up the site.

Lois Zweben

From: [Martha Kuhl](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Re: Comment on SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Thursday, September 26, 2013 12:38:13 PM

Over decades, NASA contaminated the Santa Susana Field Lab with dioxins, PCBs, perchlorate, heavy metals, TCE and other volatile organic compounds. Hundreds of thousands of people live nearby. A federal study showed that people living closer to the site have higher rates of thyroid, bladder, blood and lymph cancers than people living further away.

In 2010, NASA signed a legally binding agreement with California to clean up all contamination that could be detected. But Boeing, NASA's contractor at the site and owner of most of the site, has been pushing to block full cleanup of most of the site. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement recently released by NASA that barely mentions the contamination raises questions about whether NASA is trying to break out of the cleanup agreement it signed.

It is critical that NASA live up to the commitments it made in the 2010 cleanup agreement. I strongly urge NASA to meet its responsibilities regarding the toxic contamination it created. Do what you promised to do--fully clean up the site.

Martha Kuhl

From: [John Hogben](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Re: Comment on SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Thursday, September 26, 2013 12:41:22 PM

Over decades, NASA contaminated the Santa Susana Field Lab with dioxins, PCBs, perchlorate, heavy metals, TCE and other volatile organic compounds. Hundreds of thousands of people live nearby. A federal study showed that people living closer to the site have higher rates of thyroid, bladder, blood and lymph cancers than people living further away.

In 2010, NASA signed a legally binding agreement with California to clean up all contamination that could be detected. But Boeing, NASA's contractor at the site and owner of most of the site, has been pushing to block full cleanup of most of the site. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement recently released by NASA that barely mentions the contamination raises questions about whether NASA is trying to break out of the cleanup agreement it signed.

It is critical that NASA live up to the commitments it made in the 2010 cleanup agreement. I strongly urge NASA to meet its responsibilities regarding the toxic contamination it created. Do what you promised to do--fully clean up the site.

John Hogben

From: [Don Price](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Re: Comment on SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Thursday, September 26, 2013 12:42:51 PM

Over decades, NASA contaminated the Santa Susana Field Lab with dioxins, PCBs, perchlorate, heavy metals, TCE and other volatile organic compounds. Hundreds of thousands of people live nearby. A federal study showed that people living closer to the site have higher rates of thyroid, bladder, blood and lymph cancers than people living further away.

In 2010, NASA signed a legally binding agreement with California to clean up all contamination that could be detected. But Boeing, NASA's contractor at the site and owner of most of the site, has been pushing to block full cleanup of most of the site. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement recently released by NASA that barely mentions the contamination raises questions about whether NASA is trying to break out of the cleanup agreement it signed.

It is critical that NASA live up to the commitments it made in the 2010 cleanup agreement. I strongly urge NASA to meet its responsibilities regarding the toxic contamination it created. Do what you promised to do--fully clean up the site.

Don Price

From: [Catherine James](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Re: Comment on SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Thursday, September 26, 2013 12:45:19 PM

Over decades, NASA contaminated the Santa Susana Field Lab with dioxins, PCBs, perchlorate, heavy metals, TCE and other volatile organic compounds. Hundreds of thousands of people live nearby. A federal study showed that people living closer to the site have higher rates of thyroid, bladder, blood and lymph cancers than people living further away.

In 2010, NASA signed a legally binding agreement with California to clean up all contamination that could be detected. But Boeing, NASA's contractor at the site and owner of most of the site, has been pushing to block full cleanup of most of the site. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement recently released by NASA that barely mentions the contamination raises questions about whether NASA is trying to break out of the cleanup agreement it signed.

It is critical that NASA live up to the commitments it made in the 2010 cleanup agreement. I strongly urge NASA to meet its responsibilities regarding the toxic contamination it created. Do what you promised to do--fully clean up the site.

Catherine James

From: [Toby Ann Reese](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Re: Comment on SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Thursday, September 26, 2013 12:45:23 PM

Over decades, NASA contaminated the Santa Susana Field Lab with dioxins, PCBs, perchlorate, heavy metals, TCE and other volatile organic compounds. Hundreds of thousands of people live nearby. A federal study showed that people living closer to the site have higher rates of thyroid, bladder, blood and lymph cancers than people living further away.

In 2010, NASA signed a legally binding agreement with California to clean up all contamination that could be detected. But Boeing, NASA's contractor at the site and owner of most of the site, has been pushing to block full cleanup of most of the site. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement recently released by NASA that barely mentions the contamination raises questions about whether NASA is trying to break out of the cleanup agreement it signed.

It is critical that NASA live up to the commitments it made in the 2010 cleanup agreement. I strongly urge NASA to meet its responsibilities regarding the toxic contamination it created. Do what you promised to do--fully clean up the site.

Toby Ann Reese

From: [Terril McHardy](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Re: Comment on SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Thursday, September 26, 2013 12:48:26 PM

Over decades, NASA contaminated the Santa Susana Field Lab with dioxins, PCBs, perchlorate, heavy metals, TCE and other volatile organic compounds. Hundreds of thousands of people live nearby. A federal study showed that people living closer to the site have higher rates of thyroid, bladder, blood and lymph cancers than people living further away.

In 2010, NASA signed a legally binding agreement with California to clean up all contamination that could be detected. But Boeing, NASA's contractor at the site and owner of most of the site, has been pushing to block full cleanup of most of the site. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement recently released by NASA that barely mentions the contamination raises questions about whether NASA is trying to break out of the cleanup agreement it signed.

It is critical that NASA live up to the commitments it made in the 2010 cleanup agreement. I strongly urge NASA to meet its responsibilities regarding the toxic contamination it created. Do what you promised to do--fully clean up the site.

Terril McHardy

From: [ronnie mitchell](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Re: Comment on SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Thursday, September 26, 2013 12:49:42 PM

Over decades, NASA contaminated the Santa Susana Field Lab with dioxins, PCBs, perchlorate, heavy metals, TCE and other volatile organic compounds. Hundreds of thousands of people live nearby. A federal study showed that people living closer to the site have higher rates of thyroid, bladder, blood and lymph cancers than people living further away.

In 2010, NASA signed a legally binding agreement with California to clean up all contamination that could be detected. But Boeing, NASA's contractor at the site and owner of most of the site, has been pushing to block full cleanup of most of the site. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement recently released by NASA that barely mentions the contamination raises questions about whether NASA is trying to break out of the cleanup agreement it signed.

It is critical that NASA live up to the commitments it made in the 2010 cleanup agreement. I strongly urge NASA to meet its responsibilities regarding the toxic contamination it created. Do what you promised to do--fully clean up the site.

ronnie mitchell

From: [George Schiro](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Re: Comment on SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Thursday, September 26, 2013 12:52:35 PM

As a former contractor (recognized with a NASA Technically Significant Software Award in less than 2 years), I find it troubling to learn that NASA would fail to live up to its environmental commitments. Based on my own personal experience, I am sure this must be a misunderstanding. Please do what you can to clear it up.

Boilerplate follows.

Over decades, NASA contaminated the Santa Susana Field Lab with dioxins, PCBs, perchlorate, heavy metals, TCE and other volatile organic compounds. Hundreds of thousands of people live nearby. A federal study showed that people living closer to the site have higher rates of thyroid, bladder, blood and lymph cancers than people living further away.

In 2010, NASA signed a legally binding agreement with California to clean up all contamination that could be detected. But Boeing, NASA's contractor at the site and owner of most of the site, has been pushing to block full cleanup of most of the site. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement recently released by NASA that barely mentions the contamination raises questions about whether NASA is trying to break out of the cleanup agreement it signed.

It is critical that NASA live up to the commitments it made in the 2010 cleanup agreement. I strongly urge NASA to meet its responsibilities regarding the toxic contamination it created. Do what you promised to do--fully clean up the site.

Sincerely,

George Schiro

George Schiro

From: [Jean Cannon](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Re: Comment on SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Thursday, September 26, 2013 12:53:28 PM

Over decades, NASA contaminated the Santa Susana Field Lab with dioxins, PCBs, perchlorate, heavy metals, TCE and other volatile organic compounds. Hundreds of thousands of people live nearby. A federal study showed that people living closer to the site have higher rates of thyroid, bladder, blood and lymph cancers than people living further away.

In 2010, NASA signed a legally binding agreement with California to clean up all contamination that could be detected. But Boeing, NASA's contractor at the site and owner of most of the site, has been pushing to block full cleanup of most of the site. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement recently released by NASA that barely mentions the contamination raises questions about whether NASA is trying to break out of the cleanup agreement it signed.

It is critical that NASA live up to the commitments it made in the 2010 cleanup agreement. I strongly urge NASA to meet its responsibilities regarding the toxic contamination it created. Do what you promised to do--fully clean up the site.

Jean Cannon

From: [Craig K. Larsen](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Re: Comment on SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Thursday, September 26, 2013 12:54:27 PM

Over decades, NASA contaminated the Santa Susana Field Lab with dioxins, PCBs, perchlorate, heavy metals, TCE and other volatile organic compounds. Hundreds of thousands of people live nearby. A federal study showed that people living closer to the site have higher rates of thyroid, bladder, blood and lymph cancers than people living further away.

In 2010, NASA signed a legally binding agreement with California to clean up all contamination that could be detected. But Boeing, NASA's contractor at the site and owner of most of the site, has been pushing to block full cleanup of most of the site. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement recently released by NASA that barely mentions the contamination raises questions about whether NASA is trying to break out of the cleanup agreement it signed.

It is critical that NASA live up to the commitments it made in the 2010 cleanup agreement. I strongly urge NASA to meet its responsibilities regarding the toxic contamination it created. Do what you promised to do--fully clean up the site.

Craig K. Larsen

From: [Heather Howard](#)
To: [MSFC-SSFL-EIS](#)
Subject: Re: Comment on SSFL Draft EIS
Date: Thursday, September 26, 2013 12:58:07 PM

Over decades, NASA contaminated the Santa Susana Field Lab with dioxins, PCBs, perchlorate, heavy metals, TCE and other volatile organic compounds. Hundreds of thousands of people live nearby. A federal study showed that people living closer to the site have higher rates of thyroid, bladder, blood and lymph cancers than people living further away.

In 2010, NASA signed a legally binding agreement with California to clean up all contamination that could be detected. But Boeing, NASA's contractor at the site and owner of most of the site, has been pushing to block full cleanup of most of the site. The Draft Environmental Impact Statement recently released by NASA that barely mentions the contamination raises questions about whether NASA is trying to break out of the cleanup agreement it signed.

It is critical that NASA live up to the commitments it made in the 2010 cleanup agreement. I strongly urge NASA to meet its responsibilities regarding the toxic contamination it created. Do what you promised to do--fully clean up the site.

Heather Howard